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chapter one

introduction
Increased mobility, economic resilience, community health and environmental sustainability are all important to the future of St. Helena.

1.1 Overview

The last comprehensive update of the City of St. Helena’s General Plan was completed in 1993, followed by adoption of a new zoning ordinance and map in 1994, to ensure consistency with the General Plan. The defining, unifying goal of all of the elements of the 1993 General Plan was:

“To protect the rural, small town quality and agricultural character of St. Helena. It is the General Plan’s intent that the preservation of this small-town character be the unifying philosophy that overlays all other stated goals and policies.”

This goal remains a primary focus of the 2040 General Plan update. However, since the adoption of the 1993 Plan, new trends and topics have emerged as important elements of how people approach planning for their communities in the 21st century. Sustainability has become a fundamental principle for all scales of planning and development. The emergence of heritage tourism as an economic development strategy has led to the further integration of local business enhancement and the preservation of valuable cultural, historic, and natural resources. As an increasing focus is placed on how to decrease automobile use and vehicle miles traveled, strengthening pedestrian and bicycle connections has become critical to local mobility and access, and also an integral strategy for addressing climate change.
Additionally, the City of St. Helena has undergone significant changes since the General Plan was last updated in 1993. Napa Valley’s growing popularity as a tourist destination, coupled with increasing development pressures resulting from growth throughout the greater San Francisco Bay Area and Sacramento Valley regions, has led to a strengthening of ongoing efforts to protect St. Helena’s agricultural lands and small-town character. In addition, improvements to existing public facilities and infrastructure and the creation of new parks have helped to improve quality of life and enhance property values in the city and its surrounding areas. Topics such as local mobility, public health, economic development, and environmental sustainability are also important as residents plan for the future of this beautiful and truly unique town.

The St. Helena General Plan is a powerful policy and implementation tool designed to reflect these changes, respond to the community’s vision and desire for its future, and address changes anticipated to take place in the years to come. This introductory chapter to the St. Helena General Plan outlines the context, background, and role of the Plan, including an overview of the document’s elements.
Specific sections of the Introduction to the St. Helena General Plan include:

- **1.2 Regional Context.** Provides an overview of St. Helena’s role and location in the region (p. 1-5).

- **1.3 Background and Setting.** Describes the City’s history and current setting (p. 1-7).

- **1.4 Overall Vision.** Defines St. Helena’s vision for the year 2040 (p. 1-12).

- **1.5 Role of the Plan.** Describes how the General Plan is used to set goals, policies, and implementing actions (p. 1-15).

- **1.6 Plan Development Process.** Provides an overview of how the General Plan was developed (p. 1-16).

- **1.7 Overview of the General Plan.** Provides an overview of the 13 elements of the General Plan and how each element is organized (p. 1-21).

- **1.8 Related Planning Documents and Reference Materials.** Lists the related planning documents and reference materials for the General Plan (p. 1-27).
1.2 Regional Context

St. Helena is centrally located in Napa County and sits at the heart of the upper Napa Valley, a region known for its diverse soils, microclimates, and success as a center for agriculture and the wine-making industry. Located approximately 65 miles north of San Francisco and 77 miles west of Sacramento, the city is proximal to Northern California’s major urban centers. State Route 29 connects St. Helena to other communities in the Valley, including Calistoga to the north and Yountville, Napa, and American Canyon to the south (see Figure 1.1). The City serves as a commercial and business center for the surrounding towns and unincorporated areas, including Calistoga, Angwin, Deer Park, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena.

The Coast Range north of the San Francisco and San Pablo bays consists of a series of mountains of moderate relief separated by northwest trending valleys. Nestled between the Mayacamas Mountains to the west and the Howell Mountains to the east, the Napa Valley is one of the major valleys of this region. St. Helena enjoys views of Mount St. Helena, a peak of the Mayacamas located in Robert Louis Stevenson State Park, as well as many other hills and mountainsides.

The Napa Valley rests at the convergence of three California ecoregions: the north coast, the central valley, and the central coast. This unique location supports a diversity of biological resources and a particularly rich heritage of flora and fauna. According to the Land Trust of Napa County, Napa is one of the 25 most biologically diverse counties in the country. The Napa River runs from its origin in the northwest corner of the Valley through the eastern portion of St. Helena. The river begins as fresh water drainage on the southeast slope of Mount St. Helena and flows south to form a tidal estuary downstream of the City of Napa, where it discharges into the San Pablo Bay.
Figures 1.1  
Regional Context

Sources: Metropolitan Transportation Commission, 2001; 
Green Info Network, 2001; Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, 
California Department of Conservation; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018.
1.3 Background and Setting

Human settlement in the Napa Valley dates back thousands of years, when the Yukian people, originally established between the Russian River and Ukiah to the north, spread further south into present day Lake, Mendocino, and Napa counties. The Wappos, Pomo, and Patwins eventually encroached on the Yukian borders, becoming the largest group of Native Americans in the Napa Valley area.

The first Spanish missionaries arrived in the Valley in the 1830s. At the time of European settlement, the Central Wappo had settled permanently around present-day St. Helena. By 1831, between 10,000 and 12,000 Native Americans lived in the area, including approximately 7,000 Wappo. A hundred years later, only a tenth of this population remained.

In 1856, the area experienced its first development boom. The northern extension of the railroad eventually transformed the community into a commercial shipping center for the region. Growth was fueled largely by immigration from China and Europe, particularly Northern Italy and Switzerland, during the last half of the 19th century and the first half of the 20th century. Agriculture and the mining of mercury, or “cinnabar,” were key drivers of the economy during this period. Viticulture in St. Helena is known to have begun around 1875. The City was incorporated in 1876.

The town’s second period of intense construction took place during the end of the 19th century, with much of this growth concentrated along what is still known today as Main Street. Given its location in the heart of the wine-making region, St. Helena’s economy suffered during the Prohibition Era (1920-1933) and grew at a very slow pace during subsequent decades. Eventually, wine tourism brought increased attention to St. Helena, resulting in residential and commercial construction and a growth in visitor-serving uses such as hotels, restaurants, and boutique retail establishments.
Today, St. Helena is a town of approximately 5,900 residents bisected by State Route 29 (also known as Main Street) and surrounded by agricultural uses. The community stands out in the Valley for its unique, historic character and its ability to attract visitors while also supporting the needs of its resident population. Major economic drivers in St. Helena include agriculture, wine-making, tourism, and education. Several wineries are located within St. Helena, providing jobs and economic benefits associated with tourism. Educational institutions located in the city, such as the Culinary Institute of America and the Napa Valley College Upper Valley Campus, provide jobs and educational opportunities for the area.

1.4 The Planning Area

The State of California encourages cities to look beyond their borders when preparing a General Plan, as issues are not necessarily confined to political boundaries. As shown in Figure 1.2, the Planning Area for the St. Helena General Plan includes the City limit, the Sphere of Influence and three study areas located adjacent to the City limits in unincorporated Napa County. In total, the Planning Area encompasses 3,594 acres of land.
Figure 1.2
Planning Area

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
The Sphere of influence (SOI) represents the probable physical boundaries and service area of a local agency, determined by the Napa County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) with input from the City. LAFCO establishes SOIs throughout the county that promote the orderly expansion of cities and special districts to avoid the premature conversion of agricultural and open-space lands and to ensure effective, efficient and economic provision of essential public services, including public sewer and water, fire protection and emergency response, and police protection. A city’s sphere of influence should reflect existing and planned service capacities. LAFCO reviews and updates SOIs as necessary every five years. Additionally, where warranted by special conditions and circumstances, the City may propose a limited change to the established SOI, referred to as an amendment, for consideration by LAFCO. The City may prezone unincorporated territory adjoining the City for the purpose of determining the zoning that will apply to such property in the event of subsequent annexation to the City.

Honoring the historic and agricultural character is a key principle for the City’s General Plan Vision.

St. Helena’s Sphere of Influence and City limits are coterminous, encompassing a land area of 3,024 acres. The development pattern within this area includes an abundance of agricultural lands, business and industrial uses serving agricultural, single and multi-family residential neighborhoods, and a downtown that serves as the commercial center for the city and surrounding communities. Existing land use (2018), as provided by the County Assessor’s parcel data, is provided in Figure 1.3. The existing land uses largely correspond to the existing and proposed General Plan land use designations, which reflects the fact that St. Helena is a largely built-out city.

Although St. Helena does not have jurisdiction in areas outside of its City limits, the City currently provides limited municipal services, including utility service, public safety services, and recreation opportunities, to the three adjacent study areas. As such, what happens in those areas bears a relation to the City’s planning and must be considered in the General Plan, per California Government Code Section 65300.
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Figure 1.3
Existing Land Uses

DATA SOURCE: CITY OF ST. HELENA, 2018; NAPA COUNTY, 2018; DYETT & BHATIA, 2018
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The three study areas include:

- **Study Area 1.** This 165-acre area is located along the Napa River and includes residential development, the Meadowood Napa Valley resort, and City-owned utility infrastructure, including water tanks. The City provides water service to Meadowood and some of the residential development in the area.

- **Study Area 2.** Located south of Chaix Lane immediately adjacent to the southeastern City limit, this area of approximately 100 acres comprises the spray fields currently used to dispose of treated effluent from the City’s Wastewater Treatment Plant.

- **Study Area 3.** Comprising parcels with existing commercial and residential development fronting State Route 29, this 185-acre area extends south from Chalk Lane to Zinfandel Lane. The City currently provides water utility service to many properties in this area, with the remaining structures relying on wells and individual septic systems of various ages and capacities.

The intent of including the three study areas within the Planning Area of the General Plan update is to document sensitive environmental resources and regulatory or capacity constraints associated with those areas in the General Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in order to inform decision-makers and community members about associated issues and opportunities. The three study areas are shown in maps included in the Plan for informational purposes.
1.5 Overall Vision

With an eye toward the future, while building on the assets of today, the community of St. Helena envisions that, in the year 2040, the town will be a well-integrated place linked by effective community institutions, safe neighborhoods and streets, and superior schools, parks, and public facilities. In addition, planning for thoughtful, well-managed development, while maintaining its small-town, rural character is of vital importance to St. Helena’s residents.

St. Helena’s broader vision is based on a common understanding of what it means to live and grow as a sustainable community, making decisions that allow the community to meet the social and economic needs of today, while allowing future generations to meet their own needs.

The following points support the vision and provide guiding principles for St. Helena in 2040, as described previously in this chapter, for fostering a physically, socially, economically, and environmentally sustainable community.
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OUR SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY

St. Helena will preserve its history while managing change. Its traditions of diversity, citizen involvement, and responsive government will help the City plan for a sustainable future.

• More people who work in town will be able to afford to live in St. Helena in a wide range of innovative, well-designed housing, available for residents of all ages and income levels.

• St. Helena’s historic and agricultural character will be honored and protected.

• Expanded arts and cultural activities will continue to enrich community life.

• High-quality schools and education for all ages will continue to be a focus of the community.

• St. Helena’s character will be strengthened through innovative design that maintains the scale and character of its existing neighborhoods.

• Public spaces and civic facilities will be available for community gatherings, meeting the social and recreational needs of all ages and interests.
OUR STABLE ECONOMY

St. Helena’s economy will meet the basic needs of residents, while balancing the benefits and impacts of visitors and provide better economic opportunities.

- Central St. Helena will remain the social, cultural, and economic heart of town.
- More of residents’ daily needs will be met in the city by local businesses.
- Vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian circulation improvements will provide transportation choices, reduce automobile traffic, and improve the quality of life in St. Helena.
- A combination of better regional connections and alternative modes of travel will improve circulation and traffic conditions, reducing congestion on Main Street.

OUR ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP

Environmental conservation, green choices, and greenhouse gas emissions reductions will be integrated into all areas of community decision making.

- Through a combination of conservation and infrastructure improvements, water and wastewater treatment will meet the community’s needs.
- Green buildings and infrastructure and renewable energy installations will reduce energy consumption and improve energy efficiency.
- The riparian corridors of the Napa River, Sulphur Creek, and York Creek will be restored as critical assets.
- Additional and improved parks, protected hillsides, agriculture, trees, locally-grown food, and community gardens will contribute to St. Helena’s sustainable community.
1.6 Role of the Plan

The St. Helena General Plan is the primary policy document for the City of St. Helena as it moves toward the year 2040. It sets forth the City’s policies to guide future land use decisions and provides the needed framework to preserve the character and quality of development that the community desires. The General Plan also helps to establish the processes by which the City’s evolution and changes to existing land uses will take place. The State of California requires that every city and county adopt a general plan to guide decisions related to the conservation of natural resources, the physical form and character of future development, and public welfare and safety. Local ordinances and other plans must be consistent with general plan policies. The St. Helena General Plan establishes the basis for St. Helena’s Zoning Ordinance, which serves as the legal regulatory code for land use and development within the City’s jurisdiction. An EIR outlining the impacts and associated mitigations of proposed land use, circulation, and other changes is required by State law to accompany the General Plan and be certified concurrently.

The General Plan is used in many ways. City planning staff refers to the General Plan when reviewing development proposals, to ensure that projects align with the community’s vision. Where public decisions impact the physical environment, the Planning Commission and City Council use the document to guide decision-making. Importantly, the General Plan empowers the City, public agencies that work with the City, and private developers to invest in and design for a future that will enhance the character of the community and sustain and improve the quality of life, in accordance with the values and goals defined in the Plan.

The goals, policies, and implementing actions set forth in this General Plan create no mandatory duty on the City’s part, except as may be explicitly required in the future by the State of California as a condition of approval of any mandatory element of the General Plan in order to satisfy State law. Rather, the goals, policies, and actions provide the foundation for the design and application of current and future policy tools, such as the City’s Urban Limit Line,
design guidelines and form-based codes, and specific ordinances to protect St. Helena’s wealth of resources. The City Council and staff will ultimately decide whether, as well as when and how, to carry out any goal, policy, or implementing action.

1.7 Plan Development Process
The St. Helena General Plan was developed in four stages:

• Phase I: Community Visioning and Existing Conditions Analysis;
• Phase II: Policy and Physical Framework Development;
• Phase III: General Plan Development; and
• Phase IV: General Plan Completion, subsequent update of documents, and Adoption.

The City Council and Planning Commission provided overall direction, with the assistance of the General Plan Update Steering Committee (GPUSC), and a multidisciplinary consultant team. The GPUSC, composed of St. Helena residents and elected and appointed officials, played an important advisory role throughout the Plan development process, and met regularly to review materials, share ideas and provide feedback.
Phase I. Community Visioning and Existing Conditions Analysis

Phase I of the Plan development began in April 2007 and continued through February 2008. During this phase the GPUSC, supported by City staff and the consultant team, conducted a thorough analysis of existing conditions in St. Helena. These efforts resulted in a series of working papers that collectively provided a comprehensive analysis of existing conditions and key policy issues. Topics included the community vision, community character, circulation, economics, infrastructure, climate change, the natural environment, sustainability, and the regional context. These papers established a starting point for community and City discussion of key issues and opportunities. They also contributed to the body of analysis that served as the technical basis for development of the General Plan.

The Community visioning process, also led by the GPUSC, involved community residents, business owners, and agency and organization representatives. Community engagement activities included a telephone survey, a series of workshops, and an open house and public forum. Each of these tools was dedicated to exploring the major issues and opportunities faced by St. Helena, and the desires of the community moving forward. This process resulted in a clear articulation of the community’s desire to build a sustainable future, reflected in its overall vision presented above.
Phase II. Policy and Physical Framework Development

Phase II of the General Plan Update process was initiated in October 2008. During this phase, the City, the GPUSC, and the community worked actively to develop the General Plan goals, policies, and implementing actions. The City launched its General Plan Update website and began publication of regular e-newsletters to keep community members informed of the process. The GPUSC met regularly during this stage and hosted a “land use and design charrette” aimed at exploring key land use issues and opportunities.

The City and General Plan consultants worked closely during this phase to refine existing land use maps, review background policy and technical documents, and analyze the existing General Plan Physical Framework and Land Use Element. The outcomes of this effort included identification of potential land use change areas in the city and identification of the likely impacts of these changes.

Two separate but parallel processes began during Phase II: development of the 2009-2014 General Plan Housing Element and the Adams Street Property Visioning Project. The 2009-2014 Housing Element update process was led by a separate subcommittee, working under a shorter timeline than the process for updating the rest of the General Plan. The 2009-2014 Housing Element for St. Helena was completed in June 2009 and certified as complete by the Department of Housing and Community Development on October 15, 2009. The Adams Street Property Visioning Project began in October 2008 and was completed in March 2009. The Adams Street Plan outlined the community vision for Adams Street and included an accompanying site plan and graphics.

Phase III. General Plan Development

In April 2009, the City began the process to develop the draft General Plan document. A series of eight GPUSC meetings was held to review drafts of the General Plan Elements. Two community workshops were held in fall 2009 to discuss community design and circulation. Additional community meetings were held in early 2010 to review the public draft Plan.
Phase IV. General Plan Completion and Adoption

Beginning in the summer of 2010 the fourth phase of plan development focused on preparing a Draft General Plan for review by the Planning Commission and the City Council. Following joint consideration with the City Council, the Planning Commission reviewed the General Plan, and recommended its approval to the City Council in October of 2010. The City Council considered the Planning Commission recommendations on October 26, 2010 and at a continued City Council meeting on November 9, 2010. At the November meeting, issues were raised primarily relating to water supply. After approving a number of changes, the City Council made a decision to recirculate the EIR. This led to a complete re-examination of the City’s water supply and the establishment of the Safe Yield Committee.

The water evaluation also prompted the creation of a Water Management Program that the City Council adopted on November 13, 2012. Staff and the General Plan EIR consultant concluded that implementation of the Water Management Program would effectively mitigate potential impacts of growth from the Plan on the water supply. The City Council subsequently renewed its review of the General Plan.

As part of this renewed review process, the City Council held a number of publicly-noticed General Plan Workshops. These Workshops were held between the Fall of 2012 and the Summer of 2014. As part of this Workshop process, the City Council made a number of revisions to the text of the General Plan. By June 2014 this thorough, element by element, review of the General Plan by the City Council was complete. As required by State law, the Planning Commission in September 2014 began its review of the various changes made to the General Plan by City Council as part of the Council 2012 to 2014 Workshop process. The Commission completed this review of the Council General Plan changes in December 2014. A concluding General Plan workshop was held by the City Council on September 8, 2015 prior to resuming formal public hearings on the General Plan and associated General Plan EIR. During the 2014 to 2015 General Plan Workshop process, the City completed its
required 2015 to 2023 update of the City Housing Element. After a number of hearings at the Planning Commission and City Council level, the City Council approved the Housing Element Update in May 2015. The Housing Element received State HCD approval in late May 2015. It is important to note that the recently approved 2015-2023 Housing Element is not being changed or modified as part of this 2016 General Plan update process.

Between April and August of 2016, the Planning Commission held six study sessions to review the 2016 draft of the General Plan Update. At the July 19, 2016, a compilation of the comments and direction concerning the General Plan taken from the preceding Commission Study Sessions was discussed at-length.

Following incorporation of the Planning Commission’s refinements to the 2010 draft described above, the General Plan Update was substantially complete, although some additional changes were necessary to ensure consistency and compliance with State law. In January 2018, the City began the effort to make these additional refinements and finalize the General Plan Update. Additional modifications include:

- An updated horizon year of 2040;
- Additional policies and actions to incorporate climate adaptation and resiliency strategies, including measures address wildfire hazards, flooding and infrastructure in at-risk areas, pursuant to Senate Bill 379 and other applicable laws.
- Additional policies and actions to address relevant environmental justice issues, based on an analysis of data from the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool, pursuant to Senate Bill 1000 and other applicable laws.
- Updates to data, maps and text to ensure the use of the latest, publicly available information.

The City Council and Planning Commission held joint study sessions on February 20 and August 14, 2018 to review and discuss these further targeted updates to the 2010 draft.
1.8 Overview of the General Plan

The St. Helena General Plan consists of 13 chapters: 12 elements and the Introduction. It should be noted that since the Housing Element is updated on a separate eight-year cycle, and was last updated in 2015, it is not included within this General Plan update, though it is referenced as Chapter 11 within the Plan. Each of the elements contained within the General Plan is critical to establishing the policy direction necessary to achieve the community’s vision of sustainability in land use and related activities over the next 20 years. State statutes require that local general plans include the following seven elements, at a minimum: Land Use, Housing, Circulation, Open Space, Noise, Safety, and Conservation. California general plan guidelines encourage jurisdictions to reorganize or combine elements as appropriate to improve clarity and eliminate redundancy in the document. In addition, jurisdictions may incorporate additional elements as needed to achieve the community’s vision and overarching goals. In order to respond to the community’s special needs and desires, the St. Helena General Plan reorganizes some required plan components and incorporates several optional elements.

As stated in Section 1.6 of the General Plan, except as may be set forth in the Housing Element, the goals, policies, and implementing actions set forth in the General Plan create no mandatory duty on the City’s part.

Table 1.1 lists all elements of the St. Helena General Plan and illustrates which elements are required by State law and which are optional. As previously noted, the Housing Element, while part of the General Plan, is published as a “stand alone” element, and is not physically incorporated into this General Plan document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Plan Element</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use and Growth Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Facilities and Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Design</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space and Conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health, Safety and Noise</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ELEMENT ORGANIZATION

Each element of the St. Helena General Plan begins with a brief introduction and background on the specific subject matter. Graphs, tables, and maps are used to highlight key information and plan direction. In addition, each element contains a “Concepts, Trends and Ideas” sections describing recent innovations and concepts relevant to planning the future of St. Helena.

At the heart of each element of the St. Helena General Plan is a series of goals, policies, and implementing actions. Goals are statements that describe the broad, long-term aspirations of the City and community. Each goal in the Plan consists of a brief directive, which is followed by a narrative that provides further description of the preferred direction that the goal establishes. Policies provide the needed specificity or guidance for the City as it makes decisions. Policy statements encourage certain actions and lay the foundation for the City to establish regulations, programs, and incentives, where needed, to achieve each goal. Implementing actions identify the specific steps required to implement policies and advance the goals.

OVERVIEW OF THE GENERAL PLAN ELEMENTS

Chapter Two: Land Use and Growth Management
The Land Use and Growth Management Element presents a framework for governing future decisions about allowable, context-appropriate land use and desired development patterns. The framework aims to manage growth effectively while encouraging the use of innovative approaches, quality design, and infill strategies as the community evolves. Policies and actions in this Element draw from and build upon St. Helena’s distinct history and character, walkable and strong neighborhoods, and active downtown.

Chapter Three: Economic Sustainability
The Economic Sustainability Element is included in the General Plan in recognition of the important role that economic sustainability plays towards achieving St. Helena’s overall community vision of “meeting present needs without com-
promising the ability of future generations to meet their needs.” The guiding principles established in this Element provide the policy direction needed to develop a sustainable economy that is responsive to short-term and longer-term community concerns and objectives.

Chapter Four: Public Facilities and Services
The Public Facilities and Services Element sets forth policy guidance for the City’s provision of services, amenities, and infrastructure. This includes community service facilities, public utilities, and the required physical infrastructure for service and utility delivery. Policies related to public facilities and services follow from the key topics identified in this Element including: water, wastewater, storm drainage and flooding, solid waste, schools, libraries, fire, and police.

Chapter Five: Circulation
The Circulation Element establishes the policies needed to foster a comprehensive and multimodal transportation network that is well integrated with the City’s land use and growth management goals, policies, and actions. The Element identifies the principal components of the circulation system, as well as issues relating to parking, transit, and pedestrian and bicycle routes. Standards and guiding principles for the implementation of transportation facilities and infrastructure are also included.

Chapter Six: Historic Resources
The Historic Resources Element presents a framework for governing future decisions about protecting, rehabilitating, retrofitting, and adaptively reusing the City’s historic buildings. The intent of this Element is to establish the policies required to manage St. Helena’s historic assets in order to maintain the City’s sense of place and ensure that these assets can be enjoyed by current and future residents and visitors.
Chapter Seven: Community Design
The Community Design Element presents a framework of policies and implementing actions that correspond directly with other General Plan elements in determining the form, quality, and character of St. Helena’s built environment. By respecting established neighborhoods and historic assets, this Element provides guidance to build upon St. Helena’s distinct history, while promoting new approaches to enhance future public and private development.

Chapter Eight: Open Space and Conservation
The Open Space and Conservation Element guides future decisions about how St. Helena will sustain a healthy network of open spaces and protect natural resources for today’s residents, as well as future generations. Element goals, policies, and implementing actions are designed to protect, maintain, and enhance St. Helena’s biological, ecological, and agricultural resources, while balancing current community resource needs with conservation endeavors to benefit the common good.

Chapter Nine: Public Health, Safety and Noise
The Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element presents a framework for minimizing risks posed by environmental and human-caused hazards that may impact St. Helena’s health and welfare. This Element aims to ensure that St. Helena’s residents, workers, and visitors are protected from negative exposure to flooding, fires, hazardous materials, air pollution, and geologic and seismic hazards.

Chapter Ten: Climate Change
The Climate Change Element is the City’s policy framework for responding to and planning for climate change. Goals, policies, and implementing actions presented in this Element address energy conservation, renewable energy production, and transportation. The policies and actions included in this Element align with the Napa Countywide Community Climate Action Framework and chart
a course for responsible growth and sustainable business development that meets St. Helena’s specific needs.

Chapter Eleven: Housing
The Housing Element, which was adopted by the City and certified by the State in May 2015, establishes a comprehensive plan to address housing needs in St. Helena over the eight-year planning period between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2023. This Element sets the community goals and policies surrounding the development, rehabilitation, and preservation of housing units to meet the needs of St. Helena’s current and future residents. Every jurisdiction in the State of California is required to submit a Housing Element to the State HCD for review and certification. The adopted 2015 to 2023 Housing Element is not being modified as part of this General Plan update process. As previously noted, the Housing Element document is not physically included within this updated General Plan. The Housing Element is referenced in the General Plan as Chapter 11.

Chapter Twelve: Parks and Recreation
The Parks and Recreation Element presents a framework for developing and maintaining a comprehensive system of quality parks, pedestrian and bicycle trails, recreational facilities, and programs. It aims to ensure that management of parks and recreational programming supports community members’ health, entertainment, and high quality of life. Key to these efforts is creating and maintaining a network of bicycle and pedestrian trails that establishes connections between residential neighborhoods, parks, schools, and goods and services.

Chapter Thirteen: Arts, Culture and Entertainment
The Arts, Culture, and Entertainment Element aims to preserve and protect St. Helena’s unique identity, heritage, and cultural resources; celebrate its vibrant community; and expand opportunities for arts enrichment. By providing policy support for City leadership, active community participation, and strategic partnerships, this Element seeks to integrate arts, culture, and entertainment into the community’s everyday life.
1.9 Related Planning Documents and Reference Materials

The following documents are included in an appendix to the General Plan.

- Historic Resources List

A copy of the General Plan Appendix is available separately on the City’s website, and a hard copy of the Appendix is available at City Hall. A copy of the General Plan Program EIR is also available on the City’s website.
CONCEPTS, TRENDS AND IDEAS

Community Engagement and Plan Development

General Plan Update Steering Committee
The General Plan Update Steering Committee (GPUSC) played an invaluable role in ensuring that the updated General Plan reflects the community’s desires and is responsive to the needs of its residents. Composed of City residents and appointed and elected officials, and supported by key City staff, the GPUSC began meeting in March 2007 to inform the update of the General Plan. Regular GPUSC meetings took place almost monthly throughout the Plan development process through 2010.

Community Workshops
The City hosted a number of community workshops to provide the opportunity for community members to share ideas, voice concerns, and give feedback on Plan goals, policies, and objectives. The vision statement, which serves as the foundation for the General Plan was developed by the community during Phase I of the update process.

Workshops in 2009 and 2010 focused on the development of land use, community design, and transportation concepts for the Plan and review of draft Plan components. Additional General Plan workshops, held in 2014 and 2015, focused on changes to the General Plan since the first full draft of the General Plan was completed in 2010.
The community has been involved throughout the plan development process through community workshops, telephone interviews, and online engagement.

**Telephone Survey**

Between June 25 and July 6, 2007, General Plan consultants conducted telephone interviews with 330 heads of households in St. Helena. Households were contacted via random-digit-dialing based upon St. Helena’s zip code, and potential respondents were screened to ensure that they were full-time residents of the City. The primary concern noted by respondents was to ensure that the City of St. Helena continues to support and address the needs of its residents. In addition, most respondents noted that they would also like St. Helena to maintain its small-town, rural character.

**General Plan Website**

The St. Helena General Plan website established an important online presence for the General Plan Update, serving as the portal for community and General Plan Update team members to access project-related information and materials. Individuals frequently used the online comment feature to submit their ideas, questions, and concerns to the project team. While the City no longer maintains a website devoted solely to the General Plan Update process, a copy of the General Plan is available on the City’s main website.
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The Land Use and Growth Management Element provides an outline for governing future land use decisions and development patterns.

2.1 Purpose of the Element

The Land Use and Growth Management Element presents a framework for governing future decisions about allowable, context-appropriate land use and desirable development patterns to maintain the small-town character of St. Helena. This framework aims to effectively manage growth by drawing from and building upon the community’s distinct history, walkable and strong neighborhoods, and active downtown. The Land Use and Growth Management Element sets the stage for maintaining an economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable St. Helena.

The Land Use and Growth Management Element includes the following sections.

- **2.2 Community Development Framework**. Describes the framework for community development, including the growth management system and land use designations (p. 2-3).
- **2.3 Key Findings**. Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 2-26).
- **2.4 Goals**. Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 2-28).
2.5 Policies and Implementing Actions. Identifies policies and implementing actions to manage growth and maintain community character (p. 2-29).

2.2 Community Development Framework

Famous for its scenic Napa Valley location, fine wineries, and historic Main Street, St. Helena seeks to protect its small-town, agricultural character through a coordinated approach to growth management and land use planning. The City has developed an Urban Limit Line to control and limit development in order to ensure that prized agricultural and open space lands remain for future generations. In addition, it has crafted a land use designation system that works in tandem with its growth management goals, while allowing for targeted development in key areas and maintaining the character of its existing neighborhoods and central commercial areas. Following are detailed descriptions of the City’s land use designations, growth management system, and development capacity.

The increasing pressures to grow caused serious concern in the community during the 1970s and resulted in the creation of a Growth Management System in the late 1970s. Public workshops and a phone survey conducted for the 1993 General Plan Update indicated that the principal land use concern was the rate of growth in the city. The community was generally concerned that there would be a loss of charm and beauty, increased traffic conditions, and an inadequate water supply. For the 2040 General Plan Update, a phone survey, Town Hall meetings, and mail-in survey were conducted and found that the same issues still resonate in the community and addressing concerns regarding traffic congestion, inadequate water supply, and preservation of small-town character remain priorities in St. Helena. Therefore, the City should follow the long-standing philosophy that growth in St. Helena needs to be carefully managed to ensure that each of these decades-long public concerns are adequately addressed in future land use determinations.
St. Helena seeks to protect its small-town, agricultural character through a coordinated approach to growth management and land use planning.

**LAND USE DESIGNATIONS**

Land in St. Helena is classified according to four broad land use categories:

- Residential Areas;
- Commercial and Mixed-use Areas;
- Business and Industrial Areas; and
- Community and Natural Resource Areas.

Within each of these categories, specific land use designations identify uses and the density and intensity of development allowed in each designation. Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1 outline the City’s land use designations. For quick comparison and reference, Figure 2.2 includes a menu of St. Helena’s land use designations, permitted building intensities and densities, and examples of potential building types for each land use designation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Designation</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density Residential</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density Residential</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>589</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial and Mixed-Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed-Use</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Business</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Commercial</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business and Industrial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community and Natural Resource</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public and Quasi-Public</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands and Watershed</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1,451</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>2,187</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,148</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Acreage totals do not include public roadways.*
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Figure 2.1
Proposed Land Use Diagram

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
FIGURE 2.2: General Plan Land Use Menu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Category</th>
<th>Density/Intensity</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Density Residential (LDR):</strong></td>
<td>1.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family detached homes, secondary residential units and limited agricultural uses.</td>
<td>dwelling units per acre</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium Density Residential (MDR):</strong></td>
<td>5.1 to 16.0</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family detached and attached homes, and secondary residential units.</td>
<td>dwelling units per acre</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Density Residential (HDR):</strong></td>
<td>16.1 to 28.0</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family and multi-family housing, including apartments, townhouses and group homes.</td>
<td>dwelling units per acre</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mixed-Use (MU):</strong></td>
<td>Up to 20</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium density residential mixed with retail, office, restaurant or other local-serving uses.</td>
<td>dwelling units per acre</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Business (CB):</strong></td>
<td>Maximum FAR 2.0</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail and commercial businesses that serve residents and visitors, including restaurants, lodging, retail, office, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service Commercial (SC):</strong></td>
<td>Maximum FAR 0.50</td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail and service uses that are local-serving and may be auto-oriented, including offices, restaurants, service stations, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Example Images]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Dwelling units per acre (du/ac) describes residential building density. Floor area ratio (FAR) denotes building intensity for non-residential uses.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Category</th>
<th>Density/ Intensity</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business and Professional Office (BPO): Administration and professional office uses, including medical, financial, etc.</td>
<td>Maximum FAR 0.50</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Example" /> <img src="image2" alt="Example" /> <img src="image3" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (I): Industrial parks, warehouses, light manufacturing, auto and farm-related uses.</td>
<td>Maximum FAR 0.50</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Example" /> <img src="image5" alt="Example" /> <img src="image6" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland and Watershed (WW): Very low density residential that ensures protection of wildlife, vegetation, open space and watershed resources.</td>
<td>Minimum parcel size 5 acres</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Example" /> <img src="image8" alt="Example" /> <img src="image9" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture (AG): Agricultural and winery uses with restricted single-family residential.</td>
<td>Minimum parcel size 5 to 40 acres</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Example" /> <img src="image11" alt="Example" /> <img src="image12" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public/Quasi-Public (PQP): Government-owned facilities, schools, churches, cemeteries, etc.</td>
<td>Maximum FAR 0.50</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Example" /> <img src="image14" alt="Example" /> <img src="image15" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation (PR): Parks with public recreation uses.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Example" /> <img src="image17" alt="Example" /> <img src="image18" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (OS): Natural open spaces devoted to natural resource preservation and management, outdoor recreation, public health and safety.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Example" /> <img src="image20" alt="Example" /> <img src="image21" alt="Example" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Residential Areas

St. Helena has a variety of residential areas that include single family, multifamily, and secondary residential housing options. These neighborhoods support a range of lifestyles at a broad spectrum of household income levels. Higher density infill development can expand housing options citywide, but the impact to neighborhoods with regard to traffic, parking, and public safety - where difficult fire access, roads with parking on both sides, and sidewalks without street separation can result in accidents - should be carefully considered. The creation of mixed-use areas with retail in the heart of the city can also increase housing options. Residential land use designations should encourage the development of accessible and diverse neighborhoods, where appropriate. Figure 2.3 highlights residential areas.

- **Low Density Residential (LDR).** The LDR land use designation includes single-family detached homes, accessory dwelling units (sometimes referred to as “second units”), limited agricultural uses, and similar and compatible uses. This designation permits residential densities between 1.0 and 5.0 dwelling units per acre. The LDR designation applies to limited areas of the city with large, residually-subdivided parcels, particularly those near the city’s perimeter.

- **Medium Density Residential (MDR).** The MDR land use designation includes single-family attached and detached homes, and compatible uses. This designation permits residential densities between 5.1 and 16.0 dwelling units per acre. Retained from the 1993 General Plan, the MDR designation is the City’s predominant residential designation and it is intended to maintain a development pattern in St. Helena’s higher density areas that is consistent with historic development patterns.

- **Higher Density Residential (HDR).** The HDR land use designation can accommodate single-family and multi-family residential housing, apartments, and group quarters. This designation permits residential densities between 16.1 and 28.0 dwelling units per acre.
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Figure 2.3
Residential Areas

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
Commercial and Mixed-Use Areas

St. Helena has a number of commercial areas that provide retail and commercial services. Businesses serve tourists, city residents, and residents of the unincorporated agricultural areas who have traditionally traveled to St. Helena to shop for goods and services. In the city’s historic Central Business District, a small amount of higher-density, mixed-use development that is context appropriate can expand housing options while also supporting additional retail and commercial services. Figure 2.4 highlights commercial and mixed-use areas.

• **Mixed-Use (MU).** The MU land use designation allows medium- to high-density residential mixed with retail, office, restaurant, or other commercial uses. The maximum allowable residential density in MU areas is 20 dwelling units per acre. The intent of this land use designation is to protect the Urban Limit Line by encouraging a mix of uses at infill locations and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by locating housing opportunities within close proximity to commercial and retail.

• **Central Business (CB).** The CB land use designation includes retail and commercial uses that serve local residents and the surrounding area. Typical CB uses include offices, restaurants, specialty retail and lodging, with particular emphasis on pedestrian-oriented retail and service uses on the ground floor level and offices located on upper floors. Residential uses can be considered for upper floor areas but are subject to discretionary use permit review and approval. The maximum allowable FAR in CB-designated areas is 2.0. The CB district extends from Sulphur Creek along Main Street to midway between Adams Street and Pine Street, west to Oak Avenue and along the north side of Adams Street east of Main Street.

• **Service Commercial (SC).** The SC land use designation includes service and retail uses that have larger space needs than are available in the Central Business District. Potential SC uses include offices, restaurants, service stations, and lodging, with a maximum allowable FAR of 0.50. The SC designation includes areas fronting State Route 29/Main Street south of Sulphur Creek.
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Figure 2.4
Commercial and Mixed-Use Areas

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
Business and Industrial Areas

St. Helena has limited areas designated for business and industrial uses. These uses are important to the community’s economic health, serving St. Helena residents as well as residents and businesses in the surrounding unincorporated areas. Figure 2.5 highlights business and industrial areas.

- **Business and Professional Office (BPO).** The BPO designation allows for professional and administrative office uses, including medical, financial, and similar uses. Residential uses in this designation can be considered for upper floor areas, subject to discretionary use permit review and approval. The maximum allowable FAR is 0.50. BPO areas are located throughout the city and provide a compatible, transitional use between commercial and residential areas.

- **Industrial (I).** The Industrial land use designation includes industrial parks, warehouses, light manufacturing, and auto and farm-related uses. The maximum allowable FAR is 0.50. Industrial areas are located south of Mills Lane and east of State Route 29; along Sulphur Creek between State Route 29 and Valleyview Street; and east of State Route 29, south of Mills Lane. An Urban Reserve Area is designated to the east of the existing industrial area south of Dowdell Lane for future expansion of this area.
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Figure 2.5
Business and Industrial Areas

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
Community and Natural Resource Areas

St. Helena has a wide range of agriculture, parks, open spaces, and civic uses that serve a diverse range of community needs. Natural areas, such as the creeks and hills, offer opportunities for preservation and conservation. Public facilities provide opportunities for social and community development. Land use planning should aim to improve these amenities and enhance accessibility for all city residents. Figure 2.6 highlights community and natural resource areas.

- **Woodland and Watershed (WW).** The WW designation includes very low density residential that ensures the protection of wildlife, vegetation, open space, and watershed resources. This designation applies to steep hillsides at the city’s westernmost and easternmost boundaries, with the intent to accommodate low-density, estate type development in locations that are less suitable for agricultural use. The minimum allowable parcel size is five acres.

- **Agriculture (AG).** The AG land use designation includes agricultural and winery uses with restricted single family residential and public/quasi-public uses. This designation applies to large areas of the valley floor that surround the city’s urban core. With the exception of hillside areas designated WW, all lands outside the Urban Limit Line are designated AG regardless of their size or actual use. Minimum parcel sizes for new parcels in AG areas range from 20 to 40 acres. However, wineries in AG land may utilize a small portion of onsite land for provision of affordable employee housing thus alleviating some of the low and moderate housing needs in the city, while simultaneously reducing commute traffic.

- **Public/Quasi-Public (PQP).** The PQP land use designation provides for government-owned facilities, public and private schools, and quasi-public uses such as churches, community/public serving endeavors, and cemeteries. The maximum FAR for the PQP designation is 0.50. The PQP designation occurs throughout the city, and includes the City library, all of the public schools, some of the private schools, all churches, cemeteries, and the City-owned wastewater treatment plant.
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Figure 2.6
Community and Natural Resource Areas

St. Helena General Plan
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Napa County General Plan
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Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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• **Parks and Recreation (PR).** The PR land use designation includes public parks with recreation uses. It applies to all existing public parks and proposed park sites.

• **Open Space (OS).** The OS designation includes open spaces that are devoted to natural resource preservation and management, passive outdoor recreation, multi-use trails, public health, and safety. All OS areas are associated with stream corridors that pass through or are adjacent to the city, including the Napa River, Sulphur Creek, and York Creek. Roads are part of Open Space, but are not contributors to natural resource, public health, recreation, etc. as stated above.

**GROWTH MANAGEMENT**

St. Helena aims to contain development and preserve agricultural lands in and adjacent to the city. Tools for accomplishing this include the Urban Limit Line, designated Urban Reserve Areas, and the Residential Growth Management System. Figure 2.7 illustrates St. Helena’s Urban Limit Line and Urban Reserve Areas.

**Urban Limit Line**

The Urban Limit Line is a parcel-specific boundary that marks the limit of where urban development is permitted within the incorporated area of St. Helena. The intent of the Urban Limit Line is to discourage urban sprawl by containing urban development within designated areas during the planning period (see Figure 2.7).

Land outside the Urban Limit Line, but inside the incorporated area, is designated for agricultural uses. Given the long-term nature of the General Plan and the potential for unforeseen circumstances, the Plan anticipates the potential need to expand the urban area by identifying Urban Reserve Areas.

**Urban Reserve Areas**

Urban Reserve Areas can be considered for urban development after urban sections within the Urban Limit Line are developed and if additional land is needed.
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Figure 2.7
Urban Limit Line and Urban Reserve Areas

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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for urban uses. The Urban Reserve Areas, which are contiguous with the existing urban area, have been carefully located to encourage thoughtful, measured growth and to ensure that further urban development will maintain the compact development pattern desired by the community.

Urban Reserve Areas are all designated Agricultural (AG) and are expected to remain in agricultural use or undeveloped for the foreseeable future. Zoning in the Urban Reserve Areas will be the same as in other areas designated for agriculture. Zoning changes of any specific Urban Reserve Areas will be determined by the City Council at the time of incorporation within the Urban Limit Line. Such changes will depend upon many factors, including: compatibility with existing or proposed surrounding uses; availability of services; demand for the proposed uses; the availability of other suitable areas; and the agricultural resource value of the land.

Locational suitability and timing will be considered when considering changes to the Urban Limit Line and incorporation of Urban Reserve Areas. Requests for expansion of the Urban Limit Line will be considered in logical groupings that reflect the best long-term interests of the City, and will not be considered on a parcel-by-parcel basis, or in a manner that would permit non-contiguous development.

**Residential Growth Management System (GMS)**

The Residential Growth Management System limits (GMS) the number of building permits available for residential growth each year. That limit, as of the time of the General Plan update in 2018 is nine (9) residential units/year, with exceptions given for affordable housing, accessory dwelling units, and in other circumstances as spelled out in the City’s implementing Growth Management Ordinance. The City, as part of its periodic review of the GMS, should consider the assigned Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) number for a given Housing Element cycle when establishing limits. This will help to ensure consistency with the larger Bay Area’s growth direction which encourages development in Priority Development Areas (PDAs) near transit and job centers. The regional growth strategy indicates that development outside the PDAs encourages sprawl, and contributes to traffic congestion and environmental impacts such as reduced air quality and loss of open space and Agricultural lands. No PDAs have been established in St. Helena.
GENERAL PLAN STRATEGY

The General Plan strategy presents an outline for the evolution of the city. This strategy is grounded in a set of planning principles and identifies key General Plan Change Areas and land use capacity in those areas. Each of these topics is described in more detail below.

Growth Strategy Principles

The principles for guiding future growth in St. Helena are based upon the city’s unique development pattern, vision for a sustainable future and its growth management. The following planning principles apply to the land use development strategy:

- Protect agricultural lands located outside the Urban Limit Line.
- Preserve agriculture, green, and open space within the Urban Limit Line to ensure the city maintains a rural and small-town character with sufficient “fingers of green”, particularly in light of St. Helena’s longstanding significant inadequacy of park land. (See Parks and Recreation Element).
- Focus new residential and commercial growth inward on appropriate infill sites.
- Maintain community character by requiring high-quality design and by avoiding “big box” development patterns and styles for commercial, industrial, and residential growth.
- Ensure that growth is sustainable for the long term and does not strain natural resources, services, or quality of life.
General Plan Change Areas

During the General Plan Update process 13 sites were identified for land use change, including sites for Mixed-Use, which is a new land use designation. These sites are located within the Urban Limit Line (with some minor shifts) and include parcels with commercial, mixed-use, and residential designations. Figure 2.8 shows the land use change areas that correspond to the list below.

1. **Adams Street and Library Lane (5.66 acres):** The proposed development program for the Adams Street property involves designating the entire site, with the exception of the library, as Central Business District. The library site will remain Public/Quasi Public. A modification of the Urban Limit Line is also proposed, which will increase the developable area by 2.83 acres and orient development along Adams Street.

2. **Main Street, Spring Street and Oak Avenue (2.61 acres):** M=Mixed-Use is proposed for this area to allow a mix of commercial, office, and residential development.

3. **Mitchell Drive and Oak Avenue-Northwest (2.04 acres):** High Density Residential is proposed for this area to allow for higher density development within walking distance to downtown.

4. **Mitchell Drive and Oak Avenue-Southeast Side (1.58 acres):** Mixed-Use is proposed for this area to allow a mix of commercial, office, and residential development.

5. **Main Street and Charter Oak Avenue (12.12 acres):** Mixed-Use is proposed for the eastern portion of the parcel and Industrial for the western portion of the property.

6. **Main Street and Vidovich Avenue (14.44 acres):** This parcel has a Mixed-Use General Plan land use designation, and the Vineland Station Hotel Project, a mixed-use project, has been approved for the location.
Figure 2.8
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Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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7. **Spring Street and St. James Drive (4.65 acres):** Medium Density Residential is proposed for this area to accurately reflect existing densities.

8. **Grayson Avenue (7.01 Acres):** Medium Density Residential is proposed on these parcels to allow more flexibility in density for this area.

9. **West end of Spring Street (14.31 acres):** This area includes a modification to the Urban Limit Line and an identical shift expanding the Low Density Residential area by 1.49 acres. This change is made to better reflect the flat portion of this parcel.

10. **Flood Control Project Site (15.7 acres):** Redesignate this parcel (the flood control project site) from Medium Density Residential to Open Space.

11. **Railroad Avenue (4 parcels):** Adjust the General Plan designation for parcels 1547 to 1569 on Railroad Avenue from Medium Density Residential to Mixed-Use.

12. **City Hall Site:** The Central Business District (CBD) designation is proposed for the property where City Hall is currently located.
The General Plan Land Use and Growth Management Element establishes a broad vision and framework for land use in St. Helena. It sets forth policies and implementing actions that are intended to guide local decision-makers over the life of the Plan. In contrast, the Zoning Ordinance details specific standards and design guidelines to regulate development. The functions of these two planning tools are described in further detail below.

**St. Helena’s Land Use and Growth Management Element**
- Defines and locates land uses throughout the City.
- Specifies acceptable building heights and densities per land use type.
- Describes the intent and direction of St. Helena’s growth.
- Includes overarching, citywide development principles, goals and policies to achieve a high quality built environment.

**St. Helena’s Zoning Ordinance**
- Regulates density (number of residential dwelling units per acre) and intensity (floor area ratio) of development.
- Specifies standards for site design, including open space, building orientation, massing, setbacks and relationship to the street and adjacent properties, parking requirements, and elevation.
- Provides incentives for affordable housing.
- Establishes allowed and prohibited uses.
Land Use Capacity
Several factors contribute to managing and guiding new growth in St. Helena. The General Plan Land Use and Zoning maps describe where different land uses are permitted and the intensity of development that may be allowed. The Land Use Change Areas map (Figure 2.8) and Housing Opportunity Site map (see Housing Element Figure 1.0) present locations where new development is likely to occur in the next 20 years. The Growth Management System limits the allowed number of new residential permits to a maximum of nine (9) new residential units per year. That represents a total of 72 units over the eight-year term of the Housing Element, which exceeds the City’s 2015 to 2023 RHNA allotment of 31 total residential units. Established by the State of California in 1969, RHNA requirements directly influence how St. Helena considers population growth. The State Housing and Community Development Department (HCD) works with the various regional Councils of Governments (COGs) throughout the state to identify and allocate the supply of housing necessary to meet the existing and projected growth in population and households in California (also see the Housing Element).
2.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to land use and growth management. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

• Regional growth forecasts prepared by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) in 2013 anticipate that St. Helena will add 400 new residents between 2015 and 2040. The City should be aware of long-term population projections when developing General Plan land use policies.

• The City’s Residential Growth Management System limits residential growth in order to protect agricultural land and ensure that the City can provide adequate public services, natural resources, and infrastructure necessary to meet increased need.

• St. Helena experiences high commercial rents and, except for the 2008 and 2009 recession, relatively high demand for additional commercial and office space in the city. The demand for office space is again increasing, and commercial rents are increasing as a result.

• There are a number of light industrial and commercial areas with a potential for development or redevelopment, particularly in the vicinity of State Route 29 south of Sulphur Creek.

• In February 2005, the City adopted the Highway 29 Specific Plan, which outlines circulation changes, roadways extensions, traffic signal installations, and streetscape improvements along the State Route 29 corridor west of the Sulphur Creek Bridge.
• Despite its relatively small population, St. Helena functions as a service center, and provides public services for surrounding towns and unincorporated areas, including Meadowood, Madrone Knoll, Calistoga, Angwin, Deer Park, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena. Through efficient land use planning, the City can ensure that St. Helena continues to serve this function while meeting the needs of its residents.

• Land use changes and development in the unincorporated areas adjacent to the city limits can have a significant impact on St. Helena. Close coordination with Napa County is essential for managing adverse impacts on St. Helena and coordinating City planning efforts accordingly.

• St. Helena has been successful in preserving agricultural lands within the city limits. The California Department of Conservation and Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program identifies only one significant incidence of land converted from farmland to an urbanized use, which was the conversion of eight acres of farmland into an expansion of Crane Park. County-wide, most agricultural land conversions have been from rangeland or lower-value uses, such as orchards, to vineyards for wine production.
2.4 Goals

The goals of the Land Use and Growth Management Element are:

**Manage Growth and Maintain Community Character.**

St. Helena is committed to preserving its existing community character, maintaining agricultural lands, managing growth and the impacts of tourism, and ensuring that adequate infrastructure and facilities are provided.

**Promote High-Quality and Sustainable Development.**

St. Helena is dedicated to a high standard of quality, economic viability and ecological sustainability with respect to the design, planning, and construction of new and renovated public and private facilities.
2.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Growth Management;
2. Residential Neighborhoods;
3. Commercial Districts;
4. Industrial Districts;
5. Agricultural Uses; and
6. Public Facilities.

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
Policies

**LU1.1** Require new development to occur within well-defined boundaries and be consistent with the ability to provide urban services. New development should mitigate infrastructure impacts by using sustainable, best management practices in green building and stormwater management and paying its share of development impact fees, while minimizing impacts on sewer, water, energy, and natural resources.

**LU1.2** Allow urban development to occur only within the Urban Limit Line. Consider an exception for on-site employee housing on Agricultural lands. Urban services, such as sewer, water, and storm drainage, will only be extended to development within the Urban Limit Line.

The Urban Limit Line may only expand when the amount of developable land within the Urban Limit Line is insufficient to implement the General Plan policies or when logical to include developed lands receiving urban services from the City. Expansion outside the Urban Limit Line should first be considered in Urban Reserve Areas. Expansion into other areas outside the Urban Limit Line should be considered only when the proposed land use is found to further the goals and long-term objectives of the City and does not result in adverse impacts to adjacent uses in either the urban or rural areas.
LU1.3 Support agricultural and low-intensity uses beyond the Urban Limit Line.

LU1.4 In order to minimize and postpone the need for expansion of the Urban Limit Line, encourage infill development within currently developed areas.

LU1.5 Require new development to provide adequate infrastructure and urban services, including compliance with the policies and implementing actions affecting new development as set forth in the Public Facilities and Services Element.

LU1.6 Support the redevelopment of vacant and underutilized sites within the downtown area with mixed-use development opportunities. Encouraging infill development with a mix of uses will support a pedestrian-oriented, vibrant retail and commercial district that is centrally located and easily accessible to residents and neighborhoods.

LU1.7 Continue to limit the approval of market rate residential development to the maximum allowed under the Growth Management System. Regulated affordable units and second units are exempt from this limitation.
Implementing Actions

**LU1.A** In order to increase residential density and housing availability without requiring an extension of the Urban Limit Line, allow the conversion of single-family homes in central locations to duplexes or triplexes under appropriate circumstances and where the homes are in medium and high density zoning districts. Update the Zoning Code to implement this policy.

**LU1.B** Rezone appropriate sites with land use designations such as Central Business, Service Commercial, and Mixed-Use, in accordance with the General Plan Land Use Map. Include provisions to allow for compatible uses on the same site, either in one structure or adjacent structures. The mix of uses can be vertical or horizontal and can include attached residential development in keeping with the integrity of historic structures and historic districts.

**LU1.C** Continue to update the City’s housing inventory to track the status of residential growth by unit type and affordability level.
LU1.D Review the City’s housing needs every five to eight years in conjunction with updates to the Housing Element to reassess housing priorities for the future years.

LU1.E Restrict the use of housing units as short-term rentals, except for those allowed by the Short-Term Rental Ordinance.

LU1.F Evaluate the effectiveness of the Growth Management System and consider the need for revisions in light of the City’s Housing Strategy. As part of this effort, study options for incorporating a mechanism to assess the relative benefits a proposed residential project brings to the community, such as through a community benefits program.

LU1.G Work with property owners and the Napa County Local Agency Formation Commission to study the benefits of annexing lands adjacent to the City of St. Helena, where the City owns and operates critical municipal infrastructure, including utility infrastructure, and/or provides municipal services, or where the provision of municipal services to replace wells and aging sceptic systems would improve public health.

LU1.H In the event that unincorporated areas are annexed to the City, advocate for favorable tax-sharing agreements that ensure that the City receives the revenues necessary to support the municipal services and infrastructure required in those areas.
Policies

LU2.1 Promote a mix of housing types and price ranges that are consistent with the Housing Element RHNA categories of housing affordability.

LU2.2 Encourage new residential development that is consistent in design, size, color, and floor area ratio (FAR) with the older residences in the neighborhood.

LU2.3 Protect residential neighborhood views of surrounding vineyards and mountains.

LU2.4 Encourage the subdivision and/or development of larger parcels as Planned Unit Developments to ensure a more comprehensive and creative approach to planning the development as a single unit. This does not prohibit use of Planned Unit Developments on parcels less than three acres.

LU2.5 Encourage the development of higher density housing in areas near the center of the city and close to recreation and services, such as transit, retail, and public facilities.

LU2.6 Allow residential density at the higher end of the permitted range for single family development within Medium and High Density Residential Land Use designations as long as the development character of the single family area is maintained, including lot widths, orientation to street, building heights, onsite parking, traffic, and noise, among other considerations.

LU2.7 Ensure safe, walkable, and bikeable residential neighborhoods and vibrant, livable streets.

LU2.8 Ensure walkable and accessible neighborhoods through mixed-use development.
Implementing Actions

LU2.A Update the zoning ordinance and map to be compatible with the General Plan land use map and designations.

LU2.B Develop and implement residential design guidelines and/or form-based codes, for single family and multiple family uses, to provide oversight and guidance for new buildings and renovations. Guidelines should ensure that new residential development is consistent with the design, size, and footprint of older residences in the neighborhood. Consider the impact of new development on surrounding residences.

(Also see the following elements: Community Design, Topic Area 3; and Economic Sustainability, Topic Area 3).

LU2.C Implement view shed protection review for residential development as part of an updated design review process.

LU2.D Continue to require residential developers to contribute to the provision of community facilities and services (e.g. parks, recreation facilities and programs, education facilities, traffic and transportation facilities, and services), consistent with State law requiring a nexus between project impacts and required mitigation.

LU2.E Update zoning standards to encourage the following criteria:

- A variety of lot widths and sizes, such as that found in the older areas of town;
- Garages at the rear of lots rather than on the street; or creative garage designs that incorporate the “garage door” frontage appearance to blend into the home;
- Lot coverage and floor area ratio (FAR) that is consistent with the scale of historic and older areas;
- Planting of street trees and planting strips along sidewalks; and
- Setbacks, building massing, and configuration consistent with older parts of neighborhoods.


Policies

**LU3.1** Strengthen the downtown as the City’s social and cultural core, and as the primary center of retail services. Facilitate a healthy mix of retail and commercial uses, residential development, entertainment, and lodging.

**LU3.2** Enhance the pedestrian-oriented character of commercial areas and provide for convenient pedestrian and bicycle connections to encourage walking and reduce vehicle trips within the commercial area.

**LU3.3** Support the redevelopment of auto-oriented commercial areas into pedestrian-friendly commercial uses.

**LU3.4** Protect historic resources in the commercial areas and encourage appropriate rehabilitation and adaptive reuse.

**LU3.5** Ensure that new retail and commercial development is compatible with and complementary to St. Helena’s small-town image. In addition, within the City’s Central Business District, new retail and commercial development should be of a scale and type that complements the historic character.
LU3.6 Continue to work with the County of Napa to review land use and design changes for projects in the unincorporated areas at the City’s gateways.

LU3.7 Provide sufficient auto and bicycle parking in order to serve local businesses in the commercial districts. Ensure that all parking areas are well-designed and that auto parking spaces are hidden from pedestrian view, whenever possible.

LU3.8 Provide sufficient opportunities for offices that support the regional, agricultural-based economy and the local needs of the community.

LU3.9 In Mixed-Use, Service Commercial, and Central Business districts encourage residential and office uses in upper-story locations or locations along the periphery of the retail district. This will facilitate active and pedestrian-oriented commercial areas.

LU3.10 Encourage office development within Mixed-Use, Service Commercial, and Central Business districts to complement the pedestrian orientation of surrounding development.

LU3.11 Ensure that new commercial development does not obstruct view corridors to the mountains.
Implementing Actions

LU3.A Identify sites in the Central Business and Service Commercial districts for mixed-use development that are close to services and facilitate walking, bicycling, and transit use.

LU3.B Establish an inventory of all non-residential uses in the city and a program for monitoring future non-residential development. Combine this inventory with efforts to balance jobs and housing.

LU3.C Encourage retail services which do not require a consumer base larger than the population of St. Helena and its vicinity. For the purposes of the General Plan, “vicinity” is defined as the surrounding agricultural area for which St. Helena has historically provided goods and services, including Calistoga, Angwin, Deer Park, Meadowood, Madrone Knoll, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena.

LU3.D Develop and implement commercial design guidelines and/or form-based codes to provide oversight and guidance for new buildings and renovations. Guidelines should ensure that new commercial development is consistent with the City’s character, particularly in historic districts.

(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area 2)
LU3.E  Prohibit retail commercial zoning on Main Street north of Pine Street.

LU3.F  Limit the floor area and size of buildings in the Central Business and Service Commercial districts to ensure that new buildings are in scale with typical older buildings in the district.

LU3.G  Pursue acquisition of 1301 Mitchell Lane to provide additional public parking facilities, or other City prioritized uses, in the Central Business District.
Policies

**LU4.1** Support and maintain a transitional zone around industrial areas to protect the health and safety of residential neighborhoods.

**LU4.2** Support the development of industries that are consistent with viticulture and winery support services and similar, compatible uses. Support the role of the City as an agriculturally-based service center for the surrounding area, including Calistoga, Angwin, Deer Park, Meadowood, Madrone Knoll, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena.

**LU4.3** Ensure that industrial projects are designed and sited to provide a positive image of the community. Landscaping and setbacks should be used to enhance industrial buildings.

**LU4.4** Ensure access to and from industrial areas that allows for safe and efficient circulation of goods and people.
Implementing Actions

**LU4.A** Update the zoning ordinance and map to be compatible with the General Plan land use map and designations.

**LU4.B** Develop and implement industrial design guidelines and/or form-based codes, to provide oversight and guidance for new buildings and renovations. Guidelines should ensure that new industrial development is consistent with the City’s character.

**LU4.C** Evaluate the compatibility of the Industrial Area and existing heavy equipment use between Highway 29 and Crane Avenue and determine if re-zoning or other action is necessary to ensure safety and liability, hazard, and noise reduction in surrounding neighborhoods, schools, and parks.

*Industrial uses that support surrounding agricultural uses are encouraged.*
Policies

LU5.1 Discourage conversion of existing farmland to non-agricultural uses.

LU5.2 Encourage the County to continue to promote agricultural uses and to limit further development in unincorporated areas surrounding the city.

LU5.3 Strictly limit development on properties existing at the time of the adoption of this General Plan that are designated as agricultural land.

LU5.4 Support community-based agricultural uses within the city, including community gardens, orchards, and parks.

LU5.5 Encourage the use of sustainable agricultural practices.

LU5.6 Permit wineries and other agricultural-related industries to locate in the city if their location does not adversely impact surroundings, uses, or city services (water, traffic, etc.) or the quality and character of the community.

The Urban Limit Line strictly limits development in order to protect agricultural uses.
Implementing Actions

**LU5.A** Update the zoning ordinance and map to be compatible with the General Plan land use map and designations.

**LU5.B** Continue to enforce the City’s “right to farm” ordinance that protects the right of agricultural operations in agriculturally-designated areas to continue their operations, even though such practices may generate complaints from nearby established urban uses. Explore the feasibility of a notification system (such as flags, web-based information, etc.) for agricultural spraying so nearby residences can prepare accordingly.

**LU5.C** Explore the feasibility and desirability of implementing permanent agricultural protection for lands within the Urban Limit Line in the form of agricultural preserves.

**LU5.D** Identify sites for community gardens, orchards, and parks. Establish a program to maintain public areas within and surrounding community gardens and to administer the assignment of garden spaces and collection of use fees.

**LU5.E** Encourage local farmers to employ sustainable agricultural practices wherever possible. Support agricultural activities that incorporate best management practices related to sustainable agriculture, including participation in local programs such as the Napa Valley Vintners - Napa Green Program and the California Certified Organic Farmers certification program.

**LU5.F** Where proposed residential, commercial, or industrial development abuts lands devoted to agricultural use, require the non-agricultural uses to incorporate buffer areas to mitigate potential land use conflicts as a condition of approval for subdivisions or use permits. The type and width of buffer areas shall be determined by the City based on the character, intensity, and sensitivity of the abutting land uses. Prepare and adopt guidelines and regulations to
assist in the determination of the appropriate type and scope of agricultural buffer areas needed in circumstances that warrant the creation of such buffer areas.

**LU5.G** Evaluate all discretionary land use applications, rezonings, and/or General Plan amendments, including those outside the Urban Limit Line, to determine their potential for impacts on Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance mapped by the State Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program and avoid converting these farmlands where feasible. Where conversion of farmlands mapped by the state cannot be avoided, require long-term preservation of at least one acre of existing farmland of equal or higher quality for each acre of state-designated farmland that would be rezoned or redesignated to non-agricultural uses. This protection may consist of establishment of farmland easements or other similar mechanism, and the farmland to be preserved shall be located within the city and preserved prior to approval of the proposed discretionary land use application rezoning, or General Plan amendment.

**LU5.H** Prepare and adopt guidelines and regulations to assist in the determination of the appropriate type and scope of agricultural buffer areas needed in circumstances that warrant the creation of such buffer areas.

**LU5.I** Establish a Farmland Mitigation Program for future development that requires the permanent protection of farmland at a 1:1 ratio of the amount of farmland converted. The program should include provisions for the acquisition of agricultural conservation easements and payment of in-lieu fees as potential methods of farmland mitigation, where eligible agricultural mitigation lands must be located within the State of California and be of comparable or better soil quality than the land being converted, and in-lieu fees are to be used for the acquisition of a conservation easement, including costs associated with the transaction and long-term maintenance.
Policies

LU6.1 Provide a wide-range of high-quality public facilities, including parks, multi-use trails, schools, fire and police services, water and wastewater systems, and community centers.

Implementing Actions

LU6.A Update the zoning ordinance and map to be compatible with the General Plan Land Use maps and designation and public facilities and services element.

LU6.B Pursue sites for future public facilities, including parks, consistent with projected growth.

LU6.C Explore the feasibility and desirability of moving public facilities to the Adams Street property.

LU6.D Install community amenities, such as public restrooms, drinking fountains, benches, and trash and recycling containers in commercial districts. Ensure that community amenities are designed and installed to complement surrounding businesses and support the pedestrian-orientation of the street.
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chapter three

economic sustainability
3.1 Purpose of the Element

The Economic Sustainability Element is not a State-mandated General Plan element. This Element is included in the General Plan in recognition of the important role that economic sustainability plays towards achieving the overall community vision of meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs. By building on and protecting St. Helena’s authentic small-town character, the Economic Sustainability Element establishes a path to enhancing the local quality of life while also valuing the role of visitors in the local economy. Policies and implementing actions in this Element should be considered in conjunction with policies in the other elements, particularly the Land Use and Growth Management, Circulation, and Public Facilities and Services elements.

The Economic Sustainability Element includes the following sections.

- **3.2 Economic Sustainability in St. Helena.** Defines and frames key economic sustainability issues (p. 3-3).
- **3.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 3-4).
3.4 Goals. Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 3-7).

3.5 Policies and Implementing Actions. Identifies policies and implementing actions to strengthen and enhance the local economy (p. 3-10).

3.2 Economic Sustainability in St. Helena

A sustainable approach to St. Helena’s economy is intended to preserve the city’s authentic, small-town character and quality of life, and leverage tourism to sustain its vibrant economy. The City strives to balance the impact of tourism with the desires of residents and business-owners, while recognizing the economic benefits that visitors bring, and the potential for tourism to contribute to the diversity of the community’s social and cultural life. Creating a long-term, proactive approach to define and achieve local economic priorities will help the City remain economically viable in the future while giving consideration to infrastructure, water, and housing.

Developing a reputation for transparency in governance, characterized in part by predictable, streamlined processes that include built-in checks and balances and, to the extent possible, reduced uncertainty associated with required discretionary actions, will also help further economic development and sustainability in St. Helena. By improving its reputation as a service-oriented City government, St. Helena will improve its ability to attract and retain businesses that are compatible with the city’s goals.
3.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to economic sustainability. The following key findings are based upon a comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input, as well as the 2007 Local Economy and Economic Development Background Report.

- St. Helena has historically exhibited slow population, household, and housing growth.

- St. Helena’s authentic small-town character, historic resources, and agricultural heritage are assets that enhance economic opportunities for local businesses. Protecting, promoting, and enhancing these resources is a critical for St. Helena’s economic sustainability.

- Housing affordability is an important issue in St. Helena and housing availability is a key constraint to further local economic development. Increasing the supply of housing – and particularly of workforce housing – is critical to St. Helena’s long-term economic sustainability.

- Revenue generation for the City is a key factor of economic sustainability and having retail on the ground floor of commercially-zoned parcels will help advance this objective.

- Commercial space is in limited supply in St. Helena and correspondingly high commercial rents impact the ability of businesses supplying everyday goods and services to locate or stay in St. Helena. Non-retail uses occupying ground-floor retail spaces, such as real estate offices, further drive up demand and rents for commercial space in St. Helena. Limiting the non-retail use of ground-floor spaces in key commercial areas may provide a more supportive environment for commercial uses that meet residents’ everyday shopping needs. However, this must be weighed against the potential for vacancies to adversely affect the vibrancy of other businesses in the area.

- Consultations with the business community in St. Helena revealed that local businesses require the support of both local residents and visitors in order to thrive. Therefore, a balanced economic development approach that seeks to meet the needs of local residents while also recognizing that a substantial portion of the local employment base and the City’s revenue base is depen-
dent upon the flow of tourism dollars to St. Helena will help the City establish a stronger Central Business District.

- Outreach efforts have indicated broad support in the community for maintaining the City’s existing prohibition of formula restaurants, outlet and chain stores, and large-scale retail businesses to ensure that St. Helena’s businesses continue to complement its distinctive small-town character.

- Local business leaders have expressed concerns regarding traffic issues in St. Helena and the availability of proximate parking for their employees. Local business leaders are also concerned about the City’s water supply and policies. Addressing these challenges will be essential if the City is to achieve its long-term economic sustainability goals.

- The wine industry is the key driver of the economy of the entire Napa Valley. Continuing to support the wine industry is essential to maintaining the economic health of the community and the Napa Valley. Furthermore, diversifying St. Helena’s economy to create new, compatible commercial activities and employment opportunities beyond the wine industry can greatly strengthen the economic vitality of the City.
Tourism by the wine industry supports local businesses, the incomes of many local households, the wineries and agricultural operations of the Napa Valley and local government revenues. The Napa Valley’s draw as a tourism destination will impact St. Helena regardless of local policies.

Sustainable tourism practices that have a low impact on the environment and local culture, while helping to generate future employment for local people will allow St. Helena to enjoy the economic benefits of tourism while maintaining the city’s authentic, small-town qualities.

Economic development opportunities for St. Helena lie in low-impact, high-end tourism, as well as economic diversification in other sectors for which the city has competitive advantages, such as the arts, healthcare, and financial services sectors.

St. Helena serves as a commercial and business center for the surrounding towns and unincorporated areas, including Calistoga, Angwin, Deer Park, Meadowood, Madrone Knoll, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena. However, the collective population base of St. Helena and surrounding unincorporated communities is still not sufficient to support some types of commercial retail and services. Residents will continue to travel to larger communities, like the City of Napa or beyond, to shop for certain types of goods and to obtain certain services.
3.4 Goals

The goals of the Economic Sustainability Element are:

Meet Residents’ Needs.

St. Helena is dedicated to meeting the basic needs of residents of the city and surrounding service areas, including Calistoga, Angwin, Meadowood, Madrone Knoll, Deer Park, Rutherford, and the unincorporated area south of St. Helena. The City recognizes that residents will continue to travel to larger cities in the region for certain goods and services. Through its adopted local policies and actions, St. Helena will strive to increase the proportion of residents’ employment, housing, entertainment, and basic shopping and services needs that can be satisfied locally.

Create and Implement an Economic Sustainability Strategy.

It is imperative that St. Helena create and implement an Economic Sustainability Strategy that will serve as a “roadmap” to achieve the city’s goal of economic sustainability. This strategy should include a framework within which the effects of policies and actions can accurately be measured and tracked, as well as forecasted into the future. Specifically, the City should create a short- and long-term economic model that aids in estimating the impacts, benefits, and costs that local policies and actions, as well as outside micro and macroeconomic forces, may have on the local economic environment. This model should also consider and incorporate long-term enhancements to local quality of life and the environment as well as metrics for measuring such elements.
CONCEPTS, TRENDS AND IDEAS

Sustainable Economic Development

Smaller cities, like St. Helena, often employ local economic development strategies to increase their economic capacity and prosperity. Local economic development approaches reach beyond traditional business attraction and retention and growth models to include longer-term enhancements to the local economy and prosperity into the future. Typical measures can include strategies to minimize retail leakage, support local artisans and small business owners, and enhance workforce development programs in key sectors of the local economy. In addition, local economic development models emphasize strengthening ties between the private, public and non-profit sectors to ensure a collaborative approach to creating better conditions for economic growth and investment.

A sustainable economic development strategy builds upon traditional and local economic development models to include long-term enhancements to local quality of life measures and the environment. In this way, economic sustainability extends the scope of economic growth models beyond the goal of achieving annual fiscal gains. Implementation approaches and measures vary according to the needs of the local economy, but may incorporate additional emphasis on local arts and cultural expression, educational improvement, public health and environmental sustainability.

Local economic development (LED) strategies include supporting local businesses and niche markets, such as culinary businesses and wineries.
Balance the Benefits and Effects of Visitors.
St. Helena will promote sustainable tourism practices that allow the City to enjoy the economic benefits of visitors to the region while maintaining the authentic small-town quality of life.

Generate Revenue.
St. Helena will promote economic development initiatives that generate diversified revenues to support local services and move towards greater self-sufficiency. Increased revenue generation for St. Helena is key to achieving other goals for the community, as without additional financial resources the City’s abilities to pursue and achieve such goals is limited.
3.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Economic Diversification;
2. Sustainable Tourism; and
3. City Government

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
Policies

**ES1.1** Maintain central St. Helena as the social, cultural, and economic heart of the City by supporting infill and redevelopment of vacant and underutilized parcels in the central St. Helena area.

**ES1.2** Identify and expand economic sectors in which the City has competitive advantages, and capitalize on these strengths in order to diversify local economic activities and strengthen St. Helena’s role as an agriculturally-based service center for the surrounding area.

**ES1.3** Ensure the long-term infrastructure needs and priorities of the community are met as part of an economic approach to economic vitality and sustainability.

(Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element for policies and implementing actions related to infrastructure)

**ES1.4** Encourage the creation of workforce housing to support the local employment base in keeping with small town smart growth.

(Also see the Housing Element, Topic Area 1)

**ES1.5** Encourage commercial uses that provide basic, everyday shopping and services for residents.

**ES1.6** Support local arts, cultural activities, and entertainment that can contribute to the local economy.

(Also see the Arts, Culture, and Entertainment Element)
Implementing Actions

**ES1.A** Develop, adopt, and implement an Economic Sustainability Strategy that addresses economic diversification and sustainability, as well as local fiscal and infrastructure priorities. This strategy will include the development of the necessary tools to implement the strategy.

**ES1.B** Update the Municipal Code to (a) encourage to the extent feasible businesses that are complementary to St. Helena’s small-town character and that provide a range of goods to local residents; (b) define and permit non-chain, discount type stores while maintaining the existing provisions in the Municipal Code that prohibit formula restaurants, outlet, and chain discount-type stores, and retail businesses over 10,000 square feet in size; and (c) limit certain non-retail uses, such as real estate offices, from occupying ground-floor retail space in Central St. Helena.

*(Also see the Arts, Culture, and Entertainment Element, Topic Area 4).*

**ES1.C** Develop a strategy to increase funding and resources to support arts and history as part of the City’s overall economic development program. Additional policies and implementing actions regarding support for the arts may be found in the Arts, Culture, and Entertainment Element.

**ES1.D** Consider the establishment of a Business Improvement District.

**ES1.E** Provide development incentives for new visitor-serving businesses to develop affordable workforce housing either through construction of housing or payment of an appropriate in lieu fee to develop housing elsewhere in the city. Such incentives shall include consideration of visitor-serving uses in Medium Density Residential or Higher Density Residential where a project provides affordable housing or an appropriate payment of an in lieu fee.
topic area
2 SUSTAINABLE TOURISM

Policies

ES2.1 Support the development of responsible, visitor-serving components to the City’s economy as a valuable source of jobs, tax revenues, and cultural amenities. Promote policies that facilitate and encourage this type of sustainable economic development.

ES2.2 Encourage visitor-serving uses oriented toward an upscale market, consistent with the Valley’s reputation as a producer of world-class wines. Discourage the introduction of uses that are dependent upon a mass tourist market.

ES2.3 Ensure a diverse mix of uses that avoids an over-representation of any particular use. Remove the cap on the number of hotel and motel rooms and restaurant seats but continue to limit vacation rentals.

ES2.4 Encourage green transportation options to circulate people throughout the community. Promote sustainable modes of travel and reduce the number and length of vehicle trips generated by visitors to the community.

ES2.5 Encourage socially and environmentally responsible businesses that make positive contributions to the community and operate in an environmentally-sound manner.

ES2.6 Expand lodging in the downtown area to encourage walking, biking, and alternative transportation modes in order to reduce the need for automobile trips.

(Also see the Circulation Element, Topic Area 4 for policies and implementing actions related to non-motorized transportation).

ES2.7 Do not legislate or restrict the number of restaurant seats, but continue to prohibit formula restaurants.
Implementing Actions

**ES2.A** Continue to prohibit formula restaurants, chain discount stores, and time-share lodging projects (excluding Fractional Ownership Lodging). Consider destination membership clubs and other potential lodging options that contribute to the Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) revenue stream subject to a conditional use permit.

**ES2.B** Study and recommend guidelines for permitting lodging uses in a range of land use designations, and ensure that the requested uses will not result in significant adverse impacts to the community while recognizing that the hotel taxes provide a valuable source of revenue for the City. Remove the cap on the number of hotel and motel rooms.

**ES2.C** Provide and maintain public restrooms in the Central Business District.
Policies

**ES3.1** Establish and maintain an Economic Sustainability Strategy as well as related and necessary tools including, but not limited to, a short- and long-term economic, quality of life, and environmental forecasting model.

**ES3.2** Establish and strengthen the reputation of St. Helena’s City Government as customer service-oriented and as being business friendly.

**ES3.3** Ensure clarity, transparency, and efficiency in local regulations, permitting processes, and fee structures.

**ES3.4** Encourage partnerships between the City and private and nonprofit organizations to promote economic sustainability in St. Helena.

**ES3.5** Support cultural diversity through economic sustainability initiatives.
Implementing Actions

**ES3.A** Continue Planning Department technical assistance for new projects.

**ES3.B** Develop a revised design review and/or form-based code process for commercial and industrial uses that establish objective design guidelines and restrictions.

*(Also see the following elements: Community Design, Topic Areas: 2 and Land Use and Growth Management, Topic Area 3)*

**ES3.C** Explore hiring or retaining economic development planning expertise to assist in creating and maintaining an Economic Sustainability Strategy and associated and necessary tools. Partner with the local business community to ensure that the program effectively meets participants’ needs.

**ES3.D** Facilitate and fast track projects generating significant City revenue that will not adversely impact the City’s resources and are consistent with the General Plan, Municipal Code, and CEQA.

**ES3.E** Encourage partnerships between the City and private and/or nonprofit organizations to enhance the City’s economic sustainability.

**ES3.F** Consider leveraging City resources as feasible to enhance the City’s economic sustainability.
chapter four

public facilities and services
Public services that support St. Helena residents include water, sewer, storm drainage, solid waste collection, schools and libraries.

### 4.1 Purpose of the Element

The Public Facilities and Services Element presents a framework for the City to provide services, amenities, and infrastructure for today’s residents and businesses, as well as future generations. The policies and implementing actions aim to improve community services facilities, physical infrastructure, and a range of public utilities and services to best meet St. Helena’s needs.

The Public Facilities and Services Element includes the following sections.

- **4.2 Public Facilities and Services in St. Helena.** Summarizes public facilities and services provided by the City of St. Helena (p. 4-3).

- **4.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis (p. 4-18).

- **4.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 4-22).

- **4.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to provide services and maintain the City’s physical infrastructure (p. 4-23).
4.2 Public Facilities and Services in St. Helena

From water supply and flood management to K-12 education, the City of St. Helena and its partners manage an array of public facilities and provide numerous services to support City residents and businesses, as well as neighboring communities. This network of facilities, services, and infrastructure is essential to support the City’s quality of life and its ability to accommodate any potential future growth. As California explores solutions to its water supply shortages, the reduced capacity of its landfills, and limited resources to provide quality education and community services for all residents, St. Helena and local jurisdictions throughout the state are faced with many challenges. This section summarizes the key issues in public facilities and services for St. Helena.

WATER

Water Supply

The City has three sources of potable water: Bell Canyon Reservoir, water purchased from the City of Napa, and groundwater. The City extracts potable water from two groundwater wells at its Stonebridge Well Complex located near the Napa River, south of Pope Street. The City also has two sources of non-potable water: Lower Reservoir on York Creek and a groundwater well just north of the access to the Pope Street Bridge. The non-potable water is used almost exclusively for irrigation. The City also owns a capped well on its Adams Street property. This well is a potential future source of groundwater and potentially, if treated, of potable water.

Bell Canyon Reservoir is the City’s primary source of potable water, and the City has the right to divert and store 3,800 acre-feet (AF) at Bell Canyon, although the available physical storage is significantly less than that amount. Bell Canyon is an on-stream reservoir with a physical storage capacity of approximately 1,800 AF. This amount of water is physically available only when all hydrologic and hydraulic conditions are optimal for surface water diversions. In some years, lower amounts will be available due to low rainfall or rainfall occurring...
more episodically than continuously. Further, the amount that operationally can be withdrawn from storage in any year is less than the amount in true storage due to the need to carry significant storage over from one year to the next to augment total supply in dry years. At the same time, planned infrastructure improvements at Bell Canyon, including electronic equipment and related improvements that permit accurate monitoring of inflows and outflows in real time, could enhance the annual yield from the reservoir.

Water from Bell Canyon Reservoir is treated at the Louis Stralla Treatment Plant, located near the reservoir. The plant has a treatment capacity of 4.3 million gallons per day (mgd). The plant typically operates at 3.5 mgd, less than peak demand.

Lower Reservoir is an off-stream reservoir with a physical capacity of between 200 and 225 AF. The City has a pre-1913 claim to store up to 160 AF in this reservoir. However, the City has no facility to treat water from Lower Reservoir. About 50 AF per year from the reservoir has been used for irrigation by Spring Mountain Winery and by RLS Middle School. The City has also supplied Lower Reservoir water to local contractors for construction purposes.
The City treats water produced at its two groundwater wells at the Stonebridge Well Complex (Stonebridge Wells Nos. 1 & 2) at a small treatment plant near the wells. These wells are located near the Napa River, south of Pope Street. The City typically operates both wells at the same time. A third well, also near the Napa River but just north of Pope Street, provides untreated water that is used for irrigation in nearby areas, including Jacob Meily Park. The City routinely monitors the elevation of the aquifer in the area of the City wells. The spring and fall elevation levels have declined since Stonebridge Well No. 1 went into production in 1992. While the decline is disconcerting, the City is not able to assess the long-term significance without further study.

The City also purchases significant water quantities from the City of Napa, having entered into a long-term water supply agreement for that purpose in September 2006. The delivery terms were materially revised in April 2009 (Amendment No. 1) and in November 2011 (Amendment No. 2). The initial term of the contract expires on December 31, 2035. Under the revised delivery terms, through the year 2035, Napa is required to deliver 600 AF of water per year to the City of St. Helena and the City is required to take and pay for 600 AF each year. The City has the option to purchase additional water from Napa (above the 600 AF) if Napa has the water to sell.

Water purchased from the City of Napa is much more expensive than water produced by the City from Bell Canyon or the City Wells. In 2012, the annual cost of 600 AF was approximately $1.2 million. The price escalates at the rate of 3 percent per year (subject to some potential adjustment). At the same time, the reliability of Napa water (as Napa must deliver 600 AF in all years) provides much needed assurance that the City will receive significant water in drought years when relying mainly on water from Bell Canyon could be problematic and groundwater production would not otherwise be sufficient to avoid a serious or even extreme water shortage.
As can be observed in Figure 4.1, the annual yield from Bell Canyon in recent years is significantly less than in prior years. Primarily because more water has flowed through to the Napa River to support fish. Most recently, Napa water has become an increasing percentage of St. Helena’s total supply, as increased deliveries under the Napa contract are impacting the total mix. The City is also seeking to reduce its withdrawal of groundwater in non-drought years, in order to give the aquifers in the area of the Stonebridge Well Complex an opportunity to recharge.

**FIGURE 4.1: St. Helena Historical Annual Water Production**
Distribution System
The existing distribution area covers a large area inside and outside of the city limits. The network extends from Lodi Lane, two miles north of the city, to Niebaum Lane, in the unincorporated community of Rutherford, three miles south of the city.

In 2015 the City provided water to service to approximately 2,312 connections. Of those, 348 connections are located outside the City’s jurisdictional boundary. Figure 4.2 shows the distribution of customers on the City’s water system. All 18 industrial customers are, with one exception, wineries. “Other” water consumers include institutional users, such as churches and schools. Customers outside city limits include residential, commercial, and industrial customers.

Like all water suppliers, the City has had some “unaccounted-for water loss.” Unaccounted-for water loss is the difference between the metered quantity of water produced or purchased by the City and the metered quantity of water sold to all City customers. Unaccounted-for water is therefore not available for sale (“unavailable water”).

Unavailable water is attributed to unmetered water lost due to leaks, unauthorized use, firefighting (including flushing of hydrants), system maintenance, and inaccurate meters. As the City has completed replacement of customer meters, and has also undertaken significant meter improvements at the Louis Stralla Treatment Plant, the City believes that most unavailable water is occurring under the streets in its aging distribution system. This is a difficult, expensive, and long-term issue to resolve. The City recognizes that it must maintain unaccounted-for water loss at an acceptable level in its municipal systems.

Water Demand
Total existing metered potable water demand averaged about 1,900 acre-feet per year between 2000 and 2015 and has declined in recent years due to improved water use efficiency and short-term demand reductions. Meaningful savings have been observed in residential water consumption (which is also the largest category of user, as seen on Figure 4.2). General commercial and
industrial (winery) usage, when added together, have also significantly declined in recent years, including in low rainfall years. For land uses outside the city limits, metered potable water demand averaged 142 acre-feet per year based on average water demand for 2002-2008.

**The Safe Annual Yield of the Water System**
Experience has showed that the City has inadequate water to supply customer demand without imposition of water emergency restrictions in recent years, which has led to the establishment of a “Safe Annual Yield” of the Water System. Often “safe yield” is thought of as that supply that can be reliably delivered under worst-case (drought) conditions. However, it was also apparent that under such an approach, the demand on the City’s water system, even at the reduced levels of recent years, exceeded the “safe annual yield,” if so defined. Such an inflexible approach was viewed as too restrictive for planning purposes.

**FIGURE 4.2: Metered Potable Water Demands 2002-2008, Percent Distribution**
In consequence, the City undertook to establish its own definition of “safe annual yield,” as follows: “The safe annual yield of the St. Helena water supply system is that quantity of water which can be reliably delivered on an annual basis through most rainfall years, including a Dry Year (rainfall at 22” to 25.9”), without undue hardship on water customers through water shortage restrictions.” The City defined “undue hardship” as “three or more consecutive months of Phase II water restrictions or Phase III water restrictions.” The water restriction phases are those as stated in a water emergency ordinance adopted by the City in the fall of 2011. It is recognized that the annual safe yield, as so defined, could place significant hardship on water customers in a Critically Dry Year (rainfall at 21.9” or less) or in periods of two or more consecutive Dry Years.

The calculation of safe yield is made according to the above definition using an estimate of water available from the City’s three sources under current operating conditions and under the rainfall conditions included in the definition. It is assumed that groundwater withdrawals will not exceed 450 AF in normal years (ideally withdrawals should be significantly less than 450 AF). It assumes that the City will purchase 600 AF each year from the City of Napa, in accordance with its contractual commitment, as described above. It takes into account the storage and bypass requirements that the City must follow at Bell Canyon. On the demand side, the estimated demand equals total water actually supplied (including unavailable water) averaged over the past five years. A five-year average seeks to even out anomalies that can impact yearly demand, especially due to wide variations in rainfall that can occur from year-to-year. The City recognizes that it might need to adjust the inputs into the safe yield calculation based on new information. For example, the annual safe yield would increase if the City were to acquire a significant new source of water supply. The annual safe yield could decrease if the City finds that it cannot sustainably withdraw water from the City production wells at current levels.

Based on water supplies available in 2013, the City estimated that the safe annual yield of its water system is 1,950 AF. With average five-year demand (which must include unavailable water) less than the annual safe yield in 2013, the City calculated that its water surplus was 80 AF. Citywide demand has shown a declining trend since 2002, mainly due to the decline in residential water demand.
Water Shortage Emergencies
In 2011, the City adopted a Water Shortage Emergency Ordinance, which incorporated the definition of annual safe yield, and requires a yearly calculation of the annual safe yield. If the City’s water balance pursuant to the safe yield calculation is in deficit, then the City must comply with Phase I water restrictions. Most importantly, this requires that any new water demand, such as from a new project, must be completely offset by a reduction in current water demand, to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works. Phases II and III involve the imposition of mandatory water restrictions on customers. If Phase II appears imminent, the City Council must appoint a Water Board which, if Phase II is implemented, will work with the Director of Public Works to ensure compliance by all sectors (residential, commercial, and industrial) with Phase II restrictions. Phase III restrictions are severe.

Water Supplies
The City needs to obtain new water supplies and/or achieve more water savings, even under current conditions in order to reliably meet the current and future water demand. At the same time, the City recognizes that any new water supply, even if forthcoming, is likely to be expensive, potentially increasing the unit cost of potable water. Thus, the main emphasis going forward will be on conservation, seeking to reduce demand by all classes of users.

Water Recycling Potential
The City recognizes the imperative to recycle water and the value of putting recycled water to beneficial use. The demand for recycled water is likely to be highest during the driest months when flows into the City’s sewage treatment plant are at their lowest. This means that, under current conditions, recycled water could not be a meaningful factor in augmenting supply for non-potable use without the addition of substantial storage capacity. It would be necessary to provide recycled storage, pumping, and distribution facilities that includes, at minimum, 400 AF of storage. The City does not own land at a location suitable for such storage capacity, and at this time the cost of purchasing land and constructing such storage, a large capital cost, would not be fiscally justifiable to the water system’s rate payers.
Green Infrastructure

Interconnected networks of natural environments, open spaces, and landscaped areas provide essential services and livable qualities for our communities. By mimicking natural hydrologic processes in these areas, “green infrastructure” is an integrated set of strategies and improvements that can help to manage stormwater and improve overall watershed health, reducing the need for costly enhancements to built infrastructure. Green infrastructure approaches include vegetated swales, green roofs, rain gardens, daylit creeks, preserved and undeveloped spaces, permeable paving, and the incorporation of other features into community and street design. These improvements can substantially help to manage stormwater runoff, improve water infiltration, reduce flows, and improve water quality.

By incorporating green infrastructure into the City’s existing built and natural landscapes, St. Helena can simultaneously improve the efficiency of stormwater management, reduce flood risks, enhance the City’s design character and protect natural communities and wildlife. Importantly, green infrastructure can also significantly reduce demand on the City’s stormwater drainage system, minimizing the need to construct expensive pipe systems.
SEWER

Collection System
The City provides sewer service to approximately 1,726 connections, of which 75 percent are residential. All sewer connections are located within city boundaries, with no out-of-agency boundary sewer services provided. About 300 dwelling units and three wineries are on individual disposal systems, most of them too remote to reach the City’s sewer system. With the exception of the original town site, which has four-inch sewer lines, most of the City is served by pipes adequately-sized for dry weather flows. During the winter rainy season, surface and ground water infiltration increases flows by eight times. In several areas of the city, the sewer system suffers from defects which prevent free flow of sewage, resulting in backwater in the system. One lift station exists at the Crinella development in the northeast quadrant east of Main Street. The remaining system operates by gravity.

Treatment Plant
The wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), including its integrated pond system, is located in the southeast corner of the city, near the Napa River. There are a series of ponds that treat the effluent to a secondary level, and the treated effluent is then sprayed onto a field owned by the City just south of the ponds. While the City’s permit allowed for discharge into the Napa River under very limited conditions, the City seeks to minimize discharges directly into the river.

In the past, wastewater discharges to the Napa River have exceeded the established limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids. Constructed in the 1960’s, the WWTP is required to meet new wastewater treatment standards set forth by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), which mandate additional treatment of wastewater in order to meet stricter environmental requirements. Under a Cease and Desist Order from the RWQCB, the City is required to phase in the improvements to the wastewater treatment plant by 2021. As part of the project, the city will evaluate the options for improving the facility in order to meet the new requirements.
Working with City Council and sewer service ratepayers in St. Helena, the City will determine a strategy to meet the new requirements, develop the required design and engineering documents, and construct the needed improvements.

**Storm Drainage**

The City is divided into two major subwatersheds, York Creek and Sulphur Creek. Both watersheds drain into the Napa River watershed. The following section provides an overview of the two major components of the City’s drainage system.

*For additional discussion of flood potential in St. Helena and for policies to reduce flood incidence and minimize flood impacts, see the Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element.*

**York Creek Subwatershed**

The approximately 7.2-mile-long York Creek has a 4.4-square-mile subwatershed that includes the Upper and Lower York Creek reservoirs. Runoff north of Pratt Avenue is conveyed to York Creek through a number of culverts and ditches, then into the Napa River north of the Pratt Avenue Bridge. Built at approximate Stream Mile 2.5, the Upper York Creek Dam (UYCD) stretches 140 feet across the channel and stands 50 feet high. The UYCD was built in 1900 to supply water to the City. Operations were halted in the 1980s due to acquisition of other water sources and ongoing issues with sedimentation. Water is no longer stored at UYCD, and the City is actively working on a project to remove the dam and restore the creek in this area.

*(See Open Space and Conservation Element).*
The City has five public schools including the St. Helena Elementary School.

**Sulphur Creek Subwatershed**

The Sulphur Creek subwatershed area is 9.3 square miles. Sulphur Creek’s one major tributary, Heath Canyon Creek, joins the main stem of Sulphur Creek immediately before it exits Sulphur Canyon and begins to flow across the Valley. Heath Canyon and Sulphur Creek have a combined channel length of approximately 12.7 miles. The lower 1.5 miles of Sulphur Creek flow through the City of St. Helena. Sulphur Creek then flows into the city from the west along Sulphur Springs Road, and then runs east to its confluence with the Napa River, near the Pope Street Bridge. The lower reach of Sulphur Creek is referred to as the historic gravel mining reach due to the historic gravel mining activities that occurred and the resulting, extensive gravel deposition in the area. The main stem of Sulphur Creek has seven major road crossings comprised of bridges and box culverts. Most of these major crossings are large enough to handle flood flow, but many smaller crossings and culverts on the tributaries have been identified as undersized. Channel modifications, including both on- and off-stream reservoirs, also alter flow patterns in the Sulphur Creek watershed.

Existing on- and off-stream reservoirs intercept and retain storm flows, acting to reduce the peak of the hydrograph and flooding. However, several of these reservoirs have inadequate overflow mitigation (i.e. spillways) and have the potential to cause severe erosion.
Solid Waste

The Upper Valley Disposal Service provides solid waste services to all residents and businesses in the City of St. Helena. The Upper Valley Disposal Service provides an extensive recycling program and a variety of waste reduction programs. A single stream recycling program accommodates a wide array of wastes including plastic, glass, steel, tin, aluminum, and most types of paper and cardboard. The Agency also conducts public education to teach residents and businesses about composting and its recycling and electronic waste disposal programs.

Solid waste is disposed of in the Clover Flat Landfill, located on the Silverado Trail north of St. Helena. The landfill has a permitted capacity of 4.90 million cubic yards. As of 2018, the landfill has a remaining capacity of approximately 2.62 million cubic yards. The landfill has 10 years remaining on its existing franchise agreement with Napa County, but has an estimated capacity to last approximately 30 years and is expected to close in 2047.

SCHOOLS AND PUBLIC LIBRARY

The St. Helena Unified School District maintains four schools: a primary school, an elementary school, a middle school, and a high school that serve approximately 1,200 students in grades K–12. According to the District’s 2010 Facilities Master Plan, St. Helena’s public schools have a maximum capacity of approximately 1,770 K-12 students. Coupled with a decreasing trend in enrollment in recent years, the District anticipates adequate capacity to accommodate projected enrollment in the near-term. There are also four private schools in the city, as well as a public preschool (Little Backpacks Preschool) and a public college (Napa Valley College).
The George and Elsie Wood Public Library is the City’s single public library. The library is home to approximately 96,000 books, videos, albums, newspapers, magazines, and other media. The library also contains the Napa Valley Wine Library collection, which consists of 3,500 titles regarding viticulture, enology, and other wine-related literature. The Robert Louis Stevenson Museum is also located on the Library site. In addition to public funds, the library is sustained by the active fundraising efforts of the Friends of the St. Helena Library, a “library foundation” group that has subsidized programming enhancements and a major library expansion. Continued City support can ensure that the library remains an innovative, cultural center serving all St. Helenans.

**FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES**

The City of St. Helena Fire Department provides fire protection services within the City limits and certain surrounding unincorporated areas, pursuant to a mutual aid agreement between the City and the County of Napa. This agreement dictates, depending on the needs of the incident, that all fire departments in the county will respond to a call for services as requested or required. Napa County Station 26 (St. Helena) and Napa County Station 12 (Yountville) provide automatic aid on all residential and commercial structure fires in the City of St. Helena. Services provided by the Fire Department include fire suppression, fire prevention, education, emergency medical and rescue services, and response to incidents involving hazardous materials.

The Fire Department is based at 1480 Main Street in St. Helena. As a part time department, firefighters respond to the station when they receive a page, leaving the station unoccupied throughout the day. The firefighters are trained to provide emergency medical services at various levels. The Fire Department responds simultaneously with the ambulance dispatch, and generally arrives on scene concurrently with the ambulance company. The department has set a goal for a maximum response time of 8 minutes within the St. Helena city limits.
The majority of calls received by the Fire Department are for emergency medical services.

**POLICE SERVICES**

The Police Department is based at 1480 Main Street in St. Helena. The St. Helena Police Department provides police services within the city limits. The Police Department maintains 24-hour security patrol throughout the community. In addition to calls within the City of St. Helena, the Police Department responds to incidents in surrounding unincorporated areas based on separate mutual aid agreements with California Highway Patrol, the Calistoga Police Department, and the County of Napa.

The Police Department goal is to maintain a staffing ratio of two police officers for every 1,000 residents. The Police Department’s goal is to maintain an average response time of three minutes or less. In recent years, the number of calls for service has increased, resulting in an increase in response time.
4.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to public facilities and services. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

Water

- It is imperative that the City continue to search for new sources of water, noting that groundwater is not considered a “new” source of water. However, until such sources are identified and acquired, the City must plan on its current sources of water as its only sources into the indefinite future.

- The City owns and operates two reservoirs, six storage tanks, pumps, four pump stations, and a network of distribution pipelines. Infrastructure is located within the City limit, SOI and the study areas. In 2015, St. Helena provided water service to approximately 2,312 connections, of which 348 were located outside the City’s jurisdictional boundary.

- The installation of new electronic monitoring equipment and associated infrastructure improvements at Bell Canyon to better monitor Bell Canyon inflows, outflows, and water levels more accurately and in real time would be valuable to the City’s water utility. Such real time measurement might lead to increased yields from Bell Canyon, as it will enable the City more accurately to manage the reservoir, including fulfillment of its obligation to flow water through to the Napa River, as required by its permits.

- To date, the City has not implemented its recycled water program due to logistical and financial constraints. Key issues include inadequacies in the City’s distribution system that limit options to return water to users and insufficient demand to use greywater for irrigation purposes, particularly among wineries. Removing logistical and financial constraints can help the City keep the program moving forward until additional funding becomes available to fully upgrade the treatment plant and distribution system.

- Residential, commercial, and industrial customers have made great strides in recent years in reducing their water usage. It appears that residential con-
consumption is St. Helena is consistent with other Napa communities after taking into account housing mix and lot sizes.

- Future climate change could alter regional rainfall and significantly impact the City’s water resources. The City should maintain awareness of evolving climate science assessments as they pertain to the Napa Valley and take those considerations into account in its ongoing water management planning.

Wastewater
- The City of St. Helena Wastewater Treatment Plant is operates under permits from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board. The most recent new permit was issued on January 12, 2016. The permitted capacity is the average dry weather flow which shall not exceed 0.65 million gallons a day. The actual average dry weather discharge rate shall be determined for compliance over three consecutive dry weather months each year. Under the new permit and related order, the City is required to meet more stringent discharge limitations. This may require significant improvements to the wastewater treatment facility.

- Portions of the Wastewater Treatment Plant are located within the 100-year floodplain, which can cause stormwater flows to infiltrate the City’s wastewater collection system during the rainy season. Reducing inflow and infiltration into the wastewater collection and treatment system can reduce the frequency of overloads and increase the effectiveness of the City’s facilities.

- New development often results in an increase in impervious surface areas and a decrease in natural vegetation, which in turn can result in increased stormwater runoff. Stormwater runoff can cause nonpoint source pollution in streams and rivers.

- St. Helena’s Stormwater Master Plan is approximately 10 years old and some of the collection lines detailed in the plan have not yet been constructed. Currently, the City addresses stormwater runoff concerns on a case-by-case basis. Updating the City’s Stormwater Master Plan can help streamline the permitting and approval process and renew recommendations to reflect changes since the adoption of the last plan.

- The City maintains a network of more than 22 miles of pipe and trunk lines that collect sewage from homes and businesses, and currently provides
4 public facilities and services

In 1986 and 1995, floodwater overtopped the Napa River bank and caused significant damage to neighborhoods in the City of St. Helena, prompting the City to take action which led to the development of the Comprehensive Flood Mitigation Project (Project). The final Project plan, approved in 2006, provides flood risk reduction for a 100-year flood event along with riparian environmental benefits. The Project’s main components include a floodplain terrace, a new levee and floodwall, a storm water detention basin, pump station, and a site adaptive management plan. After completing design and permitting between 2006 and 2008, construction began in 2009. Construction of the Project was completed in April 2011, after which the City submitted an application to FEMA for accreditation of the new flood mitigation features. FEMA’s approval was received in June 2012 through a Letter of Map Revision reflecting the areas brought under flood mitigation by the project. The Project removed over 200 residential units from the floodplain.

Sewer service to approximately 1,726 connections, of which 75 percent are residential. All sewer connections are located within city boundaries, with no out-of-agency boundary sewer services provided.

Schools, Library, Fire, and Police

- In recent years nearly a third of St. Helena Unified School District students were classified as English Learners, with nearly all of these students listed as Spanish-speakers. Strengthening existing relationships with the school district can help the City effectively target services to Spanish speaking communities and has been effectively accomplished by the St. Helena library.

- Strengthening existing relationships with the school district can help the City effectively target services to lower-income families, such as those whose students receive free or reduced-price meals.

- Collaboration with the St. Helena Unified School District presents an opportunity to enhance after-school programming and social service delivery, as well as enable schools to function as neighborhood centers for a variety of intergenerational and community events.

- St. Helena’s library consistently ranks as one of the top public libraries in the state and offers a wide variety of services for residents of all ages. In addition to its regular collection, the library houses the papers of the St. Helena Historical Society and the Napa Valley Wine Library Association and the Robert Louis Stevenson Museum. Per capita, the St. Helena Public Library enjoys the highest circulation and percentage of cardholders in the state.
The York Creek and Sulphur Creek watersheds drain into the Napa River.

- The St. Helena Fire and Police departments, as part of a mutual aid agreement between the City and Napa County, provides emergency response services to residents and businesses adjacent unincorporated Napa County communities outside of the City limits. Therefore, growth in these communities, as well as maintenance of infrastructure, impacts staffing and equipment needs, as well as response times for the City of St. Helena.
4.4 Goals

The goals of the Public Facilities and Services Element are:

**Ensure High-Quality Public Services and Facilities.**
St. Helena is committed to investing in and maintaining a high standard of quality for facilities and infrastructure to serve a diverse range of community needs.

**Promote Sustainable Standards and Practices.**
St. Helena is dedicated to upgrading existing community facilities and infrastructure where possible and setting standards for new improvements that support long-term ecological sustainability and environmental mitigation.
4.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Water;
2. Sewer;
3. Storm Drainage/Flooding;
4. Solid Waste; and

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
Policies

PF1.1 Require that the approval of new development be contingent upon the ability of the City to provide water without exceeding the safe annual yield of its water supply system.

PF1.2 Adopt and implement equitable water conservation measures for both residential and non-residential users so that the City can supply water within the safe yield of its water system.

PF1.3 Prohibit water service to new customers outside the city limits unless a potential threat to health and safety can be demonstrated.

PF1.4 Proactively reduce the City’s commitment to provide water to uses outside the city limits.

PF1.5 Continue to implement and update as necessary the City’s Water Management Plan Ordinance and the City’s Ordinance containing the Water Use Efficiency Guidelines, along with other existing water conservation ordinances and measures.

PF1.6 Aggressively promote adoption of “best practices” for reducing water usage in the existing housing stock through the City existing Ordinances and Water Conservation Plans.

Implementing Actions

PF1.A Continue to implement the City’s water conservation ordinances and programs that act to reduce per capita water consumption. In addition, consider incentives to property owners to install rainwater collection barrels and continue to require water efficient irrigation systems and drought tolerant landscaping.

PF1.B Implement the following water system improvements:

- Replace obsolete, undersized water mains to provide more efficient circulation, higher pressures, and lower pipe losses during heavy demand periods.
- Continue service of water mains to reduce unaccounted-for water losses.
PF1.C Continue to aggressively look for new water supply sources adequate to serve St. Helena’s population into the future. New sources may include adoption of new technologies, such as effective water recycling.

PF1.D The City of St. Helena should not draw or sell any groundwater beyond that currently allowed until a safe yield of the groundwater system has been identified through a study of the North Main Basin Aquifer by a qualified hydrogeologist.

PF1.E Permit no new development relying on groundwater unless and until it is determined that the incremental production of ground water to support the development will not adversely impact the water production capability of the aquifer supporting the City wells.

PF1.F Track the drilling of new private wells in and around St. Helena and, if so recommended by the qualified hydrologist hired by the City’s water system, request that the County impose a moratorium on new well drilling if needed to protect the production capability of the City wells.

PF1.G If feasible, adopt a Water Conservation Program that includes the following actions:

- Utilize a Water Conservation staff member or consultant, as needed;
- Update the new construction offset program;
- Establish an Irrigation Advisory Service and promote “Smart Irrigation Controllers”; 
- Adopt new requirements for “ultra-efficient” plumbing fixtures for new development and rebates for existing users;
- Reduce average dry weather flow; and
- Evaluate incentives for replacement of turf and rainwater catchment, etc.

PF1.H Ensure that water rates are designed to promote conservation, as well as to ensure that needed capital improvements are made in a timely manner.
4 public facilities and services

1 WATER

**PF1.I** Evaluate and adjust as needed “water shortage emergency” phases, recognizing the complexity of the supply system and making use of modeling of historical and future performance.

**PF1.J** Develop and adopt regulations to ensure that total potable water usage is not greater than 1,950 acre-feet per year unless the project includes housing affordable to lower income households and a determination is made pursuant to Government Code 65589.7 that a “sufficient water supply” is available to serve that project and none of the exceptions set forth in 65589.7 (c) apply; or, new sources of water are made available to the City. Residential projects that contain affordable housing shall receive priority allocation of water.

**PF1.K** Aggressively promote adoption of “best practices” for reducing water usage in the existing housing stock.

**PF1.L** Require that all new residential housing projects incorporate “best practices” for minimizing water usage.

**PF1.M** Limit any future non-residential development to projects that incorporate “best practices” for water conservation.

**PF1.N** Institute an ongoing process of mandatory audits of all existing non-residential water users to promote adoption of “best practices” for water conservation.
**PF1.O** Provide the full-time capability in the City to implement and oversee water conservation policies and to pay for this capability out of water revenues rather than the General Fund.

**PF1.P** Collaborate with Napa County (GRAC study) by participating to establish in the ongoing monitoring program to assess the long-term viability and recharge capability of the North Main Basin aquifer that supplies the City’s wells.

**PF1.Q** The City of St. Helena at the earliest opportunity shall work with the City of Napa to extend that Napa water supply contract beyond the expiration of its term at the end of 2035.

**PF1.R** Maintain awareness of long-term risks to the City water supply, including potential climate change impacts, impacts on groundwater resources, uncertainties about the Napa water contract renewal in 2035, and Napa water delivery reliability due to impacts on the State Water Project from drought, singlepipe delivery system risks, large storms, or earthquakes. Allocate any surplus water resources among new uses and unallocated reserves to maintain a balance between short-term needs and long-term risk mitigation.

**PF1.S** Provide for capital needs of water and wastewater systems.
Policies

**PF2.1** Ensure adequate sewage treatment capacity at the City treatment plant to meet the needs of population growth, taking into account the City’s Growth Management System, the Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and the needs of non-residential users.

**PF2.2** Require the extension of the City sewer to areas that are dependent upon septic systems prior to approval of future growth in these areas.

**PF2.3** Reduce pumping costs and increase plant capacity by mitigating sewer system infiltration problems and explore alternate energy sources.

**PF2.4** Increase sewer collection system efficiency by ensuring proper maintenance of sewer pipes.
Implementing Actions

PF2.A  Require all new units on parcels less than two acres, except those in the Woodlands and Watershed District, to connect to the City sewer. All existing units within 200 feet of an existing sewer shall connect to the City sewer whenever feasible. Many of the residential units cannot expand without abandoning on-site septic systems and connecting to the sewer which may, in some cases, require an extension of the sewer.

PF2.B  Continue wastewater treatment system upgrades to reduce the number and scale of implementation constraints on the recycled water program. This can ensure that the system is ready for investment when funding for implementation becomes available.

PF2.C  Urban services such as sewer, water, and storm drainage will only be extended to development within the Urban Limit Line. Exceptions will be permitted when undue hardship can be demonstrated and when proposed improvements are not found to induce growth.

PF2.D  Reduce sewer system inflow and infiltration through repair and replacement of sewer pipes and protection from inflow sources.

PF2.E  Reduce pumping costs and increase plant capacity by mitigating sewer system infiltration problems and exploring alternate energy to operate the wastewater treatment plant to reduce operational costs.
3 STORM DRAINAGE/FLOODING

Policies

PF3.1 Ensure that new developments provide adequate drainage improvements and detention to mitigate flooding from increased stormwater runoff attributable to the development.

PF3.2 Ensure that encroachments into the 100-year floodplain do not result in any increase in flood levels during the occurrence of the base flood discharge.

PF3.3 Improve York Creek channel capacity in flood-prone areas through removal of channel-obstructing gravel bars and vegetation.

PF3.4 Consider efforts to prevent risk to structures and property along Sulphur Creek.

Implementing Actions

PF3.A Require developers to provide adequate drainage improvements and detention to mitigate storm runoff from the site to the nearest major waterway. Drainage improvements can include measures such as creating settling basins, bio-swales, and the use of pervious materials for driveways and parking areas. Key waterways include York Creek, Sulphur Creek and the Napa River.

PF3.B Continue to require that new developments contribute on a fair share basis to the extension or upsizing of storm drainage to accommodate it through the construction of infrastructure and/or the payment of Impact Fees.
PF3.C Consistent with Municipal Code Section 16.32.170, continue to prohibit the creation of new residential lots that will be subject to periodic inundation from floodwaters. New development proposals on existing lots of record must identify flood hazard areas and mitigate all impacts to base flood levels and potential flood damage from grading, filling, and construction through proper drainage, construction, and location of utilities, in accordance with FEMA requirements.

PF3.D Update the City’s Stormwater Master Plan to include changes and upgrades since the last plan and to help streamline the approval process.

PF3.E At the time of development review, require that post-project runoff be limited to pre-project peak flow rates for the five-year and ten-year storms as a condition of approval.

(Also see Climate Change Element, Topic Area 4)

PF3.F Implement the requirements of FEMA relating to construction in Special Flood Hazards Areas as illustrated on Flood Insurance Rate Maps.

PF3.G Continue to require that new development and redevelopment projects implement Post Construction Runoff Management measures, including low impact development practices, to reduce stormwater peak flow rates and volumes from smaller, more frequently occurring storm events.
4 SOLID WASTE

Policies

**PF4.1** Increase recycling and composting as part of a coordinated waste reduction and management program.

Implementing Actions

**PF4.A** Develop and adopt a Waste Management Master Plan to enhance existing waste management services and systems. Assess the system’s capacity to serve current and future residents, recommend improvements and identify funding mechanisms and implementation partners. The plan should include landfill space plans and a food waste composting program that incorporates approaches for on-site food waste composting for residences and businesses. Update the plan regularly to address changing needs and priorities.

**PF4.B** Install and maintain recycling receptacles downtown and in all public parks and major streets. Ensure that the design and appearance of the receptacles fosters high-quality community design, aesthetics, and character.
Policies

**PF5.1** Support and cooperate with the St. Helena Unified School District in maintaining high-quality education as a community priority.

**PF5.2** Promote the efficient use of school facilities for before- and after-hour programs that benefit both school-age children and the community at large.

**PF5.3** Ensure that children have access to safe routes to school, especially by bicycle and walking.

**PF5.4** Require that the approval of residential, commercial, or industrial development be contingent upon the mitigation of the impact of such development on the St. Helena Unified School District’s ability to serve school-age children.

**PF5.5** Encourage continued support for the St. Helena public library and the library foundation to ensure that it maintains high-quality services for all St. Helenans.

**PF5.6** Partner with the St. Helena School District and other community stakeholders to develop a “Youth and Families Master Plan” that will allow more effective collaboration, communication, and coordination in providing services support and opportunities for St. Helena’s youth.

**PF5.7** Set a goal of a maximum fire department response time of 8 minutes within the St. Helena city limits.

**PF5.8** Set a staffing ratio of 2 police officers per 1,000 population and for priority one calls, an average police department response time of 3 minutes or less.
Implementing Actions

PF5.A  Assist the School District in collecting school facility development fees generated by new development. Partner with the District to identify, establish, and implement additional measures to ensure that the highest quality of education is provided.

PF5.B  Partner with the Napa County Office of Education, the Napa Valley Transportation Authority, the St. Helena Unified School District, and private schools in St. Helena to develop and implement local safe routes to school strategies to improve walking and bicycling access to schools and after-school programs; promote bicycling and walking to benefit students’ health; decrease automobile traffic near schools; and support local efforts to improve the environment. Align safe routes to school strategies with the City’s bicycle and pedestrian trail systems.

PF5.C  Develop a City-sponsored internship program for St. Helena Unified School District students in order to provide high-quality job skills training and support the School District’s educational goals.
chapter five

circulation
A multimodal transportation network includes bicycles, pedestrians, transit, and automobiles.

5.1 Purpose of the Element

The Circulation Element provides the framework for a comprehensive and multimodal transportation network that supports and integrates with the other elements of the General Plan, especially the Land Use and Growth Management Element. The Circulation Element identifies the principal components of the City’s circulation system, as well as issues related to parking, public transit, and pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. Standards and guiding principles for the implementation of transportation improvements are also included.

In increasing numbers, citizens of all ages in St. Helena are interested in alternatives to the automobile, including walking, biking, and moving throughout the community in golf carts and other electric non-automobile vehicles. Given the relatively flat topography of most of St. Helena, the city provides ideal conditions for such alternative modes of transportation. A shift from traditional automobile-based transportation to alternative modes of transportation within St. Helena will bring numerous benefits to the community, including but not limited to:

- Decreased automobile traffic throughout the city due to an increased number of citizens choosing to walk, or bike, or use alternative modes of travel within the city;
- Health benefits for residents of St. Helena as a result of increased use of active transportation, including walking and biking;
• Decreased air pollution due to less automobile operation throughout the city; and
• Reduced wear and tear on streets within St. Helena.

In January 2012, the City of St Helena completed and approved a citywide bicycle and multimodal plan which is integrated with the Napa County bicycle network and the Napa Vine Trail. The approved plan will provide safe and convenient bicycle, pedestrian, and multi-modal access to schools, parks, open spaces, commercial areas, residential neighborhoods, and community facilities. With this plan and vision in place, the foundation to create a safer and healthier pedestrian and bicycle environment has been established. The City is focused and committed to turning this plan into reality.

The Circulation Element includes the following sections:

• **5.2 Circulation and Mobility Framework for St. Helena.** Describes a framework for circulation and mobility (p. 5-4).

• **5.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis (p. 5-6).

• **5.4 St. Helena’s Circulation and Mobility Future.** Describes St. Helena’s transportation future, including the proposed street typology system and network, pedestrian and bicycle network, transportation performance measures, and transportation demand management (TDM) program (p. 5-13).

• **5.5 Goals.** Defines goals that focus the direction of changes to St. Helena’s transportation infrastructure and mobility and circulation-related policies and programs (p. 5-29).

• **5.6 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to develop an efficient, multimodal transportation network that minimizes impacts to the environment and neighborhoods (p. 5-31).
Transportation planning in California is undergoing a broad transformation. A changing demographic, the growing movement to combat climate change, and an increasing focus on the public health benefits of biking and walking all highlight the need to provide greater choice in local and regional travel. Multimodal transportation and the integration of land use and transportation planning, while always important, are central components of this paradigm shift which has occurred within St. Helena, as well as statewide. These concepts are widely accepted as essential to creating successful circulation and mobility improvements. As municipalities and agencies plan for change, individuals seek to minimize travel costs and learn more every day about how decreasing their reliance on the automobile can reduce their carbon footprint and improve their physical health and well-being.

As part of this paradigm-shift, mobility no longer focuses only on private automobile and public transit use. Increasingly, it is defined by how community members can use alternative modes of transportation efficiently. The size, topography, and climate of St. Helena make it an ideal city for both walking and biking. According to data from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates for the City of St. Helena, approximately 6 percent of St. Helena residents walk to work. This is a higher rate than the countywide average of 4 percent and reflects the City’s continuing efforts to create and preserve safe walking environments and a pedestrian-friendly community. At the same time, less than 2 percent of St. Helena residents travel to work by bike and less than 1 percent commute by public transit. This reality underscores the importance of continued efforts to build a comprehensive circulation network in support of multiple travel modes (see Table 5.1). Circulation, as defined in this element, promotes human-scale mobility through a network of pathways and infrastructure that does not rely solely on the expansion of automobile roadways.

Past transportation planning methods have relied heavily on a traditional street classification and performance measurement system that focuses solely on the capacity of streets to accommodate automobile traffic volume, improve...
traffic speed, and reduce delay time. This narrow approach fails to consider overall mobility, the existing and desired land use character of the community or conditions for non-automobile users. To better reflect and promote the paradigm shift towards non-automobile-based transportation within St. Helena, the traditional approach to transportation planning must be expanded to address “complete streets”. Efforts to improve the City’s network of streets, sidewalks, and services must meet important circulation and mobility goals and also contribute to broader efforts to create safe and attractive environments for human interaction.

In support of these concepts, the General Plan recognizes the need to establish new street typologies and performance standards, while tailoring improvements and mitigation to support multiple modes of travel and enhance surrounding land uses. The Circulation Element sets forth goals, policies, and implementing actions that bolster this place-based approach and will guide decisions about improvements to the public right-of-way to best meet the community’s vision and to maximize the safety of St. Helena’s residents and visitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 5.1: Journey to Work by Mode of Travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drove alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpoled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked at home</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Census 2000, American Community Survey 2016 Estimates
5.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to circulation. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

• St. Helena’s street network has largely been developed on a grid. However, some sections of the network, particularly on the east side of State Route 29, are not connected. The lack of a complete traffic circulation system funnels the majority of local trips onto several streets, particularly when State Route 29 is heavily congested. The 1993 General Plan included plans for multiple street extensions on local roadways to accommodate future development. While a handful of projects have been implemented, most have not. Given the shift towards non-automobile-based transportation, these extensions are an opportunity to create bicycle and pedestrian connections (as well as emergency vehicular access where appropriate and beneficial) to improve non-automobile circulation throughout the city. Additionally, development of a comprehensive traffic calming program with a focus on non-automotive circulation improvements will preserve and enhance the livability of neighborhoods and address resident concerns about traffic safety, such as speeding on residential streets.

• According to U.S Census data, the mode share for bicycle and pedestrian commute trips from St. Helena decreased between 1990 and 2000 (by 1.0 percent and 1.2 percent respectively). This trend has continued to present day for pedestrians but has changed for cyclists. Based on the 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, the percentage of St. Helena residents who walk to work decreased from 8.4 percent in 2012 to 6.2 percent in 2016, while over the same time period, the numbers of those biking to work in St. Helena increased from 0.6 percent to 2.5 percent since adopting the bicycle master plan. Developing a comprehensive, safe and accessible pedestrian and bicycle network will promote non-motorized trips and reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips, as well as reflect trends in how St. Helena residents move around the city and larger Napa Valley region.

• St. Helena’s senior population is expected to increase substantially by 2040. Planning for and developing a pedestrian network that accommodates the city’s most vulnerable users, such as seniors, children, and individuals of...
limited mobility, will ensure that St. Helena’s streets are safe and accessible for all, in consideration of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. St. Helena’s senior population will increase substantially by 2030. A pedestrian network that accommodates the City’s most vulnerable users, such as seniors, children and individuals of limited mobility, will ensure that St. Helena’s streets are safe and accessible for all. Relevant planning initiatives include the 2010 ADA Transition Plan and the 2016 Napa Countywide Pedestrian Plan.

Many families live within walking or biking distance of St. Helena’s schools, which presents an opportunity to decrease automobile use. Safe Routes to School is a national program that improves safety and encourages students to walk and bicycle to school. Such programs work to reduce traffic congestion and improve the health of both children and the environment, and funding is available for implementation from State and federal sources.

### Table 5.2: Destinations for Weekday Trips of Residents Living in St. Helena

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Number of Total Trips</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>6,450</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>1,896</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>Calistoga</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>Yountville</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>American Canyon</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>Remainder of Napa County</td>
<td>5,564</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>Outside Napa County</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,703</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Napa County Travel Behavioral Study, Fehr and Peers, 2014*
Several open spaces and parks located within St. Helena and in the surrounding area, including agricultural areas and the Napa Valley Vine Trail, lack well-defined and accessible connections for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Funding sources for the rehabilitation of existing sidewalks to ensure the safety of residents and visitors is also needed. Future opportunities for the development of multi-use paths with an emphasis on access, wayfinding, signage, and parking locations at trailheads will be a priority. Similarly, collaboration with other jurisdictions to expand opportunities to provide pedestrian and bicycle access throughout the Napa Valley requires focus, as these improvements have the potential to create alternative recreational and commuting opportunities for both visitors and local residents.

In the past, St. Helena has relied solely on traditional Level of Service (LOS) standards for measuring transportation impacts from new development, which account for auto vehicle delay at intersections and along roadway segments. This approach, while effective in measuring and regulating vehicular transportation impacts, does not place any emphasis on enhancing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Number of Total Workers</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>1,793</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>6,450</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calistoga</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yountville</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder of Napa County</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>6,841</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Elsewhere in California</td>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,615</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Napa County Travel Behavior Study, Fehr and Peers, 2014
the use of alternate modes of transportation, such as the transit, walking, and bicycling by enhancing access to those modes of transportation. This General Plan includes policies and implementation measures that rely on the use of LOS, while supporting all modes of travel when measuring and mitigating transportation impacts.

- With a statewide emphasis on shifting travel from single-occupancy vehicles to carpooling, transit use, and increasing bicycle and pedestrian trips to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), new performance measures that recognize the priorities of a range of roadway users will be needed.

- According to U.S. Census data, more workers are commuting into St. Helena than are living within the city. This suggests a mismatch between the type of employment and residential units in St. Helena. A balanced ratio between jobs and housing can help reduce travel times and traffic congestion, as well as VMT.

- The primary transit option in the Napa Valley is the Vine bus service. Development and land use patterns in the Napa Valley have resulted in low rates of transit ridership. According to the 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, 0.9 percent of St. Helena residents commute by
transit as compared to 5.2 percent statewide. Additional funding and support for increasing bus service will enhance long-term strategies for a sustainable transportation system.

- A significant portion of Napa County’s traffic congestion results from tourists traveling throughout the region. There is increasing support for tourism that places less reliance on the automobile, such as the development of new resort/hotel facilities that are located and designed so that guests can conveniently walk or bike to nearby dining, shops, and other local amenities, or that rely on shuttle transportation provided by the resort/hotel. The development of the Vine Trail, a regional walking and biking trail system will connect St. Helena to the rest of the Napa Valley, and will also help increase reliance on pedestrian and bicycle transportation modes, thereby helping to manage congestion in the area.

- Regional trips to and from St. Helena typically begin or end within Napa County. The majority of these trips originate and/or terminate in the City of Napa, Deer Park, Calistoga, Yountville, and Angwin. Developing viable transportation alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle trips for these regional trips will help to reduce VMT.

- Because State Route 29 is the main route for vehicles traveling from Lake County or Northern Sonoma County to the City of Napa and destinations to the south, inter-county travel contributes to significant congestion along St. Helena’s Main Street. During peak travel times, less than half of all vehicles on State Route 29 are traveling to or from destinations within St. Helena. While policies and implementing actions in the General Plan seek to improve circulation within the city, additional housing, employment, and public transit policies at the regional level will be required to reduce VMT throughout the Napa Valley, and in turn, St. Helena.

- Although there is rail service for tourists on the Wine Train, no commuter rail service exists at this time. According to the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) 2003 Napa/Solano Passenger/Freight Rail Study, commuter rail and light rail service in the area is not viable due to high costs, a small service population and lack of adequate rail infrastructure to support higher speeds.
CONCEPTS, TRENDS, AND IDEAS

Regional Coordination

Vision 2040: Moving Napa Forward outlines a comprehensive vision for the County’s transportation system in 2040.

The Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) is a key partner and resource in regional transportation planning, programming and funding administration for Napa County. The NVTA is a Joint Powers Agency (JPA) comprised of the City of Calistoga, City of St. Helena, Town of Yountville, City of Napa, City of American Canyon, and Napa County. Originally known as the Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency (NCTPA), the agency’s Board of Directors approved a resolution to re-brand as NVTA in February 2016 to clarify the agency’s role in the community. The NVTA’s Board of Directors includes the mayors and one councilmember of each of the jurisdictions in the County, and the Chairman of the Board and one Supervisor of Napa County, and one non-voting representative from the Paratransit Coordinating Council. The NVTA operates the countywide transportation program, including countywide transit service, paratransit, community shuttles, and the Vine Transit.

In 2015, the NVTA issued The Napa Countywide Transportation Plan - Vision 2040: Moving Napa Forward, a report that identifies the transportation issues facing Napa County and outlines a comprehensive vision for the County’s transportation system in 2040. This report includes a series of goals designed to attain this vision and addresses how strategic transportation planning may enable the County to resolve key issues that might arise in the future due to projected population and employment growth. The Circulation Element includes a number of policies and implementation actions that support NVTA recommendations, such as transportation demand management.
• The Napa Valley Wine Train, which operates on the former Southern Pacific Rail line, is a tourist-oriented, recreational ride carrying riders on a round trip between Napa and St. Helena. Due to an agreement with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the train does not currently stop to board or disembark passengers at any location other than the point of origination in the City of Napa and partnering wineries and vineyards included in select packages that include winery tours. Opportunities exist to reduce reliance on the automobile for access, given that if passengers are able to board and disembark in St Helena, this would decrease automobile traffic demand along the Wine Train Corridor. Additionally, hotel/hospitality facilities and other tourism-oriented amenities located in close proximity to the Wine Train right-of-way would be consistent with the City’s goal of reducing the tourism industry’s reliance on the automobile.

An interconnected multimodal system will include bicycle and pedestrian paths.
5.4 St. Helena’s Circulation and Mobility Future

St. Helena’s transportation infrastructure and compact development pattern create a relatively walkable community, despite being located in a predominantly rural area. It also provides direct access to regional destinations throughout the Napa Valley. However, key constraints to efficient and sustainable circulation in St. Helena include congestion along State Route 29 and regional land use patterns that are not conducive to efficient transit service, walking or biking.

The City of St. Helena and Napa County share the goal of reducing traffic congestion in the region by encouraging the development of a multimodal transportation network, supported by land use decisions that encourage alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle trips. The Circulation Element identifies the key components of a sustainable transportation system, including the following:

- Street Typology System and Network;
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Network;
- Transportation Performance Measures; and
- Transportation Demand Management Program.
STREET TYPOLOGY SYSTEM AND NETWORK

Street Typology System
The General Plan street network and street typology system is shown on Figure 5.1. The St. Helena General Plan Circulation Element introduces a new street typology system, replacing the previous street classifications, which established one set of design and operation standards based on a rigid, hierarchical classification of roadways. New street typologies consider the street context and alternate travel modes to help ensure that street standards consider a roadway’s relation to its surrounding land uses, appropriate travel speeds, and the need to accommodate multiple travel modes.

The streets of a given neighborhood or district have characteristics that generally follow the land use character of that area and the role that streets play in the greater street network of the surrounding community. For example, a residential street that serves as a collector will have different characteristics and design features from a residential street that provides local access. Similarly, a downtown/mixed-use street and an industrial collector street serve different...
functions. A downtown/mixed-use street emphasizes accommodating several transportation modes, while an industrial collector emphasizes accommodating heavy trucks and automobiles. Consequently, the design features and overall user experience may differ greatly.

The following street typologies are part of the City's new street typology system. Application of these standards should be considered for any new development, street repaving, or redevelopment project.

- **Open Space/Rural Street** – Provides access to open space, vineyard and agricultural areas, and rural residential uses. Sidewalks and curbs are not typically present on rural street types, though bicycle boulevards and low speed limits may be present on some open space or rural streets.

- **Industrial Collector Street** – Supports truck access to manufacturing and industrial land uses. While sidewalks are present on all streets, bicycle, and transit facilities are not typically present for this street typology.

- **Residential Street** – Provides access to neighborhoods. This street type supports local trips, with an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle amenities and slow driving speeds.

- **Downtown/Mixed-Use Street** – Supports all travel modes. It includes specific design features that promote livable streets and multimodal access such as wide sidewalks, traffic calming features, and bicycle boulevards.

- **Regional Connector Street (Main Street)** – Supports all modes, but is primarily designed to provide citywide and regional access for cars, transit and trucks trips. As Main Street/State Route 29 is the key commercial center for St. Helena, specific design features that foster livable streets and multimodal access may be applied, including wide sidewalks with regular street crossings, high-quality pedestrian amenities, and enhanced bus transit facilities. At the edge of the downtown area, Main Street/State Route 29 transitions into a more suburban and rural environment. These sections have higher vehicle speeds and less walking and bicycling activity than the center of downtown.
Figure 5.1
Street Network

Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018.
### TABLE 5.4: Street Typologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Typology</th>
<th>Vehicle Traffic Levels</th>
<th>Pedestrian Facilities</th>
<th>Bicycle Facilities (designated network)</th>
<th>Desired Vehicle Speeds/ Traffic Calming</th>
<th>Truck Route</th>
<th>Transportation Performance Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Space/ Rural Street</td>
<td>Low / moderate</td>
<td>Sidewalks not typically included</td>
<td>Bicycle route or boulevard</td>
<td>25-35 mph/ Yes</td>
<td>Accommodated</td>
<td>Citywide ATG1, bicycle connectivity, pedestrian connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Collector Street</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>Typically none</td>
<td>25 mph/ No</td>
<td>Accommodated</td>
<td>Citywide ATG, bicycle connectivity, pedestrian connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Street</td>
<td>Low / moderate</td>
<td>Sidewalks (with landscape buffer or street trees where appropriate), crosswalks where appropriate</td>
<td>Bicycle boulevard or lane</td>
<td>15-25 mph/ Yes</td>
<td>Accommodated</td>
<td>Citywide ATG, bicycle connectivity, pedestrian connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown/ Mixed-Use Street</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>Wide sidewalks (with landscape buffer or street trees where appropriate), regular crosswalks</td>
<td>Bicycle boulevard or lane</td>
<td>25 mph/ Yes</td>
<td>Accommodated</td>
<td>Citywide ATG, Bicycle connectivity, pedestrian connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Connector Street (Main Street)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Wide sidewalks (with landscape buffer or street trees where appropriate) and regular crosswalks in downtown area. Outside of downtown: regular crosswalks and sidewalks included where demand warrants</td>
<td>Bicycle route, lane or adjacent trail</td>
<td>25 -35 mph/ context-based</td>
<td>Accommodated</td>
<td>Peak hour vehicle travel time, citywide ATG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1ATG = Automobile Trip Generation
Source: Fehr & Peers, 2009
5 circulation

Figure 5.2
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Potential Future Roadway Extensions

1. Starr Avenue extension north to Adams Street
2A. College Avenue, Starr Avenue or Allison Avenue extension to Mills Lane
2B. Adams Street from its current eastern terminus to Starr Avenue
3. Extension to Silverado Trail, by extending Adams Street or Mills Lane.
Circulation Study Alternatives

The St. Helena street network includes one new roadway, the extension of Oak Avenue from Mitchell Drive to Grayson Avenue, to be constructed as a Downtown Mixed Use street. In order to manage congestion and provide additional new connections within the city, as part of the General Plan update, several other new streets are identified as potential options to explore in the future. In most cases, the potential connections provide options for residents to travel from one part of town to another without having to travel on State Route 29, which is frequently congested due to high regional traffic demand. The potential street extensions provide for various levels of access to accommodate different modes of travel. As shown in Figure 5.3, they include the following:

1.0. Starr Avenue extension north to Adams Street;

2A/2B/2C. Starr Avenue, College Avenue, or Allison Avenue extension to Mills Lane;

3.0. Adams Street extension from its current eastern terminus to Starr Avenue; and

4A/4B. Alternative extensions to the Silverado Trail, by studying potential extensions of Adams Street or Mills Lane.

General Plan implementing action CR1.B provides guidance for any future roadway extensions. The City envisions that each of the “Future Roadway Extensions”, as identified in Figure 5.3, would at a minimum consist of a bicycle/pedestrian path, equivalent to a “Class 1 Bike Path”, and would also be designed to accommodate emergency vehicles. Any proposed improvement of the road extensions identified in Figure 5.3 intended to accommodate vehicular automobile and truck traffic would trigger a requirement for a “Special Study”, subject to City Council approval, to assess the net benefit of the proposed vehicular improvement, including, among other issues, the cost of constructing the vehicular extension and any impacts of the vehicular extension on roads and neighborhoods in the area. Any development project proposed on property located within the future alignment of the “Future Roadway Extensions” as depicted in Figure 5.3, would,
as part of their review and entitlement process, be responsible for preparing a “Special Study” as described in this Chapter to determine whether the subject extension will consist of the minimum bike/pedestrian path improvement, or if the extension will be constructed to also accommodate vehicular auto and truck traffic. In either case, the subject development project would construct and fund its “fair share” cost of the “Future Roadway Extension”.

To reduce the attractiveness of the new streets as cut-through routes, vehicle turn restrictions may be implemented at particular locations. In some locations, new bridges may also be needed to replace bridges at the end of their design life, or as part of new street connections.
PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE NETWORK

Pedestrian Circulation

The Central Business District surrounding Main Street is where the greatest number of pedestrians will be served in St. Helena. Downtown was originally developed with a grid of streets that includes a comprehensive network of sidewalks. Older neighborhoods surrounding the downtown core generally have well-maintained sidewalks that provide pedestrian access between residential areas and schools, community centers, and other walkable destinations.

Neighborhoods near the city’s periphery have fewer pedestrian amenities, and many lack sidewalks. Some of these neighborhoods have a rural character where sidewalks may not be appropriate, whereas other post-World War II suburban developments were designed primarily for vehicular access and would benefit from improved pedestrian access.

An inventory of existing sidewalks, marked crosswalks, curb ramps and trails was completed for St. Helena as part of the 2016 Napa Countywide Pedestrian Plan (See Figure 5.4). The Countywide Plan, which includes a pedestrian plan for St. Helena, identified pedestrian improvements for key areas of the city in order to improve pedestrian circulation in the vicinity of schools, businesses, and transit facilities, as well as to bolster connections to multi-use pathways.

Bicycle Circulation

St. Helena has an extensive network of Class III bicycle routes, which are routes marked for shared use with motor vehicles. However, bike lanes and multi-use paths that provide dedicated space for bicyclists have not yet been developed in St. Helena, and bicycle support facilities, such as bicycle parking, are lacking in many areas. Additionally, both pedestrian and bicycle access to open space and regional destinations throughout the Napa Valley could be improved through a system of off-street multi-use paths.

Reducing local vehicle trips into downtown St. Helena by shifting those trips to biking or walking would help alleviate congestion and parking concerns and promote increased health. Implementation of a citywide bikeway network that
includes the construction of bicycle facilities at activity centers throughout the city could greatly increase the mode share of bicycling. Key activity centers that could be conducive to increased rates of bicycling include the downtown area, bus stops, schools, parks, hotels, and local wineries. Development of the Vine Trail and a shared use path along Sulphur Creek would provide greater recreational, tourist, and commuting choices by bicycle.

**Bicycle Classifications**

The City’s bikeways include three classifications: bike paths, lanes, and routes. These classifications are described below.

**Class I** Bikeway (Bike Path) paths provide a separate right-of-way and are designated for the exclusive use of people riding bicycles and walking with minimal cross-flow of vehicle traffic. Class I Bikeways can also offer opportunities not provided by the road system by serving as both recreational areas and/or desirable commuter routes.

**Class II** Bike Lanes provide designated street space for bicyclists, typically adjacent to the outer vehicle travel lanes. Bike lanes include special lane markings, pavement legends, and signage. Bike lanes may be enhanced with painted buffers between vehicle lanes and/or parking, and green paint at conflict zones (such as driveways or intersections).

**Class III** Bike Routes provide enhanced mixed-traffic conditions for bicyclists through signage, striping, and/or traffic calming treatments, and to provide continuity to a bikeway network. Bike routes are typically designated along gaps between bike trails or bike lanes, or along low-volume, low-speed streets. Bicycle boulevards provide further enhancements to bike routes to encourage slow speeds and discourage non-local vehicle traffic via traffic diveters, chicanes, traffic circles, and/or speed tables. Bicycle boulevards can also feature special wayfinding signage to nearby destinations or other bikeways.

**Class IV** Bikeway (Separated Bikeway) Separated Bikeways, also referred to as cycle tracks or protected bikeways, are bikeways for the exclusive use of bicycles which are physically separated from vehicle traffic. Guidelines for Separated
Figure 5.4
Pedestrian Facilities in St. Helena

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2019; NVTA, 2019; Dyett & Bhatia, 2019
Proposed 2040 Bicycle Network
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Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018

The Proposed Napa Valley Vine Trail will include Class I, Class II and Class III segments.

The existing bike path is a County path.
Bikeways were recently adopted by Caltrans in 2015. Types of separation may include, but are not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, physical barriers, or on-street parking.

**Approved Bicycle Network**

St. Helena’s bicycle network aims to maximize connectivity throughout the city (Figure 5.5). The St. Helena Bicycle Plan, prepared in collaboration with the Napa Valley Transportation Authority, outlines existing and planned bicycle routes intended to provide safe and convenient bicycle access to parks, open spaces, commercial areas, residential neighborhoods, and community facilities. Once completed, the network will play a key role in bolstering the City’s efforts to increase the use of bicycles as non-auto modes of transit, and to reduce overall vehicle miles traveled in the city.

Proposed Class I bicycle pathways cross the city, connecting parks and open spaces with multimodal trails that are completely separate from auto traffic. These shared-use pathways provide key cross-city connections and include the proposed Napa Valley Vine Trail and a shared-use path along Sulphur Creek and
the Napa River. Additional Class I pathways connect the Lower Reservoir Park to Spring Mountain Road and Crane Park to Grayson Avenue. Shared-use paths should accommodate all pedestrians, including persons that use an assistive mobility device for a disability or medical condition.

Class II bicycle pathways that provide a designated lane for bicycle travel are proposed along many of St. Helena’s streets. Key east-west routes include: Madrona Avenue between Main Street and Sylvaner Avenue; Spring Street between Oak Avenue and Sulphur Creek; Pope Street between Main Street and Silverado Trail; and Grayson Avenue and Sulphur Springs Avenue, between Main Street and Crane Avenue. Key north-south routes are located on Spring Mountain Road, Valley View Street, Crane Avenue, and State Route 29 between Deer Park Road and Pratt Avenue.

The network includes a series of Class III pathways designated for shared-use of vehicles and bicycles. Some of these pathways have an additional designation for use as Bicycle Boulevards. Key Class III pathways include Chaix Lane, Pratt Avenue, and State Route 29 between Pratt and Grayson avenues. Bicycle Boulevards are primarily located in the residential neighborhoods directly east
and west of Main Street. Key Bicycle Boulevards include Mitchell Drive, Adams Street, and Oak Avenue.

**Bicycle Parking and Support Facilities**

Every bicycle trip has two main components: the route selected by the bicyclist and the “end-of-trip” facilities at the destinations. The availability of both safe bicycle routes and secure and convenient facilities is critical to promoting greater bike usage in St. Helena. Bicycle facilities can include short- and long-term bicycle parking, showers, lockers, and good lighting.

Providing short- and long-term bicycle parking at key destinations, such as downtown St. Helena, parks, schools, community facilities, transit stops, and shopping areas, is an essential part of the development of a complete bicycle network. Bicycle parking that is highly visible, accessible, and easy to use can help encourage cycling as a mode of transportation in the community. Similarly, conveniently located facilities such as showers, bicycle repair stations, and air for inflating tires can encourage cycling, as can locating these facilities in well-lit areas and indoors or covered where possible.

**TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

A key action to address the future of transportation and mobility in St. Helena will involve developing new transportation performance measures, in addition to more traditional level of service standards, to ensure a balanced perspective on transportation including all of the community’s values and interests. As such, the City is committed to augmenting the traditional automobile Level of Service (LOS) threshold with measures that capture transportation system performance from the perspective of all users and incorporate the environmental consequences of transportation decisions.

A new approach designed to achieve the following objectives will be needed:

- Develop an alternative way of evaluating new land use development impacts for automobiles, pedestrians, bicycles, and transit.
• Develop a quantifiable way of measuring the transportation-related GHG impacts and benefits of new land use development and transportation infrastructure improvements.

The purpose of augmenting current transportation performance measures is to develop a meaningful nexus between transportation-related development impacts and appropriate actions to address these impacts. Additional performance measures would improve multimodal circulation and manage traffic congestion in St. Helena. The reasons for adopting new standards include:

• Mitigation measures based solely on traditional LOS can sometimes result in widening roads and intersections in a way that conflicts with community character or other values established in this General Plan. Additionally, such measures in isolation can encourage additional vehicle trips and have a negative impact on other travel modes.

• Thresholds for traditional LOS standards are not necessarily linked to the City’s vitality and quality of life and can make smart growth projects, such as mixed-use infill development in downtown St. Helena, more difficult.

Several targets, including operating standards for vehicular travel times on Main Street, standards for new auto trips generated by new development, as well as targets for increasing pedestrian and bicycle trips are set forth in the goals, policies, and implementing actions of this Element. Implementing action CR1.D calls for additional performance measures to improve multi-modal circulation and better address congestion in St. Helena.

TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies aim to reduce the amount of motor vehicle traffic and manage parking to make travel behaviors more sustainable. TDM policies and programs also encourage the use of modes other than single-occupancy vehicles for travel. Strategies may include carpooling and car-sharing, transit subsidies or reimbursements, paid parking, and the provision of bicycle support facilities at workplaces.
The General Plan supports the establishment of a citywide TDM program to help reduce peak period motor vehicle traffic and manage vehicle parking within St. Helena. NVTA’s Strategic Transportation Plan identifies a number of TDM strategies that are applicable to reducing motor vehicle traffic congestion in St. Helena. Funding for a citywide TDM program should be provided through traffic mitigation fees and in-lieu parking fees. In addition, the City should encourage existing employers to participate in the TDM program.
5.5 Goals

Meet Current and Future Transportation Needs.

Meet the current and future mobility needs of residents, businesses and tourists with a balanced, multimodal transportation system, with transportation performance measures that aim to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, manage vehicle congestion along the citywide street network, and increase non-automobile and emergency connections throughout St. Helena. The City plans and manages the transportation network to achieve the following objectives:

a) Ensure that Traffic Service Level “C” or better be maintained at all signalized and unsignalized intersections in St. Helena, except along Main St/Hwy 29 where Service Level “D” shall be permitted. Exceptions to this goal may be permitted in situations where the preexisting 2015 Traffic Service Level do not meet these “C” and “D” standards. In such situations, the projected Traffic Service Level resulting from a proposed project, shall not be “significantly lower” than the 2015 Traffic Service levels as documented in the 2018 General Plan Update Program EIR. The City Council has the discretion to determine what constitutes a “significantly lower” Traffic Service Level.
Examples of measures of “significantly lower levels of service” include 1) should state deterioration from an acceptable LOS to an unacceptable LOS in accordance with NVTA’s Countywide Transportation Plan; 2) a significant increase in the volume to capacity ratio for a signalized intersection; or 3) a significant increase in delay at an unsignalized intersection.

b) Provide a complete bicycle and pedestrian network between residential areas, downtown, recreational open space areas, and other major activity centers identified by the City.

c) Reduce transportation-based GHG emissions communitywide and from City-controlled sources.

d) Increase the share of trips made by transit, bicycling, and walking (as measured by the American Community Survey).

e) Reduce current peak hour vehicle travel times on Main Street.

f) Create an Interconnected Multimodal Circulation System with a safe and integrated bicycle and pedestrian system throughout St. Helena for people of all ages and abilities.

g) Increase the City’s share of walking, bicycling, transit, and carpooling trips, in accordance with NVTA’s 2035 goals.

h) Provide a safe, efficient, and well-maintained circulation system that supports safe and efficient travel for all modes and users.

i) Ensure a Sustainable Transportation Network. Reduce congestion and greenhouse gas emissions and increase the mode share for all non-single-occupancy trips. To achieve this goal, the City supports the use of transportation demand management (TDM) strategies that promote sustainable transportation practices through encouragement, education, and incentives.
5.6 Policies and Implementing Actions
A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Balanced and Multimodal System;
2. Safe, Accessible, and Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Network;
3. Sustainable Mobility Practices;
4. Safe and Well-Maintained Circulation System;
5. Parking; and

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they provide strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.


Policies

**CR1.1** Promote a connected street and bicycle and pedestrian network within the city to provide better internal automobile, bicycle, and pedestrian connections for residents.

**CR1.2** Provide complete streets that balance the diverse needs of users of the public right-of-way, in accordance with the California Complete Streets Act of 2008.

**CR1.3** Pursue appropriate funding for the development of a balanced transportation system.

**CR1.4** Develop and use, in addition to intersection level of service standards, performance measures that consider all road users to determine transportation impacts of new development.

**CR1.5** Avoid mitigation measures that negatively impact the walking and bicycling environment and encourage driving.

**CR1.6** Continue to support NVTA in the provision of convenient transit, including regional and local service. Support more frequent and reliable transit service between communities to reduce the number of people traveling to or from St. Helena to work by private vehicle. Promote and encourage use of the St. Helena Vine Shuttle.
CR1.7 Explore the use of the rail corridor to reduce traffic, including working with the owners of the Wine Train to consider the possibility of developing hospitality and other tourist-oriented uses that are primarily accessed by passengers riding on the Wine Train Corridor.

CR1.8 Reduce transportation-based GHG emissions from City-controlled sources by employing the following strategies:

- Complete the City’s bicycle and pedestrian network, which will increase transportation choices in the city and reduce the demand for vehicle travel
- Implement “smart growth” and sustainable planning principles as defined in the Land Use Element;
- Maximize the overall efficiency of the transportation system, including managing the transportation network through a citywide transportation system management program; Encourage/provide incentives for employee car pools.
- Implement “smart growth” and sustainable planning principles as defined in the Land Use and Growth Management Element;
- Encourage jobs/housing match, as defined in the Housing Element; and
- Encourage/provide incentives for employee car pools.

CR1.9 Promote a walking and bicycling environment that is comfortable and convenient. Ensure that all St. Helena streets have no more than a single through-automobile lane in each direction, plus a single left-hand turning lane where appropriate, even if this requirement increases vehicle travel times. Allow exceptions if an extra lane would reduce the possibility of collisions.

CR1.10 Strive to maintain a ten minute or less travel time during peak periods along State Route 29, from the northern and southern city boundaries.
CR1.11  Establish a multimodal transportation impact fee program as part of the City’s existing transportation impact fee, to finance and implement project mitigations that help achieve the City’s traffic reduction goals. As part of the multimodal transportation impact fee program, require new development to analyze travel demand and finance and construct all required off-site circulation improvements, including proposed road extensions, necessary to mitigate project impacts and to reduce the severity of cumulative transportation impacts to all modes of travel.

Implementing Actions

CR1.A  Use the street typologies as defined in the Circulation Element as a basis for improving and managing streets. Improve vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle facilities on streets based on this system.

CR1.B  Extend Oak Avenue south from Mitchell Drive to Grayson Avenue, constructing the new roadway as a two-lane Downtown/Mixed Use Street with bicycle lanes and sidewalks, consistent with the City’s Engineering Standards. Implement traffic calming measures, such as speed lumps, bulb outs, and/or chicanes to limit travel speeds between intersections and optimize safety for all roadway users.

CR1.C  Evaluate the following new connections to promote increased bicycle, pedestrian, and non-automobile-based transportation, consistent with the requirement for the preparation of a “Special Study” as described in the Circulation Element. Where feasible, preserve existing rights-of-way.

- Starr Avenue extension north to Adams Street
- Consider three options for a connection to Mills Lane: a) Starr Avenue extension to Mills Lane; b) College Avenue extension to Mills Lane; or c) Allison Avenue extension to Mills Lane
- Adams Street from its current eastern terminus to Starr Avenue
Consider two options to connect downtown St. Helena to Silverado Trail: a) Adams Street extension to Silverado Trail; b) Mills Lane extension to Silverado Trail.

**CR1.D** Identify streets that should become “more complete,” through consideration of transit priorities, sidewalk gap closures, new bikeways, and vehicle traffic calming measures.

**CR1.E** Adopt and implement transportation performance measures that promote an efficient transportation system from the perspective of all users. These performance measures shall supplement the City’s traditional automobile Level of Service (LOS) thresholds with the goal of improving multimodal circulation and managing traffic congestion in St. Helena.

**CR1.F** Support efforts to secure additional funding for regional transit service to St. Helena for residents, workers and visitors as a viable alternative to travel by private automobile. Focus on improving the bus service for use by commuters.

**CR1.G** Subject all rail corridor uses to use permit review; locate passenger facilities within zoning districts which minimize impacts to established and proposed land uses.

**CR1.H** Study the potential for integrating Wine Train activities with car-free tourism strategies to provide an alternative for tourists to visit St. Helena without a car.

**CR1.I** Measure total automobile trips generated by new developments on a per project basis, to reduce vehicle trips. Maintain a citywide trip generation analysis methodology that evaluates the effects of land use and built environment changes on travel choices and behavior.
CR1.J Evaluate changes to vehicle travel times along State Route 29 on a per-development or per-project basis. Establish significance criteria for determining if an increase in travel time or decrease in Intersection Level of Service, resulting from new development is significant.

CR1.K As new land use development and redevelopment occurs, require the provision of links to existing streets, street right-of-way dedications, and improvements to the local roadway network (including pedestrian, transit, bicycle, and other non-motorized vehicle improvements), consistent with adopted Engineering Standards, public safety standards, and the St. Helena Bicycle Plan. Additionally, in the case of subdivisions, require the provision of sidewalks on all local streets within the development.

CR1.L Adopt and implement a citywide, Multimodal Transportation Mitigation Fee program to provide funding on a fair share bases for transportation improvements that reduce citywide automobile trips and contribute to the provision of a continuous path of travel for walking and bicycling from the development site to the center of downtown and other key destinations. Such transportation improvements include completing the bicycle and pedestrian network, implementing transportation demand and systems management strategies, and improving traffic signal coordination on State Route 29. Ensure that fees take into consideration the contribution of new development to changes in net new automobile trips and change in travel time along State Route 29.

CR1.M Continue to work with Caltrans to ensure regional coordination and manage congestion on State Route 29.
Policies

**CR2.1** Create a comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian network that enhances neighborhood connectivity. Develop the system as shown in Figure 5.4 to expand and improve the pedestrian and bikeway system.

**CR2.2** Promote walking and bicycling as safe and convenient modes of transportation.

**CR2.3** Ensure secure, accessible, and convenient bicycle parking facilities throughout St. Helena, including downtown, commercial areas, schools, and parks.

**CR2.4** Preserve and enhance pedestrian connectivity and safety throughout St. Helena.

**CR2.5** Improve the pedestrian experience through streetscape enhancements, focusing improvements where there is the greatest need, and by orienting development toward the street.

**CR2.6** Encourage walking and bicycling trips to St. Helena schools.
Implementing Actions

**CR2.A** Implement a citywide bicycle and pedestrian master plan to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety, and to encourage community members to walk and bike more often. Build on St. Helena’s existing partnership with the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) to ensure that the City’s master plan is consistent with countywide transportation planning efforts. Coordinate with NVTA, Napa County, and property and business owners and seek funding to implement the improvements identified for St. Helena in the Napa Countywide Pedestrian Plan.

(Also see the following elements: Open Space and Conservation, Topic Area 2; and Parks and Recreation, Topic Area 6)

**CR2.B** Develop guidelines for the design, construction and maintenance of bicycle and pedestrian paths in St. Helena. Coordinate the guidelines with Napa County or regional trail connections.

**CR2.C** Require any new development and modifications to existing projects to provide bicycle and pedestrian improvements and amenities, consistent with adopted Engineering Standards and the St. Helena Bicycle Plan.

**CR2.D** Identify and pursue funding opportunities for bicycle projects on the local, state and federal levels. Update the existing and proposed bicycle system every five years, as required by Caltrans to qualify for Bicycle Transportation Account funding.

**CR2.E** Allocate funds and/or identify funding sources for pedestrian and streetscape improvements.

**CR2.F** Improve street crossings and gaps in the sidewalk system through development review and capital improvement projects.
CR2.G  Adopt a crosswalk installation policy to promote pedestrian safety and accessibility.

CR2.H  Review pedestrian-vehicle collision data on an annual basis and identify areas for pedestrian safety improvements.

CR2.I  Pursue state and federal grant opportunities to fund a Safe Routes to School program and other bike/pedestrian programs.

CR2.J  Consider the feasibility of a citywide bike sharing program for municipal and/or public use.

CR2.K  Require safe and accessible bicycle and pedestrian access for all newly-developed public facilities and sites.

CR2.L  Enhance the pedestrian environment within the commercial area, and support the development of walking paths, multi-use trails, and bicycle trails throughout St. Helena with the goal of connecting to a countywide system. Encourage the use of group transit options in order to decrease tourist-generated traffic congestion. Encourage the use of pedi-buses by the school district to guide children safely and in a more healthful and sustainable manner to school.
Policies

CR3.1 Provide incentives and encourage existing major employers to develop and implement transportation demand management (TDM) programs to increase the number of people who bike, walk, and take transit to work and reduce peak-period trip generation. Coordinate as appropriate with NVTA to implement strategies including the following:

- Transit subsidies or reimbursement to residents and employees (often referred to as “commuter check” or “EcoPass”);
- Car-share, car-pooling, and neighborhood electric vehicle programs to reduce the need to have a car or second car;
- Integrated bicycle parking and support facilities, primarily to reduce trips within the city;
- Modified parking codes to manage the supply of parking that generates frequent turn-over and serves multiple users; and
- Marketing and information programs to encourage alternative transportation modes.

CR3.2 Support the implementation of NVTA goals to reduce/restrain growth of automobile vehicle miles traveled (VMT).

CR3.3 Shift travel from single-occupancy vehicles to other modes so that by 2040, 45 percent of work trips by St. Helena residents and workers are by carpool, transit, walking or bicycling (see Table 5.5 at the end of this section for 2040 commute mode split targets).
CR3.4 Work with the wine and hospitality industries to manage congestion and create and promote car-free tourism services, coordinating as appropriate with NVTA on TDM strategies.

(Also see the Environmental Sustainability Element, Topic Area 2)

CR3.5 Work with the school district to increase the use of carpooling and the bus system to reduce drive-alone trips to St. Helena schools.

CR3.6 Support development of the bikeway and pedestrian networks to provide a convenient opportunity for at least 20 percent of commuters to get to work by walking or bicycling.

CR3.7 Support compact, mixed-use development as outlined in the Land Use and Growth Management and Housing elements.

**TABLE 5.5: Commute Mode Split Targets for 2040**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Commute Trips by Workers To and From St Helena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drove alone</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpooleled</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other means (including work at home)</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: American Community Survey 2016 Estimates*
3 SUSTAINABLE MOBILITY PRACTICES

Implementing Actions

CR3.A Study the feasibility of a citywide TDM program and review the effectiveness of the existing Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee.

CR3.B If deemed feasible, as part of the municipal code, require TDM measures for all new non-residential development.

CR3.C Regularly monitor progress toward increasing the number of residents and workers walking, biking, and using public transit.

CR3.D Work with the wine and hospitality industries, including the Wine Train, to manage congestion and create and promote car-free tourism services.
Policies

CR4.1 Ensure adequate maintenance of transportation facilities such as streets, sidewalks, and multi-use paths. Emphasize safety considerations, impacts on non-automobile modes of travel, and overall impact on long-term resource needs as maintenance priorities.

CR4.2 Ensure safety on residential neighborhood streets to promote walking and bicycling and preserve neighborhood livability.

CR4.3 Continue efforts to calm traffic and minimize traffic volumes and speeds in residential areas.

CR4.4 Strive to bring all pedestrian facilities into compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) specifications.

CR4.5 Improve traffic safety and encourage walking and bicycling trips to St. Helena schools through a Safe Routes to School program.
Implementing Actions

**CR4.A** Review and update the Capital Improvement Program on a regular basis to identify and prioritize circulation facility improvements. Ensure that improvements support the goals, policies, and implementing actions identified in the General Plan.

**CR4.B** Develop strategies to calm traffic on streets that experience speeding or cut-through traffic, such as Starr Avenue. Include a range of solutions including enforcement, engineering, and education efforts to calm vehicle traffic.

**CR4.C** Establish a transition plan that identifies prioritization and funding mechanisms for improving street conditions to meet ADA specifications. Transition plans are an important part of meeting ADA standards.

**CR4.D** Pursue Safe Routes to School grants to improve safety and encourage bicycling and walking trips to St. Helena schools.

**CR4.E** To reduce the effect of regional traffic on local streets, monitor traffic volumes and speeds on potential regional cut-through routes, including Oak Avenue and Valley View Street and other problematic streets like Spring, Pope, Starr, etc. Due to the forecast potential for traffic volumes to increase on Oak Avenue and Valley View Street, the City shall consider installing traffic calming or traffic diverting devices to discourage regional cut-through traffic with the goal of ensuring that, over the duration of the General Plan, traffic volumes on these streets do not increase significantly.

**CR4.F** To ensure the multimodal Transportation Mitigation Fee (TMF) program serves as acceptable mitigation for the increase in traffic volumes resulting from build out of the General Plan, the City shall explore TMF programs within 6 months of adoption of the General Plan Update. As part of this effort, the City shall conduct a fee study to ascertain whether the fees designated under the
existing fee program should be revised. As part of the fee study development, the City should consult with other local agencies, including Caltrans and the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), to identify potential improvements to Main Street beyond those currently under construction, and to at-grade railroad crossings that could be incorporated into the TMF program.

**CR4.G** The City shall consider the use of signal preemption for emergency response or evacuation in locations where Police and Fire Departments response times are not met.

**CR4.H** Connect Vallejo Street to Sulphur Springs with a fully paved street connection meeting the City’s established Street Standards.
Policies

CR5.1  Ensure an adequate balance of parking demand and supply. Closely manage and track parking supply within the downtown area by developing a parking management program and implementing a “park-once” strategy.

CR5.2  Maintain on-street parking and/or parklet on Main Street in the Central Business District for the convenience of shoppers and to provide a physical and psychological buffer between Main Street traffic and pedestrians.

Implementing Actions

CR5.A  Develop a “park-once” strategy for visitors to improve air quality, reduce congestion, promote alternatives to driving alone, and educate and involve businesses and residents. Work with employers to encourage employees to park on the fringes of downtown, thereby leaving convenient parking spaces open for shoppers.

CR5.B  Develop and maintain an off-street parking program for the City’s existing Parking Impact Area and consider modifying the boundaries of that area. The program will identify and prioritize locations where additional off-street parking can be provided. When sufficient funds have accumulated for the acquisition of a site and construction of parking on that site, commence with implementation of providing parking on that site.
Policies

CR6.1 Prioritize and implement improvements to the circulation system with an emphasis on bicycle and pedestrian improvements and expanded transit service.

CR6.2 Require concurrent infrastructure development for any new development projects that have impacts on the circulation system, including streets, paths, trails, sidewalks, and public transit.

CR6.3 Consider requiring dedications and mitigations in addition to traffic mitigation fees for any development that occurs adjacent to proposed street corridors in order to reinforce circulation system continuity. Traffic mitigation fees are necessary to mitigate existing traffic congestion and congestion caused by new development. Consider revising fee schedules to fund needed vehicular, bike, and pedestrian improvements in order to appropriately mitigate impacts. The fee schedule must be based on an established “nexus” between new development and the planned improvements.

Implementing Policies

CR6.A Evaluate and prioritize all new paths and trails (identified in Section E: St. Helena’s Circulation and Mobility Future) when adequate funding is secured and concurrent with any new, adjacent developments.

CR6.B Keep up to date the existing St. Helena Traffic Mitigation Fee program to provide funding for all new road connections and trails included in the Circulation Element, in order to ensure new connections and trails are constructed in a timely manner.

On-street parking on Main Street is convenient for shoppers.
CR6.C  Develop a plan for all non-motorized vehicles, including bicycles, pedestrians, and scooters. To create a meaningful alternative to automobile usage for short trips, multi-use paths should connect home-to-work or home-to-local shopping locations. Link neighborhoods and commercial areas on a path system and encourage active modes of transportation.
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historic resources
6.1 Purpose of the Element

The Historic Resources Element is not a State-mandated element; however, it presents a framework for governing future decisions about rehabilitating, retrofitting and adaptively reusing St. Helena’s historic buildings. The Historic Resources Element aims to effectively manage the community’s historic assets including, but not limited to, buildings, bridges, and other structures in order to maintain St. Helena’s unique sense of place and ensure that these assets can be enjoyed by current and future residents and visitors.

The Historic Resources Element includes the following sections.

- **6.2 Historic Resources in St. Helena.** Identifies key issues related to the preservation of historic resources in St. Helena (p. 6-3).
- **6.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis (p. 6-10).
- **6.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 6-12).
- **6.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to preserve the City’s cultural and historic resources (p. 6-14).
6.2 Historic Resources in St. Helena

Napa Valley has a long history of settlement dating back to around 2000 B.C., when Native Americans first inhabited the Napa Valley. Native Americans inhabited the area for thousands of years, and at the time of first contact with European settlers of the area, the Wappo had established a village near present-day St. Helena called Annakatanoma. Until the 19th century, approximately 7,000 Wappo resided in the Napa Valley and surrounding hills, including Mount St. Helena.

Since its early days in the mid-19th century, St. Helena has been an agricultural and commercial center serving the surrounding towns and farming areas of the upper Napa Valley. The community initially served as a transfer point for agricultural goods and natural resources destined for markets in Napa and San Francisco. The City’s more recent cultural roots stem from its role as an immigration destination for European settlers; however, the histories of both Native American and European peoples are important to the identity of St. Helena.

The influences of these early residents are exemplified in the City’s historic structures, in particular stone and brick masonry structures, as well as European-styled, timber-constructed barns, bridges, wineries, and social halls located throughout the city. Additionally, St. Helena has typically been a destination for immigrants seeking labor opportunities in mining and the surrounding agricultural fields. In early years, Chinese laborers ventured to St. Helena from San Francisco and the mining areas of the Sierra foothills. More recently, workers from Mexico and Central America have settled in the area, bringing with them vibrant cultural traditions reflected in the holiday celebrations, arts, and culinary experiences of the area. Protecting and preserving the city’s historic and cultural resources is essential to ensuring that St. Helena maintains its unique character while adapting to social and political changes and potential growth demands in the coming decades.

St. Helena was first settled by members by the Native American Yukian group who occupied much of Northern California, including present day Napa County. When Spanish soldiers arrived in the area, they called the Yukians guapo, meaning courageous, a term that later became “Wappo.”
BENEFITS OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

In 1998, downtown St. Helena was designated as a Nationally Registered Historic District, and the city boasts a number of landmark buildings that have been listed on the National and California State Historic Registers. Creative, forward-thinking planning can help the City leverage these historic resources to maximize their impact on local economic growth and maintain the high quality of life and character for which the city is known. Effective historic preservation policies can have many positive impacts, including cultural, social, and economic benefits. In addition, further integrating historic preservation into land use and urban design plans can contribute greatly to the city’s long-term vitality.

In general, the social benefits attributable to historic preservation are cultural, educational, or environmental in nature. Collectively they contribute to the city’s overall livability and quality of life. Cultural benefits include the celebration of diverse communities and the fostering of a sense of civic belonging and pride. For example, St. Helena is home to several historic wineries that contribute to the city’s agriculture-based identity. Historic wineries include Beringer, Charles Krug, and Spottswoode. Additionally, educational benefits can take many forms, and often lay the foundation for collaboration between local educational, non-profit, and civic institutions. These collaborations may result in bringing local history to life for residents and visitors, enriching the overall experience of the city. Lastly, preserving and rehabilitating historic structures and surroundings can have positive environmental benefits on St. Helena by capitalizing on the investment of time, energy, and resources that have already gone into the construction and maintenance of the buildings. Rehabilitating historic buildings reuses existing materials and can help divert waste construction resources from entering local landfills. Establishing and implementing effective historic preservation policies will help ensure that St. Helena maximizes the breadth and impact of positive cultural, education, and environmental benefits into the future.
Economically, historic preservation provides a wide array of direct and indirect benefits to cities and can be a key driver of local economic development strategies. Direct benefits are mostly attributable to State and/or federally-registered buildings that qualify for tax credits and deductions to subsidize rehabilitation and construction costs. One indirect economic benefit, particularly applicable to St. Helena, includes creating a strong sense of place that is attractive to tourists seeking cultural destinations and experiences, and that can draw significant revenue from outside sources to support and circulate locally.

St. Helena’s unique historic resources and Napa Valley location provide it with a strong position to capitalize on the economic benefits of well-planned and well-implemented historic preservation measures.
ST. HELENA’S INVENTORY OF HISTORIC RESOURCES

Numerous historical buildings and structures have been recorded within city limits as listed in Appendix A and shown in Figures 6.1 and 6.2. Historic resources are generally concentrated in the central part of the city, although there are historic resources on winery properties and adjacent to the Napa River. The most common historical property types consist of residences and commercial buildings, although other historic resources in St. Helena include barns, warehouses, wineries, churches, schools, bridges, a culvert, street lights, a motel, tankhouses, stonework, roads, a railroad depot, and government buildings. The majority of these properties were recorded as part of the 1977-1978 Napa County Historic Resources Inventory conducted by Napa Landmarks, Inc. and an historical architectural survey conducted by Page & Turnbull, Inc. in 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 6.1. Historic Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source / Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978 Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Oak District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Street District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register of Historic Places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register of Historic District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹. Overlap exists among Historic Resource lists.  
Source: City of St. Helena, Historic Resources Inventory (1978, 2006)
There are several well-preserved buildings along Main Street, including the Oddfellows building.

Eleven historical resources within the City limit are listed in the National Register of Historic Places and California Register of Historical Resources. Two of these historical resources—the Beringer Winery Historic District and the Main Street Commercial Historic District—include multiple buildings and structures, which are indicated by a National Register Status Code of “1D” in Table A-1 of Appendix A. The Main Street Commercial Historic District includes the 34 buildings considered to be contributors to the district’s historic character or significance, along with 13 non-contributor buildings. Non-contributors are those buildings that, due to date of construction, alterations, or other factors, do not contribute to the historic character of the district. Numerous other historical buildings in St. Helena appear eligible for listing in the National and California registers, as indicated by a National Register Status Code “3S,” which would qualify such properties as historical resources for purposes of CEQA.
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Figure 6.1
Historic Resources

National Historic District

- National Register
- California Register
- State Landmark
- Eligible for National Register or California Register
- Locally-Designated Resources

St. Helena Historic Commercial District
Beringer Winery Historic
Lewelling "Deermount" Ranch Historic District

Parks and Open Space
Urban Limit Line
Study Areas
City of St. Helena

Data Source: California Historical Resources Information System, Northwest Information Center, 2018; City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
GENERAL PLAN UPDATE
City of St. Helena
6.3 Key Findings

There are several opportunities related to historic resources in St. Helena. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input:

- St. Helena has a wealth of historic resources that contribute to and define its unique sense of place. Implementing measures to preserve and protect these resources can benefit the community for generations to come.

- St. Helena boasts a number of well-preserved buildings along Main Street that help communicate the City’s character to those entering or passing through town, particularly from the north. Key landmark buildings include the Starr, Galleron, Ritchie, Oddfellows, and Pritchard buildings. Preserving these structures and ensuring that new development complements their landmark status can help St. Helena maintain its unique character.

- The City has adopted a Historic Preservation Overlay District that establishes zoning regulations for historic resources located within the District. Currently, the Historic Preservation Overlay District applies to very few historic properties.

- Although there are more than 200 historically significant resources in the City, most are not included in the Historic Preservation Overlay District. Those properties not recognized may be vulnerable to alterations and demolition.

- St. Helena does not currently have a historic preservation ordinance. However, the design review process that is set up for all new construction, additions and alterations of both residential and non-residential buildings in St. Helena has special findings for consideration of historic buildings. This allows the City to require CEQA analysis of impacts on historic buildings pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2, which states that a categorical exemption shall not be used for a project which may cause a substantial...
adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Special findings must be made to address the demolition of historic structures (section 17.164.050). These findings are:

- That the building poses a threat to health, safety and general welfare if it is not demolished;
- That restoration of the building is not feasible or practicable using current building codes, including but not limited to the Historic Building Code provisions of the Uniform Building Code of the State of California; and
- That no public or other funding is available for financing renovation or purchase of the building.

- In 1999, the City implemented one of the first mandatory seismic retrofit ordinances of unreinforced masonry buildings (URM) within the state (Municipal Code section 15.40). Thirty-two URMs were identified. Seismic retrofit of all 32 buildings has either been completed or is in the construction phase of completion.
- The City of St. Helena Building Department utilizes the State’s historic building code to encourage and assist in the preservation and renovation of historic structures.
- St. Helena’s neighborhoods have unique characteristics that reflect the evolution of the City over time. Creating neighborhood-specific historic preservation design guidelines can ensure that structural alterations to existing homes reflect the neighborhood’s past, while accommodating modern residential needs.
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6.4 Goals

The goals of the Historic Resources Element are:

**Maintain St. Helena’s Sense of Place and Visual Character.**
St. Helena is dedicated to ensuring that new development complements existing buildings and supports the City’s unique historic, agricultural character and setting.

**Preserve and Protect St. Helena’s Historic Resources.**
St. Helena is committed to preserving and protecting its historic resources and ensuring that they remain for future generations.

**Celebrate St. Helena’s Distinct History and Heritage.**
St. Helena is dedicated to celebrating its rich historic buildings and ensuring that they can be enjoyed by residents and visitors.

*Preserving St. Helena’s historic resources will help maintain the City’s unique sense of place.*
Adaptive Reuse refers to the process of adapting older structures for use in different ways than originally intended. In this way, the existing architectural details and cultural and historic significance of older buildings can be maintained, while the use and function of the building changes to meet current and future needs. Examples of adaptive reuse include rehabilitating unused schools for use as offices or research facilities, and retrofitting former industrial buildings into residential units. Adaptive reuse can bolster planning efforts to reduce the occurrence of sprawl and preserve agricultural lands by focusing new development in already-developed areas of the City.

The Long Meadow Ranch Winery and Farmstead, located at the intersection of Charter Oak and Main streets, is an example of adaptive reuse in St. Helena. Reuse of the property, including the 130-year old gothic revival, two-story home, is currently underway. The project includes a nursery, restaurant, open air produce market and wine tasting room. In addition, the Napa Valley Vintners Association office building is another example of adaptive reuse.
6.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Protected Historic Resources; and

2. Historic Resources Awareness.

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
1 PROTECTED HISTORIC RESOURCES

Policies

**HR1.1** Preserve the City’s historic and cultural resources, so that they may contribute to the special character and quality of the City and support its economic base.

**HR1.2** Protect the historic resources that exist in the downtown commercial area.

**HR1.3** Encourage the adaptive reuse, rehabilitation, and retrofit of historic buildings in which the original use is no longer feasible.

**HR1.4** Promote the application of sustainable building practices to the preservation of historic resources.
PROTECTED HISTORIC RESOURCES

HR1.5 For development and redevelopment proposals in archaeologically and paleontologically sensitive areas of St. Helena or where tribal cultural resources are known to exist, require an assessment of the potential presence of archaeological, paleontological and tribal cultural resources, including a site survey and a records search of the California Historical Resources Information System at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC). As warranted by the results of the assessment, require additional studies to identify and address project-specific impacts on archaeological and paleontological resources. The City shall incorporate the study recommendations as project conditions of approval to ensure that impacts on archaeological and/or paleontological resources are mitigated to the extent possible. Studies shall be prepared according to National Register Bulletin 24: Guidelines for Local Surveys: A Basis for Preservation Planning and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation.

HR1.6 For development or redevelopment on a site that contains any structures over 45 years old, require a records search of the California Historical Resources Information System at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) to determine the presence of historic resources. As warranted by the results of the records search, the City shall require evaluation of structures over 45 years old to determine their historical significance. Studies shall be prepared according to National Register Bulletin 24: Guidelines for Local Surveys: A Basis for Preservation Planning and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation.
Implementing Actions

HR1.A  Adopt a historic preservation ordinance to implement the policies recommended in the Historic Resources Element.

HR1.B  Adopt design review guidelines and/or form-based codes, standards and criteria for the alteration or rehabilitation of historic properties. The adoption of design review guidelines and/or form-based codes can assist City staff, the Planning Commission, and City Council when reviewing permit requests and provide long-term regulatory consistency.

HR1.C  Adopt design review guidelines and/or form-based codes that require new development in or adjacent to historic areas or buildings to be compatible in design and character with existing historic buildings.

HR1.D  Develop an incentive program to encourage property owners to participate in historic preservation efforts. Potential program measures can include alternate building codes for historic structures and financial incentives, where necessary.

HR1.E  Consolidate and update the existing inventories of historic buildings and houses and develop criteria to add to the list.

HR1.F  Develop sustainable development and green building guidelines for rehabilitation, retrofitting, and adaptive reuse of historic resources. Identify incentives to encourage property owners to utilize these guidelines.

(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area 1)
HR1.G  Continue to develop and implement downtown design guidelines and/or standards to protect historic buildings and guide façade changes.

HR1.H  Regularly update the Historic Resources Inventory to ensure that it includes a current list of historic structures in the city.

HR1.I  Incorporate the preservation of historic resources into a citywide urban design plan.

HR1.J  The City shall retain a qualified architectural historian, preservation architect, or preservation planner to assist with development of any neighborhood or citywide design standards, guidelines, or form-based codes that will be implemented in or adjacent to historic areas, e.g., the Downtown Commercial District, or adjacent to historic buildings.

HR1.K  Require that rehabilitation or restoration of historical resources be done according to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for the Preservation, Rehabilitation, Restoration, Restoration, and Reconstruction of Historic Buildings.
Policies

**HR2.1** Strengthen public awareness of and support for the preservation and protection of the City’s historic resources, while improving community access to information about local Native American history.

**HR2.2** In cooperation with Native American Research and Historical Preservation Society, improve community access to information about Native American life.

Implementing Actions

**HR2.A** Expand community awareness about the value of historic preservation in order to build support among property owners and developers for the preservation and adaptive reuse of historic and cultural resources.

**HR2.B** In cooperation with the St. Helena Historical Society, develop a public education and awareness program to keep the community abreast of historic resource issues through a variety of programs, such as self-guided walking tours, home and garden tours, speakers’ series, and other public events. Through this program the Historical Society could work with owners of historic structures to install plaques outside structures to increase public awareness and community pride.

**HR2.C** Improve community access to information about available historic preservation funding sources and related resources. Provide information about sensitive ways to incorporate sustainable materials and design practices into historic rehabilitation projects.

**HR2.D** Conduct a survey of historic resources to determine different architectural types in the City and develop design guidelines specific to style and period.
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7.1 Purpose of the Element

The Community Design Element presents a framework of policies and implementing actions that integrates directly with other General Plan elements in determining the quality and character of St. Helena’s built environment. In particular, this Element relates to the Land Use and Growth Management Element by setting forth a vision for the form, character, and appearance of the community. It also correlates directly with the implementation of policies and actions included in the Circulation, Open Space and Conservation, Parks and Recreation, and Historic Resources elements. By respecting established neighborhoods and historic assets, this Element provides guidance to preserve St. Helena’s distinct history and rural small-town character, while promoting new approaches to enhance future public and private development.

The Community Design Element includes the following sections.

- **7.2 Rural Community Character in St. Helena.** Identifies rural community character, architectural details and neighborhoods (p. 7-3).

- **7.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 7-9).

- **7.4 Goals** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 7-13).
7.5 Policies and Implementing Actions. Identifies policies and implementing actions to ensure high-quality, sustainable and community-oriented design and construction and protect community character (p. 7-15).

7.2 Rural Community Character in St. Helena

Community character can be defined in a number of ways but is often reflected in the physical form of the built environment and its relationship to the natural environment. A community’s street pattern; the relationship of its buildings to streets; the location and design of its public spaces; and the architectural styles and landscape elements that characterize buildings, residences and open spaces are often collectively referred to as “community design.”

Community design is a visual representation of the character of a place, which evolves over time based on the lifestyle preferences of its residents and the public and private decisions that shape the human landscape. Community design in St. Helena clearly embodies and celebrates the rural character of the city. It can be best understood by exploring its architectural typologies and details, the identity and character of its many neighborhoods, and its rich agricultural heritage.

AGRICULTURE, OPEN SPACE, PARKS, AND RECREATION

The rural feel and abundant open spaces in and around St. Helena are very important design elements that contribute to the overall character of the community. Parks, greenways, vineyards, and other spaces surround the city and are interspersed throughout its neighborhoods, providing space for recreation and passive activities, natural areas, plazas, flood conveyance, and agriculture. This
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Agricultural uses in and around the City contribute to its character.

Agriculture and viticulture have influenced the nature of the surrounding landscape perhaps more than any other land use or economic activity. As a result, existing expanses of vineyards and agricultural lands — including “fingers” of green that reach into the urban environment — play a central role in maintaining the rural experience and a distinctly historic sense of place for City residents and visitors.

The City’s Urban Limit Line is an instrumental policy tool for maintaining clear definition of the rural/urban edge and preserving the City’s adjacent open spaces. Green spaces and vineyards within the Urban Limit Line also contribute to the rural environment, ensuring St. Helena’s agrarian heritage remains elemental to the character of the City, both inside and surrounding the city. Parks and other open spaces also contribute to this effect.

GATEWAYS, EDGES, AND VIEWS

Gateways, edges, and views throughout St. Helena create a sense of the city’s rural character. Public views of the surrounding hillsides, Mount St. Helena,
vineyards, and older agricultural buildings provide valuable visual connections that strengthen the community’s identity. Views are especially significant where agriculture is located along street edges.

Similarly, entries into the city provide important gateways that help establish St. Helena’s sense of place. These gateways not only announce entry into the city, but also lend significance to the area. The northern gateway to the city from State Route 29, referred to as the “Tunnel of Elms,” is attractive, with large, landmark trees lining the road. Historic winery structures and residences add character to this important city gateway. The southern entry is less pronounced, with service commercial uses, parking, and limited landscaping adjacent to the highway.

ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN DESIGN

The concentration of well-preserved buildings in downtown St. Helena is important in establishing the City’s historic character. Many of the historic masonry and wooden structures built from stone, brick, and timber and located along Main Street between Spring and Adams streets, were constructed during the city’s second major period of growth in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. European settlement of the Napa Valley during this period was fueled by the arrival of Italian and Swiss immigrants, many of whom were trained stonemasons and quarrymen. These early settlers skillfully carved Napa County’s colorful volcanic rocks and used local timber to build barns, houses, fences and bridges. The architectural details of these structures, such as brick dentils, medallion insets, and window fenestration, lend character to the community just as do the materials from which they were constructed.

The character of St. Helena’s residential neighborhoods is defined by a range of Pre-Prohibition-era housing styles. Multiple architectural typologies can be found along a single street, which contributes to neighborhood character. Common residential architectural typologies include American Queen Anne, American Craftsman, California Bungalow, and vernacular farm houses. Later
styles found in St. Helena include ranch-style homes and a variety of neo-eclectic architectural styles based on a combination of traditional shapes and details from different periods. Overlapping themes among both historic and modern homes include large, open-air porches supported by various interpretations of the basic column, and deeply overhanging eaves supported by exposed rafters or ornamental brackets.

Similar to many western cities, St. Helena’s historic street pattern and layout is characterized by a traditional grid pattern, with variances that result from the intersection of railroad lines, creeks and regional roadways. As St. Helena grew during the latter part of the 20th Century, a modified street pattern developed with wide curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs, and fewer bicycle and pedestrian connections. Future development presents an opportunity to enhance street, bicycle, and pedestrian connectivity, in part by using the city’s historic grid street pattern.

RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS

The community is composed of twenty-four residential neighborhoods surrounding St. Helena’s historic downtown, as mapped by the General Plan Update Steering Committee (GPUSC) and shown on Figure 7.1. Each of these neighborhoods are distinct in identity, characterized by design elements such as their street patterns, architectural typologies, landscaping, and other attributes that support St. Helena’s sense of place.

St. Helena’s residential neighborhoods include single family units on large, rural lots; single family units on typical neighborhood lots; and attached medium-density units, including townhouses, condominiums, and apartments. Various residential architectural styles can be found throughout the city neighborhoods that include favorable design components, such as front porches, abundant landscaping, and façade articulation. Streets within residential neighborhoods are generally tree-lined, equipped with sidewalks, and narrow enough to be comfortable for pedestrians and bicycles. However, some streets, such as Starr Avenue, would benefit from a median, planting berm, dedicated bike paths,
or other traffic calming strategies. Decorative fences are often used to establish the boundary between public and private spaces at the street level. These fences, more common in older neighborhoods, also create visual interest for pedestrians. Landscaping in the city’s residential neighborhoods is also colorful and highly textured, which helps to further enhance the pedestrian experience.

Street patterns, an important component of the urban form that influence not only circulation and community access but also community layout and character, vary between neighborhoods in St. Helena. Neighborhood streets such as those in the Hillview and Westside Annex neighborhoods exhibit a traditional grid pattern, while the Big Rock, Fir Hill, and Vallejo neighborhoods have rural and semi-rural street patterns.

Residential neighborhoods possess unique identities and different types of architecture dating from various decades.
7 community design
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL AREAS

Commercial and industrial areas are located along Main Street and adjacent streets. The Central Business District (CBD), centered on Main Street, serves as the city’s downtown and provides a variety of uses for residents and visitors. The CBD is characterized by historic buildings, an active pedestrian environment, street trees, and other key defining streetscape elements, such as historic streetlights and special sidewalk treatments. Other commercial and industrial areas are located along Adams Street, Main Street south of Sulphur Creek, and the areas surrounding Dowdell Lane and Vintage Avenue. In these service areas commercial, office, and industrial land uses are less intense and often include on-site parking. Streets are typically two-lane and have minimal streetscape amenities.

7.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to community design. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

- St. Helena’s built environment is characterized by a compact and historic downtown, tree-lined neighborhoods, breathtaking agricultural landscapes, and a distinctive, early 20th century small-town character. By effectively guiding the built form of any potential new construction, the City can ensure that St. Helena preserves its unique qualities.

- St. Helena incorporates approximately 3,000 acres of land, of which 1,500 acres are located within the boundaries of the Urban Limit Line (ULL). The ULL helps define the city’s character by focusing evolution and change in the central core. Careful, context-sensitive development of areas within the ULL can help the city retain its historic and agricultural character while accommodating well thought out growth in coming decades in order to protect the agricultural uses and rural quality of both the city and surrounding areas.
• St. Helena’s historic downtown is cherished by residents and draws visitors from around the world. The city’s Main Street features a variety of shopping and dining venues. Encouraging new commercial and mixed-use projects that complement the area’s existing sense of place can enhance Main Street’s character while creating uses to serve residents, visitors and businesses.

• St. Helena boasts a number of well-preserved older buildings along Main Street that help communicate the city’s character to those entering or passing through town, particularly from the north. Key landmark buildings include the Starr, Galleron, Ritchie, Oddfellows, and Pritchard buildings. Preserving these structures and ensuring that new development complements their landmark status can help St. Helena maintain its unique character.

• Design Review is an important tool the City can use to continue to promote, ensure, and encourage new homes or remodels that reflect the scale, proportion, and/or building materials that characterize the surrounding neighborhood. Following design guidelines and/or form-based codes for remodels and new construction can ensure that remodeled or new residences complement existing neighborhoods and contribute positively to St. Helena’s sense of place.

• Residential neighborhoods throughout the city possess unique identities and include types of architecture dating from various decades of the 20th Century and present day. Establishing a flexible set of design guidelines and/or form-based codes for specific areas can ensure that new development and remodels complement the distinct character of St. Helena’s existing neighborhoods, while allowing for design innovation to accommodate modern needs and tastes.

• St. Helena’s local character is strongly rooted in its agricultural heritage and location in the world-famous Napa Valley winemaking region. By highlighting the importance of key viewsheds and agricultural lands to the community’s overall design, the City can maintain a strong visual connection to the essential role of agriculture in St. Helena’s past and future.
In conjunction with the City of St. Helena’s General Plan Update planning process, the City initiated the Adams Street Property Visioning project in October of 2008. The process included several stakeholder interview sessions, consultation with the General Plan Update Steering Committee, and two community workshops. The Adams Street property is a catalytic site with the potential to meet a range of the community’s needs, including open space, housing and retail.

Building on the community vision and informed by economic feasibility analysis, the Adams Street Property Visioning exercise provided overall vision and specific design direction, establishing a framework for guiding future public and private developments on the site, as well as public improvements, such as community open spaces and streetscape enhancements.

Design characteristics outlined in the project exemplify many of the Community Design Element’s policies and implementing actions, including new community gathering spaces, preserving important views, green buildings and infrastructure, and an emphasis on bicycle and pedestrian connections.

Detailed site master planning will occur when a specific development proposal comes forth for the Adams Street property.
• High-quality and sustainable design is an important component of the overall community design for St. Helena. Incorporating sustainable design practices into site layout, building design, landscaping, and public infrastructure is key to supporting projects that use less energy and water and have a smaller environmental impact. In addition, high-quality design contributes significantly to overall community design. Supporting sound construction practices and the use of high-quality materials will ensure long-lasting, well-built structures.

• St. Helena’s Main Street is State Route 29, a major north-south thoroughfare that runs through the Napa Valley. Residents consistently cite heavy traffic as one of the most pressing concerns facing the City and highlight a need to ensure that community design efforts reduce auto-related travel in favor of alternate transportation modes. Creating a community design framework that enhances St. Helena’s human scale can ensure that the city remains a comfortable, safe, and convenient environment for pedestrians, bicyclists and transit riders.
7.4 Goals

The goals of the Community Design Element are:

**Respect St. Helena’s Historic Character and Unique Sense of Place.**
St. Helena is committed to enhancing and maintaining its existing community and agricultural character.

**Promote a High-Quality and Sustainable Built Environment and Public Realm.**
St. Helena is dedicated to maintaining an urban design framework that strengthens the physical form of the City by establishing a high standard of quality, context-sensitivity and ecological sustainability for the design, planning and construction of new and renovated structures, gateways, streets, public infrastructure, and public spaces.

**Encourage Community Design throughout the City that Helps to Build Community.**

**Encourage Human Interaction and Support Non-Automobile Transportation.**
St. Helena is committed to promoting community design that is human-scaled, comfortable, safe, and convenient for pedestrian, bicyclist, and transit use.
Strengthen the City’s Neighborhoods to Retain Desirable Characteristics While Allowing for Smart Change and Evolution.

St. Helena recognizes the unique characteristics of individual neighborhoods and the potential for appropriate change within the context of a well-planned city. The City is committed to solving specific neighborhood problems and implementing neighborhood priorities to value enhance livability.

Green building and landscaping, such as green roofs and green stormwater infrastructure, should be incorporated into new development.
7.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. High-Quality and Sustainable Design;
2. Commercial and Industrial Areas;
3. Residential Neighborhoods;
4. Open Space;
5. Gateways, Edges, and Views; and

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused. As the city changes and evolves, the following policies and actions will help guide new public infrastructure and private development in achieving sustainable, high-quality design.

Buildings should utilize native landscaping, passive heating and cooling, and green roofs.
Policies

CD1.1 Ensure high-quality design and construction through a robust design review process.

CD1.2 Ensure the construction of sustainable buildings and landscaping in all public and private development projects.

CD1.3 Require construction and development practices that reduce energy demand through conservation and efficiency, such as the use of green building materials, site design to maximize passive heating and cooling and energy generation, on-site water reuse, water efficient landscaping, and use of low-flow appliances, among others.

*(Also see the Climate Change Element, Topic Area 2)*

CD1.4 Strengthen water conservation measures for development or construction that result in significant reductions in local water use and the protection of local water resources.

CD1.5 Require stormwater management techniques that minimize surface water runoff in public and private developments. Utilize low impact development techniques such as bioswales and other best management practices to manage stormwater.

*(Also see the Open Space and Conservation Element, Topic Area 3)*

CD1.6 Encourage the adaptive reuse, rehabilitation, and retrofitting of historic buildings in which the original use is no longer feasible.

*(Also see the Historic Resources Element, Topic Area 1)*
CD1.7 Promote the application of sustainable building practices to the preservation of historic resources.

(Also see the Historic Resources Element, Topic Area 1)

CD1.8 Require, to the extent feasible, that all new development include underground utilities to minimize their negative visual impact. In addition, funding sources to underground electrical lines shall be sought so the undergrounding of existing overhead lines can occur over time.

Implementing Actions

CD1.A Continue to implement the existing design review process for new development and remodels throughout the city. Create additional tools, including design guidelines and/or form-based codes, to inform decision-making and ensure high-quality, sustainable design that is compatible with and enhances community character. Consider formation of historic design review committee and/or policies.

CD1.B Adopt a Green Building and Landscaping Ordinance that establishes green building and landscaping site design standards customized to meet the unique climatic context of the community. Landscaping standards should limit impervious paving and identify standards and incentives that encourage the use of locally-propagated native, low-water, drought-tolerant planting, and integrated pest management practices.

CD1.C Partner with third party agencies, such as PG&E, to encourage the inclusion of energy-efficient systems in remodels and retrofits of existing buildings and residences.

CD1.D Consider offering incentives for improving energy-efficiency in existing buildings.
Policies

**CD2.1** Maintain the character and identity of the downtown by emphasizing strong street definition, creating active front setbacks with outdoor seating, locating parking on the side and behind buildings, and maximizing entrances and transparency on building façades.

**CD2.2** Within industrial and commercial areas outside downtown, maintain transitional zones as needed to protect public health and safety but allow flexible front setbacks while encouraging transparent and accessible front façades and parking on the sides and backs of buildings.

**CD2.3** Encourage distinction within and between buildings by varying roof lines and articulating building facades.

---

Central Business and Mixed-Use districts should emphasize strong street definition and active front setbacks with outdoor seating.
**CD2.4** Ensure active and complete streets within commercial districts by providing sidewalk amenities, such as landscape buffers, berms, street trees, street furniture, outdoor dining, public art, signage, and wayfinding.

**CD2.5** Encourage property owners to improve façades and landscaping surrounding existing buildings through the implementation of beautification programs.
Implementing Actions

CD2.A Develop and implement design guidelines and/or form-based codes, to provide oversight and guidance for new buildings and renovations.

(Also see the following elements: Land Use and Growth Management, Topic Area 3; and Economic Sustainability, Topic Area 3)

CD2.B Require street tree plantings along the commercial streets east of Main Street to reflect Main Street’s existing planting pattern, in order to provide visual continuity and to create a pleasant pedestrian environment.

CD2.C Install attractive and well-designed community amenities such as public restrooms, drinking fountains, benches, bicycle racks, and trash and recycling containers in commercial districts. Ensure that community amenities are designed and installed to complement surrounding businesses and support the pedestrian-orientation of the street.

CD2.D Require businesses and structures to be of a scale commensurate with existing older buildings in the CB and SC land use areas.

CD2.E Adopt and implement façade and landscape beautification programs to provide assistance to owners of existing commercial and industrial properties.

CD2.F Explore the need for additional programs, such as commercial façade improvement programs and traffic calming incentive programs.

(See also the Circulation Element for policies and implementing actions related to traffic calming).
Policies

CD3.1 Limit building envelope sizes and require adequate side and rear setbacks to preserve the character of existing residential areas and to avoid overbuilt lots. Require future development to conform to the pattern and density of the neighboring areas. In order to complement existing town character and protect against incursion into vineyard agricultural areas.

CD3.2 Ensure that new residential designs contribute positively to existing neighborhoods by complementing character and incorporating design features, such as front porches, façade articulation and stepbacks.

CD3.3 Encourage the use of landscaping and tree plantings as buffers between sidewalks and residential uses. Discourage the removal of existing trees and adopt more comprehensive tree preservation standards.

(See also the Open Space and Conservation Element for policies and implementing actions related to tree preservation).

CD3.4 Ensure safe bicycle and pedestrian-friendly character on all residential streets. Consider retrofitting existing wide residential streets, such as Starr Avenue, with landscaped medians, wide sidewalks, and adjacent Class I pedestrian and bicycle trails.
Implementing Actions

CD3.A Develop and implement residential design guidelines and/or form-based codes, to provide oversight and guidance for new buildings and renovations.

(Also see the Land Use and Growth Management Element, Topic Area 2)

CD3.B Review the existing ordinance language limiting lot coverage/floor area ratio according to parcel size in residential areas in order to preserve neighborhood character, reduce adverse view and shade impacts on existing homes, improve groundwater infiltration, and avoid overbuilt conditions. At the same time, care needs to be taken that any ordinance revision does not impair the ability to build second units on existing lots where appropriate.
3 RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS

- Higher density residential.
  - Encourage permeable paving materials.
  - Articulate and stepback upper floors.
  - Enforce lot coverage requirements.
  - Locate parking behind, do not allow parking to dominate facades.
  - Encourage balconies on upper floors.
  - Parking located on the side or rear of buildings.
  - Articulate front facades.
  - Building facade fronts sidewalk.
  - Encourage awnings and overhangs.

- Medium density residential.
3 RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS

CD3.C Encourage the design and location of parking to minimize its appearance on front façades, locating it to the side or rear of the building, where feasible.

CD3.D Encourage property owners to install landscaping and tree plantings in front setbacks as a buffer between the sidewalk and residential uses.

CD3.E Require new development to include landscaping and street trees.

CD3.F Establish residential street guidelines that ensure a safe environment for families and children. Encourage traffic calming, street trees, wide sidewalks, and Class I or II bike lanes.

CD3.G Explore a reduction in parking requirements for residential uses that implement transportation demand management strategies, consistent with the parking management strategy articulated in the Circulation Element.

Encourage landscaping and street trees to create bicycle and pedestrian-friendly streets.
Policies

CD4.1 Encourage the development of public spaces for formal and informal gatherings, such as plazas, seating and small performance areas.

CD4.2 Integrate open space, including parks, community gardens, natural areas, and agriculture into the community to strengthen the connection to St. Helena’s agricultural heritage and provide a sense of openness.

CD4.3 Support agricultural and low-intensity uses beyond the Urban Limit Line.

(Also see the Land Use and Growth Management Element, Topic Area 1)

CD4.4 Integrate bicycle and pedestrian trails adjacent to open spaces to enhance connectivity throughout the city and the region.

(Also see the Parks and Recreation Element, Topic Area 6)
Implementing Actions

CD4.A Require private development to incorporate appropriate public open space into site designs.

(See also the Parks and Recreation and Open Space and Conservation elements provide additional goals, policies and actions related to open space).

Bicycle and pedestrian trails can be located adjacent to open spaces.
5 GATEWAYS, EDGES AND VIEWS

Policies

**CD5.1** Preserve the visual and physical connection to agriculture by protecting views from streets, parks, and open spaces to vineyards, agriculture, and hillsides. Where new streets are extended adjacent to agriculture, encourage hillside, and vineyard views by maintaining agricultural activities at the road edge. Existing east and west entries should be maintained in their current appearance, protecting and improving views of vineyards and the surrounding hillsides wherever possible.

**CD5.2** Use public streets or pathways to form the edge of developed areas, allowing views of open space from streets.

**CD5.3** Ensure that key gateways into the City receive special, character-defining treatments and landscaping. Consider establishing landmark trees along the roads that serve as gateways to the City. New commercial development on Main Street south of the Sulphur Creek bridge should be carefully designed to provide an appropriate gateway into the downtown area.

**CD5.4** Preserve and enhance the City’s nighttime environment and quiet rural sounds of the night for residents and wildlife by limiting the negative effects of artificial lighting.
Implementing Actions

CD5.A Working with CALTRANS, design and install a landscaping treatment for the northbound (State Route 29 from Chaix Lane north to Sulphur Creek) and westbound (from Silverado Trail west along Pope, and any future roadway segment from the Trail to downtown) gateways into the city. Consider a tunnel of trees similar to those located at the northern gateway.

CD5.B Adopt a dark sky ordinance to preserve the City’s rural character by limiting the negative effects of light pollution on wildlife and community aesthetics. Develop lighting design guidelines for new development that mitigate light pollution while ensuring adequate nighttime security.

CD5.C New development shall not result in significant light, glare, and noise that could affect residents, visitors, and wildlife. Lighting shall be shielded to reduce glare and shall be cast downwards. Outdoor lighting shall occur primarily for the purpose of security and safety. Upcast lighting shall be discouraged to minimize impacts on wildlife and to retain the agricultural ambience of St. Helena. All lighting shall conform to the Lighting Zone 2 requirements of Title 24 of the California Building Code.

CD5.D The City shall encourage the undergrounding of any new electrical lines required to serve new development. In addition, funding sources to underground existing electrical lines shall be sought so that undergrounding of existing overhead electrical lines can occur over time.

CD5.E The City shall investigate the possibility of designating all or a portion of State Route 29 that passes through the City of St. Helena as a scenic highway under the State’s scenic highway program.
Policies

**CD6.1** Ensure a connected circulation system that maximizes pedestrian and bicycle connectivity.

**CD6.2** Promote the inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian trails and bicycle lanes throughout the City, as well as connections to regional trail systems, such as the Napa Valley Vine Trail.

(Also see the Parks and Recreation and Circulation elements for additional policies and implementing actions relating to bicycle and pedestrian trails and amenities.)

**CD6.3** Require streetscape design that maximizes bicycle and pedestrian usage by providing safe and appropriately lit streets.
6 STREETS PATTERN AND LAYOUT

Implementing Actions

CD6.A Facilitate the safe and efficient flow of pedestrian, bicycle and vehicular traffic. Enhance and frame views of the hills and surrounding agricultural lands; and incorporate appropriate traffic calming features to support and complement the neighborhood environment.

(See also the Circulation Element for goals, policies and actions related to street connectivity).
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8 open space and conservation

8.1 Purpose of the Element

The Open Space and Conservation Element presents a framework for governing future decisions about how St. Helena will sustain open space and natural resources for today’s residents, as well as future generations. It aims to protect, maintain, and enhance St. Helena’s natural resources and open spaces, while balancing community needs with conservation to benefit the common good.

The Open Space and Conservation Element includes the following sections.

- **8.2 Open Space and Natural Resources in St. Helena.** Identifies key open space and conservation areas and resources in St. Helena (p. 8-3).
- **8.3 Key Findings.** Identify key findings based on an existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 8-16).
- **8.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 8-20).
- **8.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to protect the City’s natural areas and resources and expand and maintain a comprehensive open space and parks system (p. 8-21).
As a complement to the City’s Open Space and Conservation Element, the Parks and Recreation Element includes detailed descriptions of existing parks and recreational facilities, as well as policies and implementing actions related to their programming and improvement.

8.2 Open Space and Natural Resources in St. Helena

St. Helena enjoys a rural setting that includes a wealth of natural resources, providing the foundation for an open space system that can fulfill multiple functions, supporting the community’s health, safety, recreation, and natural environment. Within its city limits, there is a mix of agricultural uses, urban development, and wooded hillsides along with a diverse array of vegetation communities, including aquatic, grassland, chaparral, oak woodland, riparian woodland, coniferous forest, agricultural croplands, and developed lands (Figure 8.1). The most significant stands of native vegetation, in terms of size and variety, occur on the heavily forested hillsides on the east and west sides of town. Some of the most important wildlife resources within the city are found along the Napa River and its two tributaries, York Creek and Sulphur Creek. Although agricultural and urban development have encroached on these riparian corridors as St. Helena has grown, the corridors still provide habitat for stands of large trees and a variety of plant, animal, and aquatic species.
TABLE 8.1: Biotic Communities and Associated Land Cover Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Cover Type</th>
<th>Natural Communities</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Grass</td>
<td>California Annual Grasslands Alliance</td>
<td>51.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upland Annual Grasslands &amp; Forbs Formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Oak Woodland*</td>
<td>Blue Oak Alliance</td>
<td>69.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Oak-Foothill Pine*</td>
<td>Foothill Pine Alliance</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamise-Redshank Chaparral*</td>
<td>Chamise Alliance</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Oak Woodland*</td>
<td>California Bay-Madrone-Coast Live Oak - (Black Oak Big - Leaf Maple) NFD Super Alliance</td>
<td>78.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coast Live Oak Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas-Fir</td>
<td>Douglas-fir Alliance</td>
<td>127.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>Eucalyptus Alliance</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacustrine</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>53.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Chaparral</td>
<td>Leather Oak - California Bay - Rhamnus spp. Mesic Serpentine NFD Alliance</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leather Oak - White Leaf Manzanita - Chamise Xeric Serpentine NFD Super Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montane Hardwood*</td>
<td>Coast Live Oak - Blue Oak - (Foothill Pine) NFD Association</td>
<td>176.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed Oak Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8.1: Biotic Communities and Associated Land Cover Types (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Cover Type</th>
<th>Natural Communities</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montane Riparian*</td>
<td>Mixed Willow Super Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valley Oak - (CA Bay - Coast Live Oak - Walnut - Ash) Riparian Forest NFD Association</td>
<td>124.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White Alder (Mixed Willow - CA Bay - Big Leaf Maple) Riparian Forest NFD Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchard-Vineyard</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1315.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1116.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban or Built-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Oak Woodland*</td>
<td>Valley Oak Alliance</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Non-native Woodland</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,144.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *denotes sensitive natural community

St. Helena’s diverse natural communities host a number of sensitive ecological and biological resources, including numerous special-status species, defined as plants and animals that are legally protected under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), California Endangered Species Act (CESA), or other regulations, and species that are considered sufficiently rare by the scientific community to qualify for such listing. In total, there are seven special status plant species and seven special-status animal species known or assumed to be present within a 1-mile radius of St. Helena. Additionally, York Creek, Sulphur Creek, and the Napa River provide critical habitat for steelhead. Figure 8.2 shows critical habitat and special status plant and animal species occurring within a 1-mile radius of St. Helena. Table 8.2 lists critical habitat and special status plant and animal species occurring within a 1-mile radius of St. Helena, showing federal and State listing status.
Figure 8.1
Agriculture, Open Space, Parks and Recreation

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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Figure 8.2
Land Cover and Biotic Communities

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; CA Department of Fish and Wildlife, Napa County and Blueridge Berryessa Dataset, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
TABLE 8.2: Special-Status Plant Species Known to Occur or Potentially Occur in St. Helena

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Federal Listing Category (USFWS)</th>
<th>State Listing Category (DFG)</th>
<th>California Native Plant Society Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clara Hunt’s milk-vetch</td>
<td>Astragalus claranus</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa false indigo</td>
<td>Amorpha californica var. napensis</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-anthered California bodiao</td>
<td>Brodiaea californica var. leptandra</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rincon Ridge ceanothus</td>
<td>Ceanothus confusus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calistoga ceanothus</td>
<td>Ceanothus divergens</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly-leaf ceanothus</td>
<td>Ceanothus purpureus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma ceanothus</td>
<td>Ceanothus sonomensis</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-leaved daisy</td>
<td>Erigeron angustatus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-carpellate western flax</td>
<td>Hesperolinon bicarpellatum</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Napa western flax | Hesperolinon sp. nov. "serpen-
| num" | -- | -- | 1B |
| Colusa layia | Layia septentrionalis | -- | -- | 1B |
| Jepson's leptosiphon | Leptosiphon jepsonii | -- | -- | 1B |
| Cobb Mountain lupine | Lupinus sericatus | -- | -- | 1B |
| Marin checkerbloom | Sidalcea hickmanii ssp. viridis | -- | -- | 1B |
| Marsh checkerbloom | Sidalcea oregana ssp. hydrophila | -- | -- | 1B |
### Plant Species and Conservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Federal Listing Category (USFWS)</th>
<th>State Listing Category (DFG)</th>
<th>California Native Plant Society Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma beardtongue</td>
<td>Penstemon newberryi var. sonomensis</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green jewel-flower</td>
<td>Streptanthus breweri var. hesperidis</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oval-leaved viburnum</td>
<td>Viburnum ellipticum</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** CNPS = California Native Plant Society; DFG = California Department of Fish and game; USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Legal Status Definitions:

**Federal Listing Categories (USFWS):**
- **E** Endangered
- **T** Threatened

**State Listing Categories (DFG):**
- **E** Endangered
- **T** Threatened
- **R** Rare

**CNPS Categories:**
- **1A** Plant species presumed extinct in California.
- **1B** Plant species considered rare or endangered in California and elsewhere (but not legally protected under the ESA or CESA).
- **2** Plant species considered rare or endangered in California but more common elsewhere (but not legally protected under the ESA or CESA)
- **3** Need more information about this plant (review list)
- **4** Limited distribution (watch list)

**Sources:** California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) 2007, DFG 2007, USFWS 2007
### TABLE 8.3: Special-Status Wildlife Species Known to Occur or Potentially Occur in St. Helena

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Federal Listing Category (USFWS)</th>
<th>State Listing Category (DFG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invertebrates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley elderberry longhorn beetle</td>
<td>Desmocerus californicus dimorphus</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California freshwater shrimp</td>
<td>Syncaris pacifica</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley steelhead (distinct population segment)</td>
<td>Oncorhynchus mykiss</td>
<td>T, NMFS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinook salmon, Central Valley (fall/late fall-run evolutionarily significant unit)</td>
<td>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</td>
<td>C, NMFS</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphibians</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California red-legged frog</td>
<td>Rana aurora draytonii</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foothill yellow-legged frog</td>
<td>Rana boylii</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reptiles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest pond turtle</td>
<td>Clemmys marmorata marmorate</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper’s hawk</td>
<td>Accipiter cooperii</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp-shinned hawk</td>
<td>Accipiter striatus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black swift</td>
<td>Cypseloides niger</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow warbler</td>
<td>Dendroica petechia brewsteri</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-tailed kite</td>
<td>Elanus leucurus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald eagle</td>
<td>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-crested cormorant</td>
<td>Phalacrocorax auritus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Animal Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Federal Listing Category (USFWS)</th>
<th>State Listing Category (DFG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purple martin</td>
<td>Progne subis</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern spotted owl</td>
<td>Strix occidentalis caurina</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>CSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Mammals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Federal Listing Category (USFWS)</th>
<th>State Listing Category (DFG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pallid bat</td>
<td>Antrozous pallidus</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Townsend’s big-eared bat</td>
<td>Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>CSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: DFG = California Department of Fish and Game; USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Legal Status Definitions:

- **E** Endangered
- **T** Threatened
- **C** Candidate
- **X** Critical Habitat is designated for this species by USFWS
- NMFS Species under the Jurisdiction of the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration Fisheries Service

State Listing Categories (DFG):

- **E** Endangered
- **T** Threatened
- **CSC** species of special concern
- **FPS** Fully Protected Species

Sources: CNDDB 2007, USFWS 2007
CONSERVATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Conservation of natural resources includes protections not only for the plants, trees, animals, fish, birds, and microorganisms present in the urban and natural environment around us, but also for the water, soil, habitats, and ecosystems that make up the ecological community in which we live. The richer the diversity of life around us, the greater the opportunity for healthy living, economic development, and adaptive responses to new challenges such as climate change.

Preserving and enhancing wildlife migration corridors in and around St. Helena is a critical consideration for natural resource conservation in the community, and it is directly related to the viability of local species. Open space areas and riparian corridors represent important opportunities for wildlife migration, including the movement of animals, birds, and fish. Key issues to address in conserving and protecting migration corridors include the loss of vegetative coverage; barriers such as fencing and linear transportation infrastructure; increased noise; and the presence of domestic animals.

Riparian corridors along Sulphur Creek, York Creek and the Napa River support a wide range of wildlife species.
OPEN SPACE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

St. Helena seeks to make full use of its open space resources to support public recreation while protecting the integrity of its natural habitats. The City envisions developing a comprehensive, integrated open space system that includes parks, trails and open spaces to serve the needs of residents and visitors. In particular, it also seeks to improve circulation and create opportunities for residents to walk and bicycle safely throughout the City by locating multi-modal trails along river, stream and other water corridors. These corridors are important open space amenities that can provide additional opportunities for public access and recreation.

Developing a multi-modal trail network along stream corridors can enable the City to increase the amount of open space acreage available to residents for outdoor recreation use. It can also provide opportunities to draw attention to the important role that the Napa River and its tributaries play in maintaining a high quality of life in the City. However, ensuring that these are designed and developed to protect critical riparian habitats and species is essential for the City to meet its long-term conservation goals.

The Open Space and Conservation Element contains goals, policies and implementing actions that seek to balance the City’s commitment to protecting its natural resources with its desire to expand residents’ access to open space amenities. As a complement to the City’s open space and conservation policy framework, the Parks and Recreation Element includes detailed descriptions of existing parks and recreational facilities, as well as policies and implementing actions related to their enhancement moving forward.
**HEALTHY HUMAN ENVIRONMENT**

There are additional opportunities to both utilize and protect open space resources in St. Helena’s developed commercial and residential areas. Street trees and urban forests increase habitat for local and migratory birds, contribute to improved air quality, and provide shade. Expanding efforts to maintain and increase street trees can support the City’s broader conservation goals.

Water conservation and habitat protection concerns result from soil contamination generated by industrial, agricultural, commercial, residential, or other uses that produce or utilize hazardous substances. Incorrect handling or disposal of these substances can compromise St. Helena’s water quality, particularly when stormwater runoff occurs on contaminated sites. By promoting the clean-up of contaminated sites, ensuring new projects have environmentally responsible stormwater runoff systems and development practices, and strengthening outreach efforts to educate the public about proper use and disposal of hazardous materials, the City can bolster its citywide conservation efforts and meet its long-term goals.

*Shade, habitat and improved air quality are all benefits provided by a healthy urban forest.*
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8.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to open space and conservation. The following key findings are based upon a comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

- St. Helena enjoys a wealth of open space resources, ranging from wooded hillsides and cultivated agricultural areas to stream corridors.

- The Napa River and its tributaries are key components of the City’s open space network. The corridors surrounding these waterways provide important wildlife habitat and play an integral role in the City’s natural flood protection. The Napa River is considered an impaired water body under the Clean Water Act and the federal EPA has set Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) thresholds for pathogens and sediment to serve as the starting point or planning tool for restoring water quality. The San Francisco Bay Area Regional Water Quality Control Board has established corrective actions to address pollutant levels in the Napa River in its San Francisco Bay Basin Water Quality Control Plan. Preserving and protecting the Napa River, its tributaries and surrounding corridors is essential to maintaining these resources as healthy ecosystems, critical habitats and valuable open spaces.

- The City is undertaking the Upper York Creek Dam Removal and Ecosystem Restoration (UYCDR) project to improve habitat quality and connectivity for Central California Coast Steelhead and other aquatic species. Constructed in 1900, the dam is now out of service and is preventing access to upstream spawning and rearing habitat and limiting the natural sediment flow to Lower York Creek and the Napa River. The project involves cutting a 23-foot wide notch in the earthen dam to restore unimpeded creek flow and reduce the potential for future downstream habitat degradation and fish kills by preventing potentially detrimental sediment releases during summer low-flow conditions. The UYCDR is very important to the region and its completion has been a long-standing goal for ecosystem restoration and assistance for the threatened Central California Coast steelhead.
An integrated management strategy should be utilized to protect and enhance St. Helena’s water resources.

CONCEPTS, TRENDS AND IDEAS
Integrated Watershed Management

An integrated approach to aquatic restoration and management is a complex and multi-faceted process that comprehensively addresses the health of a watershed. The goal of this approach is to incorporate the specific issues of a local jurisdiction within a framework of broader environmental planning priorities. An integrated approach relies on partnerships between cities, counties, state and federal agencies, and local environmental groups to achieve mutual restoration and management goals.

Key watershed restoration and management strategies for St. Helena can include the creation and implementation of plans and projects to enhance watershed functions that affect the animal, plant and human communities within the area’s watershed. Planning efforts and projects should be designed to address issues that affect the overall health and quality of St. Helena’s watershed, such as: water supply; water quality; water recycling; drainage and flood control; stormwater runoff; ecosystem preservation and habitat protection; point and non-point pollution sources; and recreation and public access.

The City’s Comprehensive Flood Control and River Restoration Project, completed in 2011, is an example of the City’s efforts to provide integrated watershed management practices. This multi-benefit project provided 100-year flood protection for an area of St. Helena that has been subject to periodic historical flooding, provided river restoration, and open space areas for the City. This project is discussed in the public health, safety and noise element.
St. Helena is located over the Napa Valley Groundwater Subbasin and receives approximately 23 percent of its water supply from groundwater. The subbasin is part of the Napa-Sonoma Valley Groundwater Basin and groundwater in the water-bearing aquifers ranges from approximately 50 to 300 feet below ground surface. The subbasin is recharged by rain, irrigation water, and percolation from some streams and tributary channels. Outflow from the subbasin occurs through pumping, discharge to surface water, springs, and evapotranspiration.

A total of 46 percent of the City’s incorporated area is designated as Agriculture, with most acreage actively cultivated with vineyards. In addition, 14 percent is designated as Woodlands and Watersheds, which contains some agricultural uses, mostly vineyards, on hillsides near the city limits. Preserving agricultural uses and protecting agricultural lands from urban encroachment are important steps to maintaining these highly productive and valuable open space resources.
- The community has expressed a strong interest in creating a system of integrated parks and open space areas that serve the recreation needs of residents and visitors.

- Trees are an important part of St. Helena’s natural character. Trees cool streets and public spaces, help control erosion, improve air quality and add to the aesthetics of the community. Preserving the City’s tree resources, while planting new trees will enhance the high quality of life enjoyed by residents.
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The open space areas of St. Helena include built elements like the historic Pope Street Bridge.

8.4 Goals

The goals of the Open Space and Conservation Element are:

Preserve, Enhance, and Restore Natural Resources.
St. Helena is committed to preserving, enhancing and restoring its abundance of natural habitat, wildlife, and open space resources.

Ensure Stewardship of Water Resources.
St. Helena is dedicated to promoting water conservation and ensuring its natural supply of water is properly managed and securely maintained, improving water quality.

Expand Sustainable Agricultural Practices.
St. Helena is committed to continuing and enhancing its agricultural traditions by promoting and reinforcing sustainable agricultural practices.

Create access opportunities to enjoy natural resources responsibly.
8.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Natural Habitat and Biodiversity;
2. Open Space;
3. Water Resource Protection and Conservation; and
4. A Healthy Living Environment

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.

Sulphur and York creeks along with the Napa River support the majority of St. Helena’s riparian vegetation.
Policies

OS1.1 Preserve and enhance St. Helena’s riparian corridors for their value in providing wildlife habitat, biodiversity, natural drainage, and visual amenity.

OS1.2 Prohibit development, alteration, and/or removal of native vegetation from riparian areas. Disallow invasive species that degrade habitat quality.

OS1.3 Protect and enhance contiguous corridors of riparian vegetation along the Napa River and its tributaries in order to support regional wildlife movement and enhance aquatic habitat.

OS1.4 Protect natural habitats that have the potential to support rare, endangered, or special-status wildlife and plant species. Control invasive species that degrade habitat quality.

OS1.5 Restrict development of hillside areas in order to protect wildlife, vegetation, viewsheds, and open space characteristics.

OS1.6 Manage invasive species that degrade habitat quality, especially along the Napa River and its tributaries.

OS1.7 Promote, encourage, and require sustainable agricultural practices that are sensitive to natural habitat and do not harm wildlife.
Implementing Actions

**OS1.A** Develop and adopt an ordinance for the protection, restoration, and enhancement of creek corridors. The ordinance should consider the following:

- Establish setbacks for all new development projects to protect stream function and riparian habitat, while allowing for limited recreational uses and access to the stream corridor for maintenance and flood control;
- Limit use of herbicides and insecticides associated with aquatic toxicity in areas near and adjacent to creeks, and ensure best environmental management practices for all developments and industries;
- Provide access for creek maintenance and public use through easements and cooperative agreements with landowners;
- Establish sufficient buffer width adjacent to waterways to allow for wildlife habitats, trails, and greenbelts;
- Adhere to Living River Principles that allow the river to meander, maintain its natural floodplain and retain natural channel features to support continuous fish migration and the health of riparian corridors;
- Encourage the use of bioswales, off-stream detention ponds, and other green best practices for stormwater management;
- Implement an Integrated Pest Management ordinance that includes provisions to minimize the reliance on pesticides that threaten water quality and to require the use of integrated pest management in municipal operations; and
- Incorporate relevant actions and performance standards in TMDL implementation strategies for the Napa River to control discharges of pathogens and sediment.

**OS1.B** Restrict development on open space-designated parcels along Sulphur Creek west of the Crane Avenue Bridge. All development must be outside the stream corridor and structures must be set back from the creek’s edge, consistent with California Department of Fish and Game standards. This does not preclude potential walking or multi-use trail development.
The gift of a relationship to water is a historic public good and a natural right that was compromised during the last century. The recovery of water quality, natural riverbanks, public access, and recreational uses of our regional rivers and streams is good for the economy and our communities. It is an investment in the future.

1. All citizens should be able to have a direct relationship to water.
2. All rivers and streams should meet the water quality standard for swimming.
3. Rivers and streams should support an increasing diversity of plant and wildlife.
4. Each community should monitor and care for water quality in rivers and streams.
5. Upstream community water problems should not impact downstream communities.
6. Water problems should be solved at the source, addressing cause, not effect.
7. Public rights to waterways and wetlands should not be compromised by private interests.
8. Wetlands and streams should be treated as economic and ecological assets.
9. Wetlands and streams should not be lost, and should be restored where possible.
10. Public access to and from the water, and along the water’s edge, should be restored.
11. Riverbanks should be preserved or restored to their natural form wherever possible.
12. Pre-industrial connections between water and communities should be restored.
13. Redevelopment should accommodate public access to water and riverbanks.
14. Redevelopment should restore community, culture, ecology and economy.
OS1.C Coordinate with the California Department of Fish and Game, the Living Rivers Council, the Regional Water Quality Control Board and other federal, State, and local regional agencies with regulatory authority for water quality, protected plant and animal species, and streams and wetlands to restore and maintain creek corridors.

OS1.D Coordinate with the County, the California Department of Fish and Game, and other regional agencies to augment water flow in the Napa River and its tributaries in order to enhance year-round fish habitat and minimize stagnation and pollution.

OS1.E Create a work plan for restoring sensitive habitat that has been degraded by agriculture or other past practices. Where applicable, encourage agricultural enterprises to participate in restoration efforts and in efforts to prevent further degradation.

OS1.F Create a set of guidelines for the protection of special-status species and sensitive natural communities. Guidelines can include appropriate survey methods consistent with the California Department of Fish and Game, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NOAA Fisheries, and CEQA requirements.

OS1.G Require a biological assessment of any proposed project site where species or the habitat is defined as sensitive or special-status by the California Department of Fish and Game, NOAA Fisheries, or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service might be present, including the installation of new wind turbines for alternate energy. Avoid potential impacts of sensitive resources as part of new development to the maximum extent feasible. Where complete avoidance is not possible, the project applicant must secure any required authorizations from jurisdictional agencies and provide adequate replacement mitigation to ensure there is no net loss in habitat acreage or values.
1 NATURAL HABITAT AND BIODIVERSITY

**OS1.H** Require all proposed projects adjacent to a creek corridor or located in the city’s hillside areas to submit a management plan for protecting natural habitats, including provisions to:

- Employ supplemental planting and maintenance of grasses, shrubs, and trees of similar quality and quantity to provide adequate vegetation cover to keep the watersheds on steep slopes and along streams in good condition, and to provide shelter and food for wildlife.
- Provide protection for wildlife habitat.

**OS1.I** Require new development to be sited to maximize the protection of native tree species, riparian vegetation, important concentrations of natural plants, and sensitive wildlife habitat.

**OS1.J** Discourage and minimize the installation of deer fencing to maintain wildlife corridors and support regional wildlife movement.

**OS1.K** Require environmental review of new agricultural uses, including, but not limited to, farming, horticulture, floriculture and viticulture, animal husbandry, and livestock farming. The environmental review shall ensure that no sensitive biological resources would be adversely affected. Viticulture review must include the replanting of existing vineyards in accordance with County regulations.

**OS1.L** Discourage removal of trees for agricultural or other development in hillside areas. Ensure Woodlands and Watershed restrictions are followed.
OS1.M Encourage agricultural activities that incorporate best sustainable agricultural management practices, including participation in local programs such as the Napa Valley Vintners - Napa Green Program and the California Certified Organic Farmers certification program.

OS1.N Conduct a study to determine if the build-up of gravel in Sulphur Creek will result in a high risk of flooding. Limit development in Flood Hazard Areas using FEMA’s 100-year flood zone regulations at a minimum, and inform property owners of existing developments so they are aware of flood risks and available State and Federal insurance opportunities. Ensure that implementation measures contribute positively to the preservation of the creek and its corridor.

OS1.O As part of new development, avoid disturbance to and loss of bird nests in active use by scheduling vegetation removal and new construction during the non-nesting season (September through January) or by conducting a preconstruction survey by a qualified biologist if vegetation removal and construction is initiated during the nesting season (February through August). Surveys for nesting birds will be conducted no earlier than 14 days prior to tree removal and/or breaking ground. In the event that nesting birds are found, the project applicant will consult with CDFG and obtain approval for nest-protection buffers prior to tree removal and/or ground-breeding activities. Nest-protection buffers will remain in effect until the young have fledged.

OS1.P Avoid potential impacts on jurisdictional wetlands and other waters as part of new development to the maximum extent feasible. Where complete avoidance is not possible, the project applicant must secure any required authorizations from jurisdictional agencies and provide adequate replacement mitigation to ensure there is not less in habitat acreage or values.

OS1.Q Carry out the removal of the Upper Dam on York Creek sufficient to allow the passage of fish, especially Central California steelhead, a threatened species, and complete the restoration of historical fish habitat above the dam.
Policies

**OS2.1** Maintain agriculture as the mainstay of the local economy by preserving agricultural lands as an invaluable and irreplaceable open space resource.

*(Also see the Land Use and Growth Management Element for additional policies and implementing actions relating to agriculture.)*

**OS2.2** Preserve open space for mineral resources. Ensure compliance with State requirements in the preservation of known locations of mineral resources.

**OS2.3** Preserve open space for recreational uses, including a bicycle and pedestrian trail system along creek corridors when compatible with riparian vegetation and wildlife habitat. Where possible, integrate stream corridors with trails and other recreational open space, provided that the vegetation, habitat value, and water quality is not significantly impacted.

**OS2.4** Ensure convenient public access between developed areas and stream corridors by providing access at frequent intervals.

**OS2.5** Limit public access to habitat areas when public access will significantly impact the sensitivity of the habitat area.

**OS2.6** Support floodplain management strategies that ensure adequate open space for flood management consistent with Living River Principles, FEMA, and State requirements at a minimum.

*(Also see the Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element for additional policies and implementing actions relating to flood management.)*
Implementing Actions

**OS2.A** Update the bicycle and pedestrian master plans every five years to establish alignments for proposed trails, design standards, amenities, and phasing. Determine and pursue the appropriate funding mechanisms for initial improvements and the long-term maintenance of the trail system, such as a landscape assessment district, real estate transfer tax, transient-occupancy tax, or bond measure.

*(Also see the following elements: Circulation, Topic Area 2 and Parks and Recreation, Topic Area 6)*

**OS2.B** Adopt a land dedication ordinance that requires developers to provide land and improvements, such as trails and re-vegetation, along both sides of water corridors as a condition of subdivision approval for areas adjacent or in the vicinity of St. Helena waterways. The width of dedicated corridors should be established in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game.

**OS 2.C** Pursue easements to open space areas that do not have adequate access for maintenance and management purposes.

**OS2.D** Provide for open space opportunities by including passive and active public recreation areas within projects as they develop.

**OS2.E** Explore the possibility of public use or agricultural option of the wastewater treatment plant spray field in the form of trails and passive open space or other agricultural option.
Policies

OS3.1 Promote stormwater management techniques that minimize surface water runoff in public and private developments. Utilize low impact development techniques to best manage stormwater through conservation, on-site filtration and water recycling, and ensure compliance with the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

OS3.2 Reduce stormwater runoff in developed areas to protect water quality in creeks. Incorporate sustainable low impact design features in the design of infrastructure.

Implementing Actions

OS3.A Manage stormwater runoff in compliance with the City’s Stormwater and Runoff Pollution Control Ordinance, Stormwater Management Standards for Construction and Post-Construction, and the Development Manual Stormwater Standards, to ensure compliance with the City’s NPDES permit. Implement a surface water quality monitoring program to evaluate the effectiveness of stormwater management program activities in reducing the discharge of pollutants to receiving waters to the maximum extent practicable.

OS3.B Prevent water pollution from point and non-point sources, including runoff from agriculture, through implementation of City-adopted best management practices in applicable permits, Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), and the Plan for California’s Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program. Continue to adopt new and more effective and efficient best practices and programs.

OS3.C Minimize stormwater runoff and pollution by encouraging low impact design features, such as pervious parking surfaces, bioswales, and filter strips in new development projects. The City should be a model for incorporating low-impact design elements as it implements streetscape and landscape improvements. In addition, the City should retrofit the existing public landscape with
natural vegetative coverings or drainage systems that promote infiltration into the ground that can help detain stormwater and reduce pollution attributable to runoff.

(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area 1)

**OS3.D** Maintain the City’s water management program, a program for implementing water conservation efforts for households, businesses, industries, public infrastructure, and agricultural activities. This program should include the following measures:

- Identify building, plumbing, and landscaping standards and technologies that conserve water, especially during water shortages;
- Implement standards that require low-flow appliances and fixtures in all new developments; and
- Encourage and model the use of drought tolerant and native vegetation in landscaping.

**OS3.E** Promote the installation of drought tolerant and native plants in landscaping throughout the city. Potential measures include:

- An education program that details water conservation measures for use in local landscaping;
- Working with local nurseries to encourage education, demonstration, and sales of drought tolerant and native plants and water-wise irrigation systems. (Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element for additional policies and implementing actions relating to water conservation);
- Require City parks and properties to be landscaped with drought tolerant native plants that allow for high shade capacity wherever possible and use water-wise irrigation systems as a model for residents and businesses. (Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element for additional policies and implementing actions relating to water conservation).

**OS3.F** Provide appropriate permitting documents for project applicants requiring coverage under the Statewide National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Construction and Industrial Permits.
Policies

**OS4.1** Protect and enhance tree resources in developed and undeveloped areas. Efforts should include: adequate maintenance of street trees, requiring replacement trees where existing significant trees cannot be saved, and requiring street trees as a condition of new development.

**OS4.2** Encourage the clean-up of contaminated sites to protect the environment and public well-being.

**OS4.3** Promote best management practices that encourage protection of soil, groundwater, and surface water resources from industrial, agricultural, and other uses that produce or dispose of hazardous or toxic substances.

Implementing Actions

**OS4.A** Establish an urban forestry program to ensure a coordinated and comprehensive approach to maintaining and increasing the City’s trees. Key program aspects will include the following:

- A tree planting program to ensure that new trees are planted regularly;
- A tree maintenance program to ensure that existing trees are healthy and pruned;
- A tree inventory to create a comprehensive listing of the City’s trees and tree-related needs;
- A Tree Committee to oversee the implementation of the urban forestry program and approval of tree removals;
- A landmark tree list that identifies trees that require additional protection from damage and/or removal; and
- Appropriate Heritage tree deed restrictions.
OS4.B Until implementation of the City-sponsored urban forestry program occurs, continue to use the Master Street Tree List as a guideline for all street tree plantings.

OS4.C Develop and adopt a Tree Ordinance for the purpose of protecting trees and identifying replacement trees. In coordination with an urban forestry program, existing, significant trees should be integrated into future development. In cases where existing trees cannot be saved, require the planting of replacement trees consistent with guidelines included in the Master Tree List.

OS4.D Explore the concept of establishing a City nursery program to enhance native species for preparation and planting throughout the city.

OS4.E Explore a citywide education opportunity for residents, businesses, industries, and agricultural uses to obtain information on pollution prevention, disposal of hazardous waste and chemicals, liability, and clean-up.

OS4.F Coordinate with County, State and federal agencies, as necessary, to identify the location and extent of contaminated sites in St. Helena.
chapter nine

public health, safety, and noise
9.1 Purpose of the Element

The Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element presents a framework for minimizing risks posed by environmental and human-caused hazards that may impact St. Helena residents’ health and welfare. The Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element aims to protect St. Helena residents, workers, and visitors from negative exposure to flooding, fires, hazardous materials, air pollution, and geologic and seismic hazards.

The Public Health, Safety, and Noise Element includes the following sections.

- **9.2 Public Health, Safety, and Noise in St. Helena.** Summarizes key issues related to the public health and safety of St. Helena residents, workers, and visitors (p. 9-3).

- **9.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis (p. 9-23).

- **9.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 9-28).

- **9.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to minimize hazards and risks to life and property (p. 9-29).
9.2 Public Health, Safety, and Noise in St. Helena

St. Helena is surrounded by natural beauty, hillsides, vineyards, and waterways. While these natural features are great assets for the community, they can present risks due to flood, fire, geologic, and seismic hazards. In addition, human-caused risks, such as hazardous materials, air pollution, and unhealthy noise levels can also pose risks to community health and safety. Effective planning to prepare for and mitigate the adverse effects of these natural and human-caused risks can help ensure that St. Helena maintains a high level of safety for its residents, workers, and visitors. Following is a discussion of the various risks facing St. Helena and ways for the City to anticipate and manage potential impacts.

FLOODING

The Napa River and some of its tributaries are prone to flooding, which poses a risk for people and structures in adjacent parts of the Napa Valley. The Napa River flows are largely influenced by precipitation. The peak flows generally occur in January and February. Some of the worst flooding in the immediate area of St. Helena has occurred in December and April, especially in and around the Vineyard Valley Mobile Home Park, which is near the confluence of Sulphur Creek and the Napa River. The area experienced significant flooding in 1986, 1995, 1997, and 2005 with extensive property loss.

Flood hazards also exist in the York Creek and Sulphur Creek Watersheds in St. Helena. During the 2005 New Year’s Eve storm event, flooding from York Creek significantly impacted residential and industrial properties, including buildings located on the properties of Beringer Winery and the Culinary Institute. The Beringer water treatment plant flooded and ponds overflowed into the creek. In addition, the creek flooded vineyards on both sides of its corridor, downstream of State Route 29 to the Napa River.

Flood hazards in the Sulphur Creek watershed are due primarily to channel bed aggradation in the lower reach where gravel mining was historically conducted. Sulphur Creek continues to supply and deposit substantial amounts of sediment.
in this reach. Consequently, the increased volume of sediment currently stored in the channel decreases the volume available for floodwater, potentially causing an increased flood hazard locally and within the City of St. Helena. Further study needs to be done of the Sulphur Creek area in order to prevent future flooding and to protect habitat for steelhead and salmon.

Recently, the City collaborated with the Napa County Board of Supervisors and the Napa County Flood Control District to study the Napa River’s hydraulics between Deer Park Road and Pope Street. The resulting study identified the need for an extension of the 100-year floodplain beyond the areas previously identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Figure 9.1 identifies areas that fall within the City’s 100-year (one percent) and 500-year (0.2 percent) floodplain; as identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in 2018. Figure 9.2 includes areas in and around St. Helena that would be inundated in the case of dam failure.

**COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD CONTROL AND RIVER RESTORATION**

In 2011, the City completed a Comprehensive Flood Control and River Restoration Project north of the Pope Street bridge and on the west side of the Napa River. The purpose of this project was to protect residential property in the floodplain from the 100-year storm events and restoring the Napa River and its surrounding habitat. The project is designed to reduce flood risk to protect approximately 470 homes, including the Vineyard Valley Mobile Home Park and the Hunt’s Grove Apartment Complex, that were located in a FEMA-designated flood zone prior to completion of the project. The project site was about 36 acres. The project planning began in 2000, with actual construction starting in May 2009. Phase I of the project consisted of construction of a levee, along with a storm drain bypass and other related infrastructure improvements. Phase II, the final phase of the project, included construction of a floodwall that is roughly eight feet high where it is anchored to the levee. The floodwall gradually reduces in height as it continues south along the Napa River to the confluence of the river and Sulphur Creek. It then turns to the west and continues along Sulphur Creek, terminating at
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Figure 9.1
Flood Zones

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; FEMA, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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Figure 9.2
Dam Failure Inundation Areas

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
Paseo Grand Avenue. The wall is about three and a half feet high at its terminus. The total project cost was about $32 million, with the cost of construction at about $9.1 million.

To balance the removal of land from the floodplain, the area known as “lower terrace B” was cut down significantly and widened to accommodate the large flood flows. In Phase II, this terrace was cut down to the level of the Napa River and is designed to carry significant amounts of flood water, should it become necessary.

The second half of this $9.1 million project is the continuation of the flood wall around Vineyard Valley and habitat restoration in the “upper terrace B.” The habitat restoration was a critical element of the overall plan and included the installation 40,000 plantings and anchoring fallen trees into the terrace. This project also included maintenance conducted according to a maintenance plan, irrigation, and development of a pedestrian interpretive trail.

St. Helena has developed an Operations and Maintenance Manual for the Comprehensive Flood Control and River Restoration Project, which describes the extent of the project and outlines operation and maintenance activities that the City and Napa County Flood Control District will work together to manage. The manual describes project features, routine monitoring and maintenance activities, pump station operation, emergency operations, surveillance, personnel training, utility coordination and design.

GEOLOGIC AND SEISMIC HAZARDS

Although St. Helena is located in a seismically active region, there are no known active faults located in the city (see Figure 9.3). Faults are commonly considered to be active or likely to have another earthquake sometime in the future, if they have moved one or more times in the past 10,000 years. The California Geological Survey, the primary source of geologic information used for decision-making by California’s government agencies, designates Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones around the surface traces of active faults in the state and provides maps.

In August 2014, a 6.0 earthquake struck the Napa Valley, with the epicenter of the quake approximately 6 miles southwest of the City of Napa. While damage from the quake was substantial in Napa, particularly in Napa’s downtown area, St. Helena experienced very little to no measurable damage.
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Figure 9.3
Regional Faults

Geologic Features
- **Red**: Historic (<150 years)
- **Green**: Latest Quaternary (<15,000 years)
- **Orange**: Late Quaternary (<130,000 years)
- **Gray**: Undifferentiated Quaternary (<1.6 million years)
- **Brown**: Alquist Priolo Fault Zones

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; USGS and CGS, Quaternary Fault and Fold Database for the U.S., 2006; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
Figure 9.4: Liquefaction Susceptibility

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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Figure 9.5
Landslide Susceptibility

Existing Landslide Distribution

- Mostly Landslide
- Few Landslides

Data Source: USGS, provided by Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
Resilience Program, 1997, City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018

Mostly Landslides - Consists of mapped landslides, intervening areas typically narrower than 1500 feet, and narrow borders around landslides; defined by drawing envelopes around groups of mapped landslides.

Few Landslides - contains few, if any, large mapped landslides, but locally contains scattered small landslides and questionably identified larger landslides; defined by drawing envelopes around areas free of mapped landslides.
for use in planning and controlling new or renewed construction so as to minimize associated risks. According to the most recent maps the nearest active faults to St. Helena are the West Napa Fault, located 14 miles to the south, and the Rodgers Creek Fault, located 13 miles to the west. Additionally, a number of unnamed, relatively small, older faults are located at the base of the Sonoma and Vaca mountains along the margins of the Napa Valley; however, based on available information, displacement of these faults occurred more than 10,000 years ago and as such these faults are not considered active.

Overall, the fault rupture hazard for the city is considered to be low, and the primary geologic hazards of concern are those that could result from excessive shaking effects stemming from earthquakes elsewhere in the region. For instance, a large earthquake event in the area could result in liquefaction in the city’s low-lying areas (see Figure 9.4), and landslides and debris flow in areas with sloped, hilly terrain (see Figure 9.5). Development in the city’s upland areas has often required the removal of trees and vegetation, leaving some hillsides susceptible to erosion and landslides during heavy rains. Actions available to the City to protect areas of the community at greatest risk and minimize the risks of injury and property damage include restricting development in the hillside areas and requiring prompt re-vegetation on slopes prone to instability. The use of best management practices during construction can also limit erosion and the risk of landslides. Employing earthquake engineering strategies in the design of buildings and structures, such as installation of earthquake drains or use of low mobility grouting and dynamic compaction during construction, can limit risks associated with liquefaction.
Air pollution from auto exhaust and dust, can pose health hazards among sensitive populations.

AIR POLLUTION AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Mitigating the public health and safety risks caused by air pollution and hazardous materials will inevitably require changing human behavior. Air pollution resulting from auto exhaust, tobacco smoke, and fugitive dust creates unhealthy air conditions for residents and can pose a particular threat to sensitive populations, such as children and older adults. Implementing measures to reduce auto trips, tobacco smoke exposure, and construction-related fugitive dust can help St. Helena reduce residents’ contact with air pollution. Compact development patterns, facilities to encourage walking and biking, and incentives to reduce automobile trips are just a handful of ways that St. Helena can help improve local air quality.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is the public agency responsible for regulating stationary sources of air pollution in Napa County and the San Francisco Bay Area. BAAQMD monitors air quality in the nine-county Bay Area and reports annually on pollutant concentrations for comparison to State and federal health-based ambient air quality standards. Data indicates that in the Bay Area, as throughout the state, the transportation sector and mobile sources of emissions are the most significant sources of air pollution. Senate Bill (SB) 375, approved by the Governor on September 30, 2008, seeks to reduce greenhouse
gas emissions—and, consequently, other pollutants—from mobile sources by integrating land use and transportation planning at the regional level. *(For a more detailed discussion of SB 375 and related opportunities see the Climate Change Element.)*

Hazardous materials used by local businesses are often transported on the City’s roadways and found present in smaller quantities in private homes in the form of solvents, cleaning fluids, and other substances. Implementing policies to improve the safe use, transport, and disposal of these materials can be instrumental in avoiding environmental contamination and human health impacts.

**NOISE**

Noise is a sound which is unhealthy or unwanted. It can be a human-caused public health hazard which includes excessive, intrusive, or objectionable noises that disrupt daily life. Noise has been tied to physiological effects ranging from hearing loss, high blood pressure, and sleep disturbance, to communication interference and general interruption and annoyance of normal daily activities. Definitions of acoustical terms used in this discussion are listed in Table 9.1.

Land uses have different levels of compatibility relative to noise, and the State of California mandates that general plans include noise level compatibility standards for the development of land as a function of a range of noise exposure values (see Table 9.2). Table 9-3 identifies interior noise standards.

The ambient noise environment throughout much of St. Helena is extremely quiet in comparison to typical urbanized settings, especially in the evenings and at nighttime. With the exception of Main Street (State Route 29) and major collector roadways, the noise environment can be characterized as being that of a quiet rural setting. Residential areas are generally shielded from traffic noise on highways, arterials, and collectors and register very low background sound levels.

Sleep disturbance and the annoyance of sound not only depend on the absolute sound level of a noise source but are related to the magnitude of the noise above that of background sound levels. This means that in particularly quiet rural environments, the control of extraneous, intrusive, and annoying noise sources is
### TABLE 9.1: Definition of Acoustical Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decibel, dB</td>
<td>A unit describing the amplitude of sound. Equal to 10 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of two like quantities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Pressure Level (SPL)</td>
<td>10 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio between the square of the sound to the square of the reference sound pressure of 20 μPascals. Sound pressure level is the quantity that is directly measured by a sound level meter and expressed in dB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency, Hz</td>
<td>The number of complete pressure fluctuations per second above and below atmospheric pressure is expressed in Hertz (Hz). Normal human hearing is between 20 Hz and 20,000 Hz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Weighted Sound Level, dBA</td>
<td>The SPL in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting filter network. The A-weighting filter de-emphasizes low frequency components of sound in a manner similar to the frequency response of the human ear and correlates well with subjective response to sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Unwanted or unhealthful sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent Noise Level, LAeq</td>
<td>The average A-weighted sound level during the measurement period. The A-weighted equivalent continuous sound level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAmmax, Lamin</td>
<td>The maximum and minimum A-weighted sound level during the measurement period with the sound meter using fast-time-weighting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA01, LA10, LA50, LA90</td>
<td>The A-weighted sound levels that are exceeded 1%, 10%, 50%, and 90% of the time during the measurement period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day/Night Noise Level, Ldn</td>
<td>The average A-weighted sound level during a 24-hour day, obtained after the addition of 10 decibels to levels measured at night between 10:00 pm and 7:00 am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sound</td>
<td>The composite of sound from all sources near and far.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Sound</td>
<td>The sound level measured in the absence of an intrusive or extraneous noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrusive Noise</td>
<td>That noise from a source of specific origin which intrudes above the existing background sound level. The degree of intrusiveness of a sound depends upon its amplitude, duration, frequency, time of occurrence, and tonal or informational content as in contrast to the prevailing background sound level which exists in the absence of the intrusive noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background Sound Level</td>
<td>The LA90 of the ambient sound. It represents the ever present lower sound level due to distant sources which are individually indistinguishable, and in the absence of the Intrusive or Extraneous Noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraneous Noise</td>
<td>Specific or distinguishable intermittent sound from nearby sources such as mechanical devices, leaf blowers, pumps, horns, sirens, barking, shouting, birds, wind and other similar individual sources, which don’t normally exist on a continuous or regular basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent Noise</td>
<td>A noncontinuous sound consisting of a number of clearly distinguishable sound events of varying frequency and/or intensity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 9.2: Noise Land Use Compatibility Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Category</th>
<th>Completely Compatible&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Tentatively Compatible&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Normally Incompatible&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Completely Incompatible&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>&lt; 55 dBA</td>
<td>55-60 dBA</td>
<td>60-75 dBA</td>
<td>&gt; 75 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/ Office</td>
<td>&lt; 65 dBA</td>
<td>65-75 dBA</td>
<td>75-80 dBA</td>
<td>&gt; 80 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial/ Agricultural</td>
<td>&lt; 70 dBA</td>
<td>70-80 dBA</td>
<td>80-85 dBA</td>
<td>&gt; 85 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School, libraries, churches, hospitals</td>
<td>&lt; 65 dBA</td>
<td>65-70 dBA</td>
<td>70-80 dBA</td>
<td>&gt; 80 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground, neighborhood park</td>
<td>&lt; 67 dBA</td>
<td>67-70 dBA</td>
<td>70-75 dBA</td>
<td>&gt; 75 dBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Expressed as Ldn or CNEL.

1. Completely Compatible – Specified land use is satisfactory, based on the assumption that any buildings involved are or normal conventional construction.
2. Tentatively Compatible – New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements is made and needed noise insulation features are included in the design. Conventional construction, but with closed windows and fresh air supply systems of air conditioning will normally suffice.
3. Normally Incompatible – New construction and development should generally be discourage. If new construction or development does proceed, a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements must be made and needed noise insulation features included in the design.
4. Clearly Incompatible – New construction or development should generally not be undertaken.

### TABLE 9.3: Maximum Interior Noise Level Criteria of Intermittent Noise for Public Use Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Maximum Intermittent Noise Level LAm&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Basis for Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concert Hall</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Intrusion of noise may spoil artistic effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theater</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Intrusion of noise may spoil artistic effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Auditorium</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Minimize intrusion into artistic performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Classroom</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Speech communication-20 ft.-raised voice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Laboratory</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Speech communication-6 ft.-normal voice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church/Sanctuaries</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Speech communication-50 ft.-raised voice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Speech communication-3 ft.-normal voice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Table 2 in “Night Insulation Problems in Buildings,” Paul S. Veneklasen & Associates, 1973; Noise Element of the Napa County General Plan, 1982.
important to maintaining the public health and a quality living sound environment expected by the community. Typically, a change in noise levels of less than 3 dBA is not discernable to the general population. Increases in average noise levels from 3 to 5 dBA are clearly discernable to most people and increases in average noise levels of more than 5 dBA are common considered to constitute a significant environmental impact in many California communities.

Vehicular traffic on Highway 29 and collector streets is the primary source of noise in the community. Other non-stationary sources which are intermittent and generally inconsequential to the noise environment include flyover commercial aircraft at high altitudes, and small general aviation and helicopter flyovers. The Wine Train travels to St. Helena each day and emits a loud horn at crossings, along with the low frequency rumble of the diesel engines. Typical stationary noise sources in St. Helena include agricultural and industrial activities. Agricultural activities result in noise from wind machines, tractors, and sulphur blowers which are employed in the spring in the early hours of the morning. The St. Helena Fire Department has sirens at two locations which sound on average two to three times per day, with approximately half of them occurring during nighttime hours.

Noise contours as of 2018 are seen in Figure 9.6.

Noise concerns in St. Helena are principally linked to land use compatibility. Concerns relate to adverse noise effects from new commercial development on surrounding noise-sensitive uses such as residences and schools, and also to adverse noise effects from agricultural activities within the city limit. Right-to-farm provisions necessitate accommodating agricultural uses while also seeking to minimize conflicts. To address these concerns and to promote a compatible noise environment throughout the city, Table 9.4 establishes limits on intrusive noise and regulates the amount of noise a specific sound source can emit relative to the typically low residential background sound levels. This standard is specific to residential areas within St. Helena. Additionally, by generally promoting good neighbor practices and facilitating open communication between agricultural, commercial, and residential uses the City can help to foster a healthy noise environment. Noise contours projected for 2040 as a result of the General Plan are shown in Figure 9.7.
Figure 9.6
Existing Noise Contours (2018)

Data Source: Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc, 2018; City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
### TABLE 9.4: Standard and Adjustments for Determining Noise Impact of Intrusive Noise for Residential Receptors

**STANDARD**
The LAeq of an intrusive noise shall not exceed the following values:

- 7 am – 7 pm (daytime) 45 dBA
- 7 pm – 10 pm (evening) 40 dBA
- 10 pm – 7 am (nighttime) 35 dBA

Or shall not exceed LA90 + 5 dBA whichever is greater. The background sound level LA90 must be measured for a minimum of 10 minutes in the absence of the intrusive noise at the appropriate time of noise impact.

The maximum noise level LAmax of any intrusive noise shall not exceed the LAeq limits by more than 10 dBA.

The limits for intrusive noise are to be corrected as indicated below.

These noise limits apply to the nearest residential property line or at the nearest affected location on the receiver’s property.

#### CORRECTIONS FOR INTRUSIVE NOISE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Correction</th>
<th>Circumstances of Correction</th>
<th>Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>Summer or year round operation</td>
<td>0 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December through March only</td>
<td>+ 5 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone or Impulse</td>
<td>No tonal or impulse character</td>
<td>0 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Tonal character(^b) present in noise</td>
<td>- 5 dBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impulsive(^c), repetitious or modulating(^d) signal</td>
<td>- 5 dBA(^e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

a. Correction to be applied to the Standard’s limits for intrusive noise.

b. Tonality exists when the 1/3 octave noise level of the tone is 5 dB greater than the average level of the two adjacent 1/3 octave frequency bands.

c. Transient sound having a peak level of short duration typically less than 100 msec.

d. Either in amplitude, frequency or duration including compressor “hammering” noise.

e. In addition to correction for tonality.
Figure 9.7
Projected Noise Contours (2040)

Data Source: Charles M. Salter Associates, Inc, 2018; City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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Key human-caused public health and safety hazards affecting St. Helena include structural fires, wildfires, and other disasters that require advanced planning. Overall, in the urbanized portion of St. Helena within the city limit, the risk of wildfire is moderate to low; however, areas of the city in the hilly terrain along the western perimeter of the city and east of the Napa River are classified as having high or very high fire threat by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) (see Figure 9.8).

Fires occurring in the heavily-wooded hillside areas can be difficult for firefighters to address due to traffic congestion on City streets, particularly when emergency vehicles must travel on or across State Route 29. Furthermore, traffic congestion can pose important challenges to St. Helena’s collaboration with neighboring municipalities and agencies seeking to establish and implement regionally coordinated disaster planning for police, fire, and emergency medical services. The City can work with local, regional, and state agencies to address key traffic-related concerns on State Route 29 and County roads to ensure that adequate levels of fire protection are maintained, particularly on hillsides and in fire-prone areas.
Figure 9.8 Wild Fire Threat

Data Source: Fire Threat, Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP), Cal Fire, 2005; City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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areas, and to support regional collaboration for disaster preparedness. This ability to coordinate fire response is especially critical in the current drought conditions facing the region and the State.
Hazard mitigation is defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as “sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and their property from hazards.” The goal of hazard mitigation is to ensure long-term resilience against natural and human-caused hazards.

Mitigation Plans form the foundation for a community’s long-term strategy to reduce disaster losses and break the cycle of disaster damage, reconstruction and repeated damage.

As a condition for receiving certain types of non-emergency disaster assistance, State, Tribal, and local governments are required to develop a hazard mitigation plan. Napa County, in cooperation with the cities of St. Helena, Napa, American Canyon, Yountville, and special districts, prepared a Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (LHMP) in 2007. The Napa County LHMP sets forth goals, mitigation strategies and mitigation action items for flooding, earthquakes, wildland fire interfaces and terrorism and technological hazards. Working together, local communities and jurisdictions in Napa County can reduce the long-term risks associated with natural and human-caused hazards. The Public Health, Safety and Noise Element is St. Helena’s primary policy tool for ensuring local action and St. Helena’s involvement in implementing regional hazard mitigation strategies.
9.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to public health, safety, and noise. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

**Flooding**

- Flooding in Napa County generally occurs within the low-lying Napa Valley floor. Between 1961 and 1997, flooding resulted in approximately $540 million in property damages. St. Helena experienced major flood events in 1986, 1995, and 2005 that caused an estimated combined total of $95.6 million in damages in the city. Most flooding occurred in the vicinity of Vineyard Valley from Fulton Lane to Pope Street.

- The flood history of York Creek suggests that the creek bed is not of adequate size to convey larger storm events. During the 2005 New Year’s Eve storm event, flooding from York Creek significantly impacted residential and industrial properties. The Beringer water treatment plant flooded and ponds overflowed into the creek. In addition, the creek flooded vineyards on both sides of its corridor, downstream of State Route 29 to the Napa River.

- Until 2002, an aggregate mining operation, now discontinued, removed approximately 40,000 to 50,000 cubic yards of material from Sulphur Creek annually. Further study is needed to determine how aggregate buildup at Sulphur Creek may affect flooding issues in the area.

- Completed in June 2011, the City of St. Helena Comprehensive Flood Control and Environmental Restoration Project provides a minimum 100-year level of flood protection within the project and surrounding area of St. Helena. Design of the project was done on the basis of a Napa River Flood Model Study from Zinfandel Lane to Lodi Lane completed jointly by the City of St. Helena and the Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District with Measure A funding. The individual elements of the project include floodplain terracing, shoreline restoration, a new levee, floodwall and bank stabilization, and stormwater management features including a detention basin and pumping facility. The project protects hundreds of homes and is projected to save millions of dollars in flood insurance claims.
• Portions of St. Helena’s residential, commercial and winery industrial areas are located within or near the 100-year floodplain. Requiring infrastructure and drainage plans to minimize risk in these areas, in compliance with State and federal requirements, at a minimum, can promote the safety of residents and businesses. Moreover, restricting new development within the FEMA-designated floodplain and prohibiting development in the floodway can minimize additional flood hazards and the need for further mitigation measures.

Seismic and Geologic Activity
• St. Helena is located in a seismically-active region, with seismic activity primarily related to movements in the San Andreas Fault Zone (SAFZ). Although there are a number of active faults in the region, there are no known active faults in St. Helena.

• Local earthquake hazard mapping suggests that a magnitude 7.9 or greater earthquake on the San Andreas Fault, or a 6.5 magnitude event on the West Napa Fault, could result in strong shaking in the city. As a result, St. Helena’s susceptibility to liquefaction ranges from low in the upland areas west of downtown, to very high along the Napa River. United States Geological Survey (USGS) maps indicate that slope stability and landslide issues exist in St. Helena’s upland areas, and a major earthquake event could result in significant debris flow. As noted previously, the recent August 2014 earthquake had a magnitude of 6.0, which resulted in no measurable soil liquefaction within the City of St. Helena.

• By limiting the type and scale of new development in hillside areas, or areas subject to liquefaction, the City can limit the potential for property damage and loss of life as a result of major seismic activity. In addition, complying with the Universal Building Code (UBC) and adopting other standards for building safety along with emergency response disaster planning will help minimize the impacts of seismic and geologic disasters.

Air Pollution and Hazardous Materials
• The greatest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the City of St. Helena is the Transportation sector, which comprises 59 percent of total emissions according to a 2018 inventory compiled for the General Plan update. The transportation sector is also the largest generator of air pollution emissions
in the County, with mobile sources – automobiles and trucks – providing the greatest level of emissions. A 2007 Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) GHG inventory indicated that approximately 56 percent of GHG emissions in Napa County resulted from mobile source emissions. Mobile emissions are a significant source of other pollutants, as well, including carbon monoxide and particulate matter.

• The development of a Climate Change Element for the St. Helena General Plan is an important step forward in contributing to the effort to improve regional and local air quality. Napa County’s Communitywide Climate Action Plan and the Climate Change Element provide a strategic policy framework to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and reduce air pollution derived from its multiple sources.

• CalEnviroScreen have shown that people living in agricultural areas of California have higher amounts of pesticides in their bodies than other people, which can result in adverse health outcomes. Based on data compiled by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), census tracts in St. Helena are in the top quartile in terms of pounds of pesticide per square mile in the state for the years 2012-2014. The Napa County Agricultural Commissioner is responsible for ensuring the safe use of pesticides in all settings in the county. The County runs a Pesticide Use Enforcement program to educate pesticide users of their legal responsibilities and conduct inspections and investigations to ensure the safety of workers, the public, and the environment. Compliance and enforcement activities are complemented with extensive training and outreach to help growers and employees ensure safe handling and use of pesticides.

Noise

• The primary source of noise in the community stems from motor vehicle traffic and commercial activity, particularly in areas near major roads, such as State Route 29. Mitigating traffic noise can be difficult, particularly the noise generated along State Route 29 throughout the day and night. However, the City can adopt noise standards to address more infrequent noise sources, such as those related to off-hours delivery, and commercial loading and unloading.

• While there are few industrial noise sources in St. Helena, passing trains and aircraft generate intrusive noise periodically in the community.
Agricultural operations generate noise on a seasonal basis; however, local right-to-farm policies limit the City’s power to regulate noise impacts. Encouraging the regular communication of planned agricultural activities to surrounding residents can help address potential conflicts relating to noise compatibility. In addition, adopting noise compatibility standards for sensitive land uses can help to minimize the impacts of intrusive noise sources.

Fire and Emergency Response

- St. Helena’s paid per call fire department, supported by two permanent staff members (hired in Summer 2018), provides fire protection services for wildland and structural fires within the city limits and in unincorporated areas of Napa County. Although service levels within the city are generally adequate, the heavily-wooded and frequently dry hillside areas could create significant challenges for firefighters.

- By limiting the type and scale of new development in hillside areas, and requiring sufficient road widths and turning radii to accommodate emergency response vehicles, the City can limit the potential for property damage and loss of life due to fires.

- Traffic congestion can adversely impact emergency response time in St. Helena, particularly when emergency vehicles must cross State Route 29. The City can work with emergency response providers to create solutions that address traffic-related emergency response challenges.
9.4 Goals
The goals of the Public Health, Safety and Noise Element are:

Maintain High Levels of Public Health and Safety.
St. Helena is committed to maintaining high standards of public health and safety through anticipation of and preparation for potential natural and human-caused hazards.

Effectively Coordinate Responses to Emergencies and Natural Disasters.
St. Helena is dedicated to protecting residents, businesses, and the environment through efficient local emergency response efforts, public education, and effective coordination with regional, State, and federal agencies.
9.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Air Quality;
2. Noise;
3. Seismic and Geologic Hazards;
4. Fire and Hazardous Materials;
5. Flood Hazards; and
6. Disaster Planning.

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
Policies

**PS1.1** Achieve and maintain clean, healthy air for the residents of St. Helena to preserve environmental quality and community health.

**PS1.2** Support regional efforts to achieve and maintain state ambient concentration standards to protect public health, reduce adverse industrial plant effects, and enhance the visual environment. In particular, provide local support for implementation of policies and measures set forth in the Napa County Congestion Management Program.

**PS1.3** Encourage effective regulation of those sources of air pollution, both inside and outside of St. Helena, which affect air quality, by implementing as many of the recommendations of the Napa County Congestion Management Plan as is feasible.

**PS1.4** Promote an optimized land use development pattern that minimizes cumulative air quality impacts from proposed developments.
Implementing Actions

PS1.A Minimize local adverse air quality impacts related to construction by requiring dust abatement procedures for local projects.

PS1.B Mandate the use of EPA-approved wood stoves or fireplace inserts, rather than fireplaces, as a means of reducing emissions into the air.

PS1.C Review project proposals for their potential to generate hazardous air pollutants.

PS1.D Develop guidelines for locating new sensitive uses, including residences, schools, and childcare facilities, away from air pollutant sources. The guidelines can include measures to mitigate air emissions from existing sources, as well as to design buildings to prevent exposure.

PS1.E Encourage and support regional efforts to use alternative modes of transportation.

PS1.F Consider a citywide network to help commuters arrange carpools, including online coordination capabilities and designated areas for parking and pick-up.

PS1.G Adopt the thresholds of significance contained in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District’s (BAAQMD) Guidelines for Assessing the Impacts of Projects and Plans for determining the significance of project impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act.

PS1.H Continue to implement an ordinance to restrict exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in new and existing multi-unit dwellings, public events, and outdoor areas, such as parks and playgrounds.
PS1.I Adopt a voluntary, employer-based transportation demand management (TDM) program for St. Helena businesses in compliance with the BAAQMD’s 2017 Clean Air Plan (CAP). Components of a TDM program should include measures to reduce the use of single-occupancy vehicles for work-related commuting, such as carpool/vanpool matching services and employer-sponsored transit passes.

PS1.J The potential for sources of odors that includes restaurants, auto body shops, or waste treatment facilities shall be considered when evaluating proposed residential projects and projects with sensitive recipients.
Policies

**PS2.1** Preserve the current low levels of noise in St. Helena to maintain the City’s rural atmosphere.

**PS2.2** Maintain a citywide environment that balances various City objectives while minimizing the impact of highway, railroad, and industrial noise. The City should manage both indoor and outdoor noise levels to protect health and safety. A combination of noise standards and existing noise levels should be used to determine impacts and mitigation measures.

**PS2.3** Minimize potential noise impact conflicts between land uses by regulating incompatible land uses. Encourage noise-generating uses to reduce their impacts while promoting land use patterns that avoid conflicts. Employ compatibility guidelines, interior noise level criteria, the City’s noise standards, and noise contour maps to determine the compatibility of land uses.

**PS2.4** Require a reduction and/or control of the use of machinery, mechanical systems and other noise-making equipment and sources in and near residential areas where the noise impacts would be considered intrusive to adjacent residential property, unless consistent with the right-to-farm.

**PS2.5** An increase in average noise levels of 5 dBA or greater is considered to be significant and to constitute a noise impact by the noise source in question for the purpose of environmental analyses.
Implementing Actions

PS2.A Consider the environmental impact of transportation-related noise and other noise sources in the review of any new projects and approval of subdivision plans and requests for changes in the zoning ordinance.

PS2.B Enforce the Land Use Compatibility Standards presented in the State of California’s General Plan guidelines when siting new uses. These standards identify the acceptability of a project based on levels of noise exposure.

PS2.C Adopt and enforce Title 24 Standards for all types of new residential construction, including single family dwellings, duplexes, apartments, and dormitories.

- An interior maximum noise level of LAdn-45 dBA in all habitable rooms for all dwelling units;
- A maximum allowable interior noise level for bedrooms of an hourly LAeq-35 dBA;
- A maximum noise level of LAdn-60 dBA for residential exterior activity areas;
- If interior noise standards are met by requiring windows to be closed, cooling and outside air exchange must also be provided in the building’s design.

PS2.D Require an acoustical study, prepared by a qualified acoustical consultant for:

- All proposed projects that are likely to be exposed to noise levels greater than the standards;
- All proposed projects that would generate noise where impacts on other uses would be greater than the standards;
- Any project exposed to outdoor noise at or above a day-night average sound level (Ldn) of 60 or for any noise source that could create such outdoor noise levels for adjacent uses; and
- Any project exposed to or that creates noise which may exceed the adopted City standards.

PS2.E Require new developments to implement noise mitigation measures when built in close proximity to noise sources, such as State Route 29 and the Noise can be mitigated by minimizing conflicts between land uses by regulating incompatible land uses.
railroad tracks. These developments should consider the exterior and interior noise environment.

**PS2.F** Require construction operations to use noise suppression devices and techniques and limit noisy construction activities that can be heard at the property line to the least noise-sensitive times, as per the City’s noise ordinance.

**PS2.G** Include appropriate noise attenuation techniques in the design of all new arterial streets. Such techniques could include the use of site planning, building orientation, buffer distances, quiet pavement surfaces, and the use of correctly-engineered acoustical barriers and berms where necessary. Adopt the noise standard for intrusive noise at residences given in Table 9.4.

**PS2.H** Amend the City’s noise ordinance to regulate intrusive noise sources, such as the use of machinery and equipment, landscaping and property maintenance devices, animals, and idling buses or trucks in or near uses sensitive to noise.

**PS2.I** Incorporate right-to-farm legal provisions relative to noise in all newly-created deeds where agricultural activities may pose noise impacts in the future. Require similar language in deeds for properties similarly impacted by the Harold Smith & Son gravel plant operations.
Policies

PS3.1 Minimize risk of injury, loss of life and property damage from seismically-induced and other known geologic hazards.

PS3.2 Restrict the intensity of development and the level of landform alteration in the hillside areas in order to minimize the potential for slope failure.

PS3.3 The required soils and geologic reports for new development shall include geotechnical analysis for construction in areas with potential geological hazards and/or for purposes of environmental analysis. The analysis shall investigate all potential geo-hazard issues for the site where there is substantial evidence of a potential risk.

PS3.4 Geologic reports for new development shall describe hazards and include mitigation measures to reduce risks to acceptable levels. Where appropriate, an engineer’s or geologist’s certification shall be required stating that risks have been mitigated to an acceptable level.
Implementing Actions

**PS3.A**  Require a soils and geologic report to be submitted for new construction prior to the issuance of grading and building permits and the submission of final maps.

**PS3.B**  Prohibit any development—including any land alteration, grading for roads, and structural development—in areas of slope instability or other geologic concerns until mitigating measures are taken to limit potential damage to levels of acceptable risk.

**PS3.C**  Require prompt re-vegetation of development areas on slopes prone to instability. Use native and drought-tolerant plant species for landscaping on slopes where excess watering might induce landslides and/or erosion.

**PS3.D**  The City shall rely upon the most current and comprehensive geological hazard mapping available in the evaluation of potential seismic hazards associated with proposed new development.

**PS3.E**  All development and construction proposals shall be reviewed by the City to ensure conformance to applicable building standards. Recommendations of the geotechnical analysis shall be implemented.
Policies

**PS4.1** Use the Zoning Code to define a transitional zone around industrial areas to protect the health and safety of residential neighborhoods.

**PS4.2** Limit development in areas of High and Very High wildfire hazard as defined and mapped by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Prevention (CalFire) in order to prevent the loss of lives, injuries, and property damage due to wildfires.

**PS4.3** Protect St. Helena residents from health and safety impacts related to the use, storage, manufacture, and transport of hazardous materials.

**PS4.4** Discourage new uses that rely extensively on the use of hazardous materials.

**PS4.5** Facilitate communication and education about fire safety, non-point source pollution, household hazardous waste disposal, and recycling opportunities.

**PS4.6** Ensure that all streets and roads are adequate in terms of width, turning radius, and grade in order to facilitate access by City firefighting apparatus, and to provide alternative emergency routes of ingress and egress.
Implementing Actions

**PS4.A**  Designate areas in St. Helena that are prone to fire hazards and make this information available to the community.

**PS4.B**  Continue to require implementation of best practices in fire resistance for all new and remodeled structures in fire-prone areas, including fire fuel removal, creation of defensible space for firefighters, construction with fire-resistant building materials, and installation of automatic sprinkler systems. Study the need to designate a Wildland-Urban Interface Fire Area within St. Helena to provide protection in additional areas.

**PS4.C**  Require all structures in high wildfire hazard areas to maintain a clearance of flammable vegetation away from structures and to use fire-resistant ground covers. The minimum clearance distance should be 30 feet.

**PS4.D**  Require all new development to meet the minimum fire flow rates specified by the City’s Fire Code.

**PS4.E**  Require all new development plans to be approved by the Fire Department prior to the issuance of building permits, grading permits, or final map approval.

**PS4.F**  Develop a program to inform and educate the community about potential risks, resources, and roles and responsibilities for addressing fire safety in St. Helena. Inform residents of homes adjacent to public lands of their responsibility to provide fire breaks adjacent to their homes.
4 FIRE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

PS4.G Review all new development proposals for their potential to introduce the production, use, storage, and/or transport of hazardous materials, and require reasonable controls on such materials.

PS4.H Develop a Hazardous Materials Response Plan that includes guidelines, protocols, and strategies to respond to a local hazardous materials spill.

PS4.I Continue to require compliance with federal, State, and local regulations for the safe production, transport, handling, use, and disposal of hazardous materials that may cause air, water, or soil contamination. Require buffers for operations which handle substantial amounts of hazardous materials. When siting new facilities or expanding existing facilities, require buffer zones between hazardous materials facilities and residential uses, parkland, trails, and open space facilities.

PS4.J Develop and launch a citywide education campaign to encourage the use of green products in order to reduce non-point source pollution. Target efforts towards the reduction of household chemical use and hazardous waste disposal.

PS4.K Require environmental assessments during the planning for development in areas previously used for agricultural, commercial, or industrial uses. Remediation of identified contamination that may result in health risks to construction workers and future owners and users shall be required prior to approval of construction, demolition, and grading permits for development.

PS4.L Work with the Napa County Agricultural Commissioner and other responsible agencies to identify and enforce mechanisms to control residual pesticides and pesticide runoff to prevent significant risk to water quality, vegetation, wildlife, and humans.

PS4.F Develop a program to inform and educate the community about potential risks, resources, and roles and responsibilities for addressing fire safety in St.
4 FIRE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Helena. Inform residents of homes adjacent to public lands of their responsibility to provide fire breaks adjacent to their homes.

**PS4.G** Review all new development proposals for their potential to introduce the production, use, storage and/or transport of hazardous materials, and require reasonable controls on such materials.

**PS4.H** Develop a Hazardous Materials Response Plan that includes guidelines, protocols, and strategies to respond to a local hazardous materials spill.

**PS4.I** Strengthen regulations for the safe production, transport, handling, use and disposal of hazardous materials that may cause air, water, or soil contamination. Require buffers for operations which handle substantial amounts of hazardous materials. When siting new facilities or expanding existing facilities, require buffer zones between hazardous materials facilities and residential uses, parkland, trails, and open space facilities.

**PS4.J** Develop and launch a citywide education campaign to encourage the use of green products in order to reduce non-point source pollution. Target efforts towards the reduction of household chemical use and hazardous waste disposal.
Policies

**PS5.1** Minimize the risk to people, property and the environment caused by flooding hazards. Site new development to minimize potential damage from a 100-year flood. Continue to require that any new development is constructed FEMA standards. Prohibit the siting of uses within Flood Hazard Areas inconsistent with FEMA standards that could result in health and safety hazards, including those due to the release of chemicals or other substances as a result of inundation or erosion. Ensure that any new flood protection projects comply with federal and State standards.

**PS5.2** Ensure that new development or existing lots of record within the 100-year floodplain are properly graded, sited, and constructed to mitigate flood effects and do not cause increases or expansion of the flood area that increase flood risk.

**PS5.3** Within the 100-year floodplain, encourage open space uses, such as parks or natural areas.

**PS5.4** Ensure that construction of flood barriers does not adversely affect natural floodplains, stream channels and natural barriers that help accommodate or channel flood waters.

**PS5.5** Prohibit new development within areas designated as Floodway in the current FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS) and Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). *(Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element, Topic Area 3)*

**PS5.6** Coordinate with the Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and other responsible agencies to maintain protocols and funding for the regular inspection and maintenance of flood control facilities in the city, including levees, floodwalls, floodplain terracing, stormwater detention ponds, and pumping facilities.
5 FLOOD HAZARDS

**PS5.7** Require that development within Special Flood Hazard Areas prepare and implement infrastructure and drainage plans compliant with State and federal requirements in order to minimize risk in these areas.

**PS5.8** Locate new essential public facilities outside of Special Flood Hazard Areas, including hospitals and health care facilities, emergency shelters, fire stations, emergency command centers, and emergency communications facilities. *(Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element, Topic Area 3)*

### Implementing Actions

**PS5.A** Coordinate with the Napa County Flood Control District to ensure that stream channels are routinely cleared of vegetation and debris which could impede stormwater flows, while protecting riparian habitat.

**PS5.B** Require developers with land adjacent to the Napa River to construct or contribute a fair share toward the construction of necessary flood control improvements.

**PS5.C** Strengthen and enforce regulations that prohibit the dumping of litter, fill, and waste materials into creeks and waterways. Educate the public about flooding and health hazards associated with these activities.

**PS5.D** Require that sewer and water lines in areas subject to flooding are sited to avoid contamination and flooding when pipelines break.

**PS5.E** Prohibit the introduction of urban development in FEMA-designated Floodway zones.
PS5.F  Review Municipal Code Chapter 15.52, Flood Damage Prevention, to ensure that regulations reflect best practices. Periodically update the City’s flood hazard regulations in accordance with FEMA/NFIP regulations.

PS5.G  Implement the requirements of FEMA relating to construction in Special Flood Hazards Areas as illustrated on Flood Insurance Rate Maps.

PS5.H  Implement low impact development practices for new development and redevelopment projects to reduce stormwater peak flow rates and volumes from smaller, more frequently occurring storm events.
6 DISASTER PLANNING

Policies

PS6.1  Ensure that City emergency procedures are adequate in the event of potential natural or man-made disasters.

Implementing Actions


PS6.B  Conduct periodic emergency response exercises to test the effectiveness of City emergency response procedures.

PS6.C  Continue to collaborate with regional agencies and neighboring jurisdictions to develop and implement a regional emergency coordination plan and agreement for police, fire, and emergency medical services.
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chapter ten
climate change
10.1 Purpose of the Element

The Climate Change Element presents a framework to help the City respond to and plan for climate change. It aims to effectively address energy and water conservation, renewable energy production, transportation, sustainable business development, and the responsible evolution of the City to reduce climate change impacts in St. Helena. The policies and actions included in this element seek to chart a course that meets St. Helena’s specific needs, aligning with the Napa Countywide Community Climate Action Plan Framework, which St. Helena participated in developing. The Climate Change Element includes the following sections:

The Climate Change Element:

- 10.2 Combating Climate Change in St. Helena. Describes key climate change issues in St. Helena (p. 10-3).
- 10.3 Key Findings. Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 10-8).
- 10.4 Goals. Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 10-11).
10.5 Policies and Implementing Actions. Identifies policies and implementing actions to accomplish St. Helena’s climate change objectives (p. 10-13).

10.2 Combating Climate Change in St. Helena

Climate change refers to a change in the average global climate that may be measured by wind patterns, storms, precipitation, and temperature. The term climate change is often used interchangeably with the term global warming. Global warming refers to an average increase in the temperature of the atmosphere near the Earth’s surface, which can contribute to changes in global climate patterns. However, rising temperatures are just one aspect of climate change.

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) are gases that trap heat in the atmosphere and regulate the earth’s temperature. This effect, known as the Greenhouse Effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate. GHGs are released into the earth’s atmosphere through a variety of natural processes and human activities. The current period of global warming is widely attributed to a buildup of GHG emissions from human-made sources that have proliferated and accelerated since the age of industrialization. Many scientists project that global temperatures will likely continue to increase in the future, raising broad concern about the effects of temperature change on the Earth’s climate. Potential risks include rising sea levels, changing precipitation patterns, expanding desertification, and increasing GHG emissions.

Although debate continues about how the United States should engage in the global climate change discussion, many state and local governments have adopted policies to address climate change concerns. By adopting this Climate Change Element, St. Helena can take steps to forward the global climate change agenda at the local level. Moreover, as climactic conditions shift in the coming decades – potentially requiring policy responses unknown today – St. Helena will be in a position to adapt to and accommodate new federal, State, and regional planning requirements as they arise.
St. Helena joined the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) in 2008. In coordination with ICLEI and the Climate Protection Campaign, the City developed the 2009 City of St. Helena Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Action Plan Analysis Final Report. In 2012, the City completed a GHG emissions inventory of City-controlled operations and activities. Available data indicate that in 2010, the City of St. Helena municipal operations emitted 3,506 metric tons of equivalent carbon dioxide (CO2e). Municipal GHG emissions varied by end-use sector, with water and wastewater operations and employee commutes generating the largest proportions of total emissions. The remainder of the City’s GHG emissions included City buildings, vehicle fleet, streetlights, water transport facilities, and government-generated solid waste disposal.

**Figure 10.1 Government Operations Emissions by Sector, 2010**

In addition to the 2005 and 2010 municipal inventories, ICLEI developed 2005 and 2010 community GHG inventories for the City of St. Helena. A community inventory accounts for emissions from all emissions sources, not just from those sources under direct municipal control. According to ICLEI’s modeling, St. Helena produced 43,831 metric tons of CO2e in 2005 and 44,008 metric tons of CO2e in 2010. In 2005, the largest sources of emissions were the...
commercial/industrial sector (38 percent), residential (25 percent), and on-road transportation (22 percent). In 2010, commercial/industrial emissions remained the largest contributor of GHGs in St. Helena (35 percent), followed by on-road transportation (29 percent), and residential (25 percent) (ICLEI, 2012). Emissions were reduced in all sectors except the transportation sector, which increased 36 percent.

For the General Plan Update, an updated community GHG emissions inventory was compiled for the City of St. Helena using best available data and modeled for a baseline year of 2018. The inventory found that St. Helena’s baseline emissions totaled 76,012 MTCO2e. As shown in Table 10.1: 2018 GHG Emissions Summary by Sector (MTCO2e), the transportation sector was responsible for the largest source of emissions, generating 44,531 MTCO2e (59 percent). Electricity and natural gas use from the commercial/industrial sector was the second largest source of emissions, generating approximately 12,773 MTCO2e (17 percent). Electricity and natural gas consumption within the residential sector was the third largest source of emissions, generating 9,890 MTCO2e (13 percent).

In 2012, the City adopted a GHG reduction target of 20 percent below 2005 levels by the year 2020. This target is consistent with the State’s goal to reduce California emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020. In recent years, the State has also set a reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 10.1: 2018 GHG Emissions Summary by Sector (MTCO2e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sector</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Transport, Distribution, and Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Road Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Dyett & Bhatia, 2017.*
CONCEPTS, TRENDS, AND IDEAS

Planning Regionally as a Solution to Climate Change

The single-largest source of greenhouse gases in California is emissions from passenger vehicles. This means that in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and reduce our contribution to climate change, California must revisit existing policies and the way communities are designed in order to reduce the number of vehicle miles traveled by the State’s residents, therefore reducing vehicle emissions.

In 2006, the Governor signed The Global Warming Solutions Act into law to do just that. Often referred to as Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the Global Warming Solutions Act requires the State of California to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) to 1990 levels no later than 2020. In recent years, the State has also set a reduction goal of reducing GHG emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050 (Executive Order S-3-05) and an interim target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 (Executive Order B-30-15). Additionally, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Scoping Plan, adopted in 2017, establishes per capita GHG emissions targets of 4.0 metric tons of CO2e per capita by 2030 and 2.0 metric tons of CO2e per capita by 2050. These per capita targets are consistent with statewide reduction goals.

SB 375 takes AB 32 to the next step by requiring California’s regional land use and transportation authorities to work with local agencies to achieve more compact growth patterns, thereby reducing the quantity of greenhouse gases emitted by passenger vehicles.

Although many Californians can picture the long-term dire consequences of climate change, there are also many near-term dangers to the state’s wetlands as changing weather patterns affect wildlife habitats and life cycles.
SB 375 has five key features:

1. It mandates that regional planning agencies establish regional targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions tied to land use, and therefore driving patterns.

2. It requires that regional planning agencies create a plan to meet those targets.

3. SB 375 requires that regional transportation funding decisions be consistent with this new plan.

4. It integrates regional transportation planning and housing allocation efforts for the first time.

5. It establishes new California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exemptions and streamlining for development projects that conform to the new regional plans.

The Sustainable Communities Strategy

SB 375 requires the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to establish GHG emission reduction targets related to transportation for each Metropolitan Transportation Organization (MTC) region by September 30, 2010. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the designated MPO for Napa County and the greater Bay Area region.

Under SB 375, each MPO must then add a new element to its long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) called a “Sustainable Communities Strategy,” or SCS. The SCS seeks to achieve the targeted reductions in greenhouse gas emissions if there is a feasible way to do so, planning for compact growth and matching transportation improvements.
goal of reducing GHG emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050 (Executive Order S-3-05) and an interim target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 (Executive Order B-30-15). Additionally, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Scoping Plan, adopted in 2017, establishes per capita GHG emissions targets of 4.0 metric tons of CO2e per capita by 2030 and 2.0 metric tons of CO2e per capita by 2050. These per capita targets are consistent with statewide reduction goals.

Through Assembly Bill 32 and other legislation, the State is implementing measures that will reduce emissions by improving fuel efficiency in vehicles, reducing the carbon intensity of transportation fuels, increasing the use of renewable power, and other actions. However, local action is also needed to complement actions at the State level and ensure St. Helena meets its reduction target.

Targeting climate change policies to reduce vehicle miles traveled on local roads is essential to achieving the City’s long-term GHG reduction goals. Moreover, strengthening policies to improve commercial and residential building efficiency, conserve energy, and eliminate organic waste from landfills can reduce community emissions. Within government operations, improving building efficiency, utilizing renewable energy, and replacing vehicles with more fuel-efficient models can significantly reduce GHG emissions.

In 2009, St. Helena joined with other Napa County jurisdictions to prepare the Napa Countywide Community Climate Action Framework. The Framework, adopted by the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) in 2010, provides a consensus-based context for further planning efforts by the individual cities and towns. It outlines a suite of actions that, when translated into locally specific programs and projects countywide, will help meet climate protection targets. Many of the implementing actions contained in this Climate Change Element are based on the actions identified in the Framework.
10.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to climate change. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

- St. Helena is currently implementing a program to reduce GHG emissions from City facilities based on findings in the City of St. Helena Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Action Plan Analysis (Final Report April 22, 2009).

- The City of St. Helena municipal operations emitted 3,506 metric tons of CO2e during the year 2010. Water and wastewater operations and employee commutes generated the largest proportions of total emissions 74 percent and 9 percent, respectively). Water transport facilities accounted for 6 percent of emissions, followed by City buildings (5 percent street lights (1 percent) and government-generated waste (less than 1 percent). By implementing measures for future action relating to building and equipment energy efficiency, fuel efficiency, alternative fuel options, and alternative energy generation, the City can make strides to meet its GHG reduction goals and be a model for other businesses and institutions seeking to reduce long-term emissions levels.
• The City participated in the development of the Napa Countywide Community Climate Action Framework, which contains 53 consensus-based actions intended to guide the participating jurisdictions in meeting GHG reduction goals. The collaborative process was managed by the Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency. A 2006 Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) report indicated that approximately 55 percent of GHG emissions in Napa County resulted from mobile source emissions. Effective land use planning that reduces vehicle miles traveled and promotes alternate modes of transportation is essential to mitigating climate change. By focusing on improving bicycle and pedestrian connections across the City and reducing commute and truck trips, St. Helena can address auto-related emissions levels at both the local and regional levels.

• St. Helena’s estimated 2018 baseline community-wide GHG emissions totaled 76,012 metric tons CO2e, and emissions were 13.0 MTCO2e per capita for the residential population and 6.7 MTCO2e per service population. Transportation emissions make up a significant portion of St. Helena’s emissions (59 percent), with commercial/industrial energy use in second place (17 percent), and residential energy use in third (13 percent). Commercial/industrial and residential emissions have decreased since 2010. Transportation emissions appear to have significantly increased since 2010, however, this is due to a change in the methodology in accounting for VMT within the GHG inventory to be consistent with best practices and protocols.

• Over half of community-wide emissions in St. Helena result from on-road vehicles. Effective land use planning that reduces VMT and promotes alternate modes of transportation is essential to mitigating climate change. By focusing on improving bicycle and pedestrian connections across the city and reducing commute and truck trips, St. Helena can address auto-related emissions levels at both the local and regional levels.

• Like other communities throughout California, St. Helena is vulnerable to water shortages in the event of prolonged period of drought that could be exacerbated by climate change. Fully integrating water resource conservation policies and implementation measures into the City’s Climate Change Element is essential to ensuring that St. Helena will have adequate water supplies to meet its future needs.
• A significant portion of St. Helena’s economy relies on industry sectors that may be adversely affected by climate change, most importantly agriculture and tourism. By considering the water supply in future climate change scenarios and supporting measures to recycle and reuse water as much as possible in the near future, the City can begin to strengthen the viability of its industries, markets, and employment into the future.

10.4 Goals
The goals of the Climate Change Element are:

**Increase Citywide Transportation-Related Energy Efficiency.**
The City seeks to reduce demand for fossil fuels by decreasing VMT, improving transit options and the fuel efficiency of vehicles, supporting the use of renewable energy-powered vehicles, and creating a bicycle and pedestrian-friendly environment.
Reduce Energy Demand Through Improved Building and Design.
St. Helena is dedicated to reducing energy demand by promoting development and construction that improve resource conservation and efficiency, and to improving the energy supply by switching from fossil fuels to renewables.

Reduce Consumption and Divert Waste.
The City is committed to achieving waste diversion of 75 percent to 90 percent by year 2020.

Ensure the Sustainable Management of Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Urban Forests.
St. Helena is dedicated to protecting and increasing the amount of vegetation and biomass in local soils, reducing emissions from agricultural sources, encouraging responsible and sustainable agricultural and landscaping practices, and significantly reducing water use to protect local water resources.

Increase Community Engagement and Advocacy.
The City seeks to increase participation in GHG reduction efforts through marketing programs and community outreach. In addition, they are committed to engaging and advocating for collaborative policy and legislative solutions at the local, regional, state, federal, and global levels.

Improve the Efficiency of Local Government Operations.
St. Helena is dedicated to reducing fossil fuel consumption by local government operations, improving the energy efficiency and reduction of carbon emissions of City and County facilities and operations, and reducing solid waste attributable to City and County operations and facilities.
10.5 Policies and Implementing Actions
A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Transportation and Mobility;
2. Buildings and Energy;
3. Consumption and Solid Waste;
4. Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Urban Forests;
5. Community Engagement; and

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused. They reflect St. Helena’s commitment to coordinating its climate change measures with countywide efforts to address climate change.
Policies

**CC1.1** Promote a “walkable” and “bikeable” city.

**CC1.2** Support transportation planning efforts to optimize fuel efficiency and reduce vehicle miles travelled on local roads.

**CC1.3** Seek initiatives that provide efficient modes of transportation for visitors and residents.

**TOP** California’s rail system provides regional transit connections throughout the state.

**BOTTOM** Innovative solutions for parking lots can create self-sustaining spaces with less impact on the natural environment.
Implementing Actions

CC1.A  Adopt and implement pedestrian and bicycle networks within St. Helena that may connect to a countywide multi-use trail that extends from Calistoga to American Canyon.

CC1.B  If feasible maintain and enhance existing express bus, local bus, and para-transit services. Provide shuttle service between the three upvalley towns. Support the establishment of a northbound express bus during peak commute hours. Ensure that these services provide opportunities to connect with existing and proposed countywide service improvements, including the transit center in Downtown Napa.

CC1.C  Expand Park and Ride areas and other support facilities to encourage public transportation use and car and van pooling.

CC1.D  Evaluate truck and freight rail routes through the city. Based on these findings, develop policies and strategies to improve circulation and neighborhood compatibility issues.

CC1.E  Adopt and implement transportation plans in accordance with the Napa Valley Transportation Authority’s (NVTA) Strategic Transportation Plan to increase transit service and ridership in St. Helena and connections with County transit services.

CC1.F  Establish programs to reduce vehicle miles traveled by supporting local hiring, food production, farmers’ markets, and community-based “buy local” campaigns. For General Plan purposes, “local” includes St. Helena and its residents, as well as the residents and areas of the surrounding towns and unincorporated County that have traditionally been served by St. Helena’s commercial and retail services.
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1 TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY

CC1.G Evaluate parking standards to help reduce vehicle miles traveled and reduce vehicle idling.

CC1.H Increase walkability and bikeability to encourage a reduction in local auto trips. Strengthen outreach to increase awareness of pedestrian and bicycle amenities throughout the city.

CC1.I Require discretionary development projects to assess and mitigate the impacts of vehicle miles traveled using transportation demand management programs, including providing transit amenities.

CC1.J Initiate programs that encourage car-free tourism through incentives, outreach, awareness, and creating a bicycle and pedestrian-friendly environment.

CC1.K Adopt and implement programs to assist businesses and organizations switch from fossil fuel-powered fleet vehicles to vehicles powered by clean, renewable energy sources.

CC1.L Develop parks and open spaces in support of efforts to create walkable, bikeable mixed-use neighborhoods, especially to complement higher-density development and connect lower-density areas.

CC1.M Design and operate the public street system to optimize fuel efficiency. Consider fuel efficiency in the design of street extensions, connections, and right-of-way controls at intersections, and monitor and adjust traffic signals.
Policies

CC2.1 Encourage measures to reduce energy demand through conservation and efficiency.

CC2.2 Support local efforts to improve the energy supply by switching from fossil fuels to renewables.

Implementing Actions

CC2.A Partner with the County of Napa to implement an AB811 program that makes funding available to residential and commercial property owners seeking to improve their properties to conserve energy and water, and to generate solar energy.

CC2.B Pursue state and federal funding programs designed to reduce energy demand through conservation and efficiency.

CC2.C Implement Title 24 energy conservation standards for new buildings, and other State building code standards for high performance “green” buildings. Utilize established green building standards, such as Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) and Build it Green.

CC2.D Continue to reduce energy use by promoting domestic water conservation and requiring water-efficient landscape improvements associated with new construction.

CC2.E Reduce greenhouse gas emissions from buildings and energy use. Require or request that new development projects analyze greenhouse gas emissions due to energy use and incorporate energy and safe yield water conservation measures into projects.
CC2.F In support of countywide energy generation efforts, increase local renewable energy generation. Adopt production standards for the City based on quantifiable measures that increase per capita generation levels.

CC2.G Remove regulatory impediments and economic disincentives associated with the generation and use of energy from renewable sources, such as wind, geothermal, and solar energy.

CC2.H Establish programs that encourage owners to retrofit existing structures to incorporate energy-efficient and “green” building standards.

(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area: 1)
Policies

**CC3.1** Enhance recycling, composting, and source reduction services for residential and commercial uses to support Napa County’s countywide waste reduction goal to achieve overall waste diversion of 75 percent to 90 percent by 2020.

*(Also see the Public Facilities and Services Element, Topic Area: 4)*

Implementing Actions

**CC3.A** Establish programs and create incentives to achieve a 75 to 90 percent citywide construction and demolition debris waste diversion level in support of Statewide goals.

**CC3.B** Establish programs and create incentives to achieve a 75 percent organic (food and green) waste diversion level in support of statewide goals.

**CC3.C** Establish citywide collection services for segregated food waste from commercial sources.

**CC3.D** Encourage home composting of organic waste.

**CC3.E** Create and support other programs, such as the Napa County Green Business Program and the green restaurant program, that help achieve the 75 to 90 percent overall waste diversion goal.

**CC3.F** Adopt environmentally-preferable purchasing measures and explore joint-purchasing agreements with partner agencies and businesses.
Policies

CC4.1 Support efforts to protect and increase the amount of vegetation and biomass in soil and reduce emissions from agricultural sources.

CC4.2 Encourage responsible and sustainable agricultural and landscaping practices.

CC4.3 Strengthen water conservation measures that result in significant reductions in local water use and the protection of local water resources.

(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area: 1)

CC4.4 Support efforts to expand and improve the City’s managed urban forest in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve overall air quality.

(Also see the Open Space and Conservation Element for additional policies and implementing actions relating to urban forests.)

CC4.5 Promote community gardens to reduce emissions generated in food transportation.

Implementing Actions

CC4.A Establish programs to support and encourage local agriculture, food production, and school and community gardens.

CC4.B Promote edible landscaping where possible.

CC4.C Establish programs and plans that create and enhance urban forests and greenways.
**CC4.D** Assess the impact of land use changes, new vineyards, and urban development on carbon sequestration.

**CC4.E** Support efforts by local growers and restaurants to produce and use locally-grown food and remove associated regulatory hurdles.

**CC4.F** Revise ordinances to further protect habitat and mitigate the conversion of oak woodlands, natural resources, riparian habitat, and other important natural communities by permanently protecting similar habitats.

**CC4.G** Support and promote the Napa Green Certified Winery Program and the Napa Green Certified Land Program.

**CC4.H** Adopt landscape ordinances that promote drought resistant plants, and limit or restrict lawns and other high-water-demand plants unless irrigated with reclaimed or grey water systems.

**CC4.I** Develop and adopt energy-saving and environmentally-sound domestic water conservation plans.

**CC4.J** Establish an urban forestry program to ensure a coordinated and comprehensive approach to maintaining and increasing the City’s trees especially native varietals when possible.

*Top* Local gardens help reduce the footprint of fruits and vegetables.

*Bottom* A boatload of trash illustrates how much waste enters natural ecosystems.
Policies

CC5.1 Support local efforts to market programs and conduct community outreach through schools, non-profit groups, community organizations, and the business community to increase participation in greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction efforts.

CC5.2 Engage and advocate for collaborative programs, policies, and legislative solutions at the regional, state, federal, and global levels to reduce global GHG emissions.

CC5.3 Expand local awareness about gardening, composting, and agriculture.

Implementing Actions

CC5.A Partner with the St. Helena Unified School District, private schools, community-based non-profit organizations, and others to undertake public outreach and education efforts that broaden community involvement in reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

CC5.B Market and encourage participation in incentive programs that improve energy efficiency.

CC5.C Foster and build public-private partnerships that help achieve greater energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

CC5.D Promote programs designed to advance sustainable business practices in St. Helena. Use the Napa County Green Business Program as a model for a City-based effort.

CC5.E Participate in and help develop effective regional, state, and federal solutions to reduce emissions.
CC5.F  Engage and assist local agencies and utility companies toward achieving greenhouse gas reduction targets.

CC5.G  Enable long-term solutions by investing in and supporting science and engineering education.

CC5.H  Support the United States’ participation in international greenhouse gas reduction efforts.

CC5.I  Establish an outreach program to raise public awareness about gardening, composting, and agriculture. Utilize public access television, the City’s website, public notices, and workshops to engage a broad and diverse range of residents.

Farmers markets and community gardens provide residents with direct connections to the food supply.
Policies

CC6.1 Ensure that the City leads by example in managing its local government operations while implementing the following policy directions:

- Encourage the reduction of fossil fuel consumption by local government operations.
- Improve energy efficiency, implement alternative and renewable energy solutions, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in City and county facilities and operations.
- Reducing solid waste from City and County operations and facilities.

Implementing Actions

CC6.A Transition City fleets to vehicles powered by clean, renewable energy sources.

CC6.B Install electric vehicle charging stations with funding from state and federal sources.

CC6.C Encourage alternatives to agency employees’ use of single-occupancy vehicles by providing bicycle racks, preferential parking permits for carpool and vanpool, commuter information, and other incentives.

CC6.D Conduct audits and regularly monitor the effectiveness of City and County energy efficiency implementation measures and adapt them to meet targets.

CC6.E Convert street lighting, water pumping, water treatment, and other energy-intensive operations to more efficient technologies.
CC6.F Ensure that new municipal or substantially-renovated municipal facilities incorporate cost-effective strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, conserving energy and water, and utilizing sustainable construction practices.

CC6.G Consider carbon emissions from the production, transportation, use, and disposal of goods as a criterion for City purchasing decisions.

CC6.H Establish a comprehensive, user-friendly recycling program that involves all City departments and facilities. Recover 70 to 85 percent of all waste generated in City operations.

CC6.I Install renewable energy systems at City facilities.

CC6.J Review and update the implementation actions recommended by the City’s Climate Protection Task Force (CPTF) on an annual basis.
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The 2015 - 2023 Housing Element is a separate stand alone document, which consists of:

- Housing Element Update 2015-2023: Housing Needs Assessment

A copy is available on the City’s website.
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chapter twelve

parks and recreation
A comprehensive network of parks and open spaces brings many benefits to the St. Helena community.

12.1 Purpose of the Element

The Parks and Recreation Element presents a framework for developing and maintaining a comprehensive system of quality parks, pedestrian and bicycle trails, recreational facilities and programs. It aims to effectively guide the City’s parks and recreation programming and to support community members’ health, entertainment and high quality of life. Key to these efforts is increasing the overall acreage of useable, publicly accessible park space in St. Helena, and creating and maintaining a network of bicycle and pedestrian trails that establishes connections from residential neighborhoods to parks, schools, and goods and services.

The Parks and Recreation Element includes the following sections.

- **12.2 Parks and Recreation Framework for St. Helena.** Summarizes key issues related to parks and recreation in St. Helena (p. 12-3).
- **12.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis and extensive community outreach (p. 12-12).
- **12.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and implementing actions (p. 12-14).
• **12.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to expand and maintain a comprehensive parks and recreation system (p. 12-15).

To supplement the policies and implementing actions included here, additional policies and actions associated with the provision of trails, the urban/agricultural interface, and the maintenance of open space for recreation can be found in the Open Space and Conservation Element.

**12.2 Parks and Recreation Framework for St. Helena**

The St. Helena community has expressed the desire for a comprehensive and integrated system of parks and recreational facilities to meet residents’ diverse recreational needs. The system can include parks, open spaces, and community facilities linked together along natural creek corridors and pedestrian-friendly streets. In addition, these parks and recreational resources can develop concurrently with pedestrian and bicycle improvements that link neighborhoods and connect the community to key destinations throughout the city. Figure 12.1 is a map that illustrates the array of parks and recreation facilities that currently exist in St. Helena. Together, these assets present opportunities to build the desired network of parks, open spaces, and recreational amenities for current and future residents.
A comprehensive parks and recreation system includes parks, open spaces, and community facilities linked together.

**NETWORK OF PARKS AND RECREATION AMENITIES**

For St. Helena, a comprehensive parks and recreation system includes parks of various sizes, community gardens, community orchards, community and recreational facilities, accessible open space, a variety of natural features, and connectors such as paths, trails, and green streets. By enhancing these amenities and the connections that link all the components together, St. Helena can create a valuable resource system that helps to fulfill the desired characteristics that residents have identified for their community. These include equitable access to open space and community facilities, a strong connection to agriculture, environmental sustainability, and community livability.
PARK CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

This General Plan establishes a parks classification system for St. Helena composed of three general park types: parklets/mini parks, neighborhood parks, and community parks (see Table 12.1).

- **Mini Parks / Parklets** – small, limited use sites generally less than 1 acre in size. They vary from small greens with open grass areas, children’s playgrounds, or small picnic spaces, to small squares and plazas.

- **Neighborhood Parks** – mid-sized parks intended to serve nearby residents living within a ½-mile radius. They are primarily designed for informal, unsupervised recreational activities and typically feature facilities such as children’s playgrounds, picnic areas, pathways, open grass areas for passive use, outdoor basketball courts, and non-lighted, multi-use ball fields. Neighborhood parks generally range from 4 to 10 acres in size.

- **Community Parks** – larger facilities intended to serve residents living within a 1- to 2-mile radius. They are generally more than 10 acres in size and designed with a sports field or similar facility that provides active and structured recreation opportunities as the central focus, although they can also provide opportunities for passive recreation. Community parks attract people from a wider geographic area than neighborhood or mini parks and require ancillary facilities such as parking, lighted sports fields, and restrooms. A community park can also function as a neighborhood park for nearby residential areas.

While the General Plan provides over-arching guidance for parks and recreational facilities in the community, a comprehensive parks master planning process will provide an opportunity to further delineate St. Helena’s park types and performance standards, identify long-term goals for the community, describe current and future needs and community preferences, and prioritize parks and recreational facilities improvement needs.
TABLE 12.1: St. Helena Parks by Park Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Site Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>Baldwin Park</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>Mowed grass; picnic tables; handicapped accessible from Voorhees Circle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis Station</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>&quot;Pocket Park&quot; with picnic tables, benches, and restroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lyman Park</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>Picnic tables; grassy areas; children's play area; gazebo for events; one restroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Fryer Park</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>Picnic tables; play equipment designed for pre-school-aged children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mennen Park</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Nut and fruit trees, barbeque amenities, walking paths, grassy areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Helena Skate Park</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>Skatepark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stephen C. McCullagh</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Sandbox, climbing rock and rope structures, benches, play area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stonebridge Park</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Located on the Napa River; grassy areas with limited parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood</td>
<td>Jacob Meily Park</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>Play field; heritage orchard, picnic area, children’s playground, and restroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wappo Park</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>Trail and picnic facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Crane Park</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>Six lighted tennis courts; six lighted bocce courts; two Little League baseball fields;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>horseshoe pits; children’s playground; two restrooms; picnic areas; Farmer’s Market;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and skate park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: City of St. Helena, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018.
Figure 12.1

Parks and Recreation Facilities

Data Source: City of St. Helena, 2018; Napa County, 2018; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018
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CONCEPTS, TRENDS AND IDEAS

The Many Benefits of Parks and Recreation

In recent decades, cities and counties have paid greater attention to the many benefits of parks. Cities have adapted their marketing strategies to focus less on the defining physical features of parks and more on how parks benefit the entire community. The Benefits Movement was introduced by the National Recreation and Park Association in the mid-1990s; this movement has been defined as “an ongoing process by leisure service providers to identify desirable individual, economic, community and environmental benefits derived from recreational experiences, including the assignment of resources to address and promote these benefits and their outcomes.”

Personal and Community Benefits
Evidence indicates that people tend to exercise more when they have access to parks and open spaces, thereby increasing health and reducing the risk of many physical, life-threatening conditions. Studies also show that providing access to parks and the outdoors creates benefits for psychological health. Physical activity is commonly known to relieve symptoms of depression and anxiety.

Parks provide spaces for outdoor play for children and in turn support their physical, behavioral and cognitive development. By providing engaging recreational opportunities for St. Helena’s children and youth, parks and recreation systems can promote healthy and active play.
Economic Benefits
A healthy parks and recreation system is very important to local economic development. The availability of accessible open space is an issue that is central to quality of life, as access to open space is an important factor that people consider when choosing where to live or establish their business. Recreational amenities, including parks and green spaces, can help increase the value of nearby properties. Therefore, parks bring economic benefits to individual property owners and businesses and strengthen the local tax base, generating more revenue for public services and facilities, including new parks and open spaces.

Environmental Benefits
Open space and park lands can provide multiple environmental benefits for local communities. Parks help preserve ecologically and historically significant natural resources, in part through the preservation of wildlife habitat. Parks can also help to protect significant historic features of the natural landscape and play an essential role in protecting water and air quality. For example, green spaces help filter and reduce storm water run-off from more developed areas. Parks with healthy tree canopies help capture carbon dioxide and other polluting gases. By working to build an interconnected network of green corridors and open spaces, parks planners can help repair and restore ecosystems rather than detract from them.

Top: The St. Helena’s Farmers Market attracts residents and visitors in support of local and regional agriculture and commerce.

Bottom: Parks designed to showcase natural amenities can bring life to a neighborhood and provide important environmental benefits.
RECREATION FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS

The City of St. Helena offers an array of recreational programs and services to residents. Programs include youth sports and summer enrichment programs, a middle school teen program, and recreational opportunities for adults and seniors. Local community centers, schools, parks, and recreation facilities, shown on Figure 12.2, host many of these programs. Key recreational facilities include a newly-constructed skate park, teen center, bocce courts, athletic fields, a dog park, a community garden, a public vineyard, and a community swimming pool.

As the city evolves, it will be important to ensure that these programs and services continue to respond to the changing demographics, interests, and needs of residents. By conducting regular citywide needs assessments in conjunction with the creation of a parks and recreation master plan, the City can update, revise, and develop new programs to accommodate the evolving needs of the community.

In addition, conducting regular needs assessments will provide opportunities to evaluate the location of parks and recreation facilities in the city to ensure that residents have safe, walkable, and equitable access to key recreational facilities, and that these facilities continue to provide a high level of service.
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Figure 12.2
Educational Institutions and Public Facilities
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12.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to parks and recreation. The following key findings are based upon comprehensive existing conditions analysis and community input.

- Since the 1993 General Plan Update, the City has developed a number of new parks: Meily Park, centrally located on Pope Street on the east side of State Route 29; the Constantini property was added to Crane Park, creating the space for additional parking and improved vehicular access; and Wappo Park, which includes a dog park, was completed in 2012.

- With approximately 27 acres of parkland and a population of 5,900 in 2018, St. Helena’s current ratio is 4.7 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents; however, the General Plan identifies additional acreage for future parks to meet the target of 5.0 acres per 1,000 established in this Plan. Notably, the Lower Reservoir, owned by the City, and open space areas along Sulphur Creek present opportunities to add significant acreage to the park system, and help achieve local and national park standards.

- State legislation known as the “Quimby Act” allows cities to set a standard of up to 5 acres of parkland per 1,000 population for purposes of formulating a park impact fee for new development. By requiring new development to dedicate parkland pursuant to the Quimby Act, the City can help ensure...
that adequate parkland is available to meet the needs of the community as development is approved.

- Community members have strongly voiced the need for additional active recreation facilities to meet community needs, advocating in particular for increased and improved soccer facilities. Working with local schools and other groups in the community to identify new park sites where soccer facilities may be located to address the need.

- St. Helena offers a variety of recreational programs; including adult and youth sports leagues and camps, bocce, belly dancing, dog obedience, and summer programs for students at a range of grade levels. Recent efforts to raise funds for a skate park were successful and the park was opened in the fall of 2009. Expanding offerings to include programs in the creative and performing arts for residents of all ages and abilities can augment the City’s current programs and encourage even greater participation.
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“Pocket parks” such as Lewis Station are fundamental to a comprehensive and interconnected parks system, especially in communities with limited opportunities to develop large, multi-acre parks.

12.4 Goals

Provide High-Quality Parks and Recreation Services. St. Helena is dedicated to providing high-quality park facilities and recreation programs that meet the needs of residents of all ages and abilities, while efficiently managing fiscal resources and accommodating community priorities.

Achieve at a minimum the City’s standard of 5.0 acres of parkland per 1,000 population, which is the maximum park ratio that can be used in establishing a park impact fee under the State legislation known at the Quimby Act. Despite the limitations imposed on the City by the Quimby Act, it is the City’s ultimate goal to attempt to achieve the nationally recommended standard of 10.5 acres of park land per 1,000 residents.

Develop a System of Interconnected Bicycle and Pedestrian Trails. The City is committed to providing residents and visitors with opportunities to walk or bicycle throughout the city and the Napa Valley region, while promoting city-wide efforts to encourage participation in active, healthy alternate modes of transportation.
12.5 Policies and Implementing Actions

A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. A Comprehensive and Interconnected System of Parks;
2. Equitable Park Distribution and Access;
3. Urban/Agricultural and Parks Interface;
4. Park Facilities and Recreation Programs;
5. Community Participation and Partnerships; and

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.

Funding and maintenance of existing parks and development of new parks are both necessary to ensure safe and convenient access to outdoor recreation.
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1 A COMPREHENSIVE & INTERCONNECTED SYSTEM OF PARKS

Policies

PR1.1 Retain the park standard from the 1993 General Plan of 5.0 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents, while striving for the nationally recommended standard of 10.5 acres per 1,000 residents.

PR1.2 Enhance the community’s quality of life and ensure a widely accessible environment through the provision of a citywide system of parks and open spaces. Identify and develop linkages, corridors, and other connections to provide an aesthetically pleasing and functional network of parks, open space areas, and bike paths throughout the city.

PR1.3 Identify park land opportunity sites to ensure that the City can meet and possibly exceed its parkland standard of 5.0 acres per 1,000 residents. Locate new parks to ensure that City park facilities are equitably distributed throughout all areas of the city and residents of all ages can access them safely and conveniently.

PR1.4 Require park land dedications or civic improvement fees on all new residential, commercial, and industrial developments to meet the standard of 5.0 acres of parks per 1,000 residents.

PR1.5 Ensure adequate funding to acquire new park lands as they become available.

PR1.6 Develop new parks only after existing parks have received adequate funding and maintenance.
Implementing Actions

PR1.A  Develop a comprehensive, long-range Parks and Recreation Master Plan to aid the City in creating an integrated system of parks. The plan should be updated periodically to address changing recreation interests, trends, needs, and priorities. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan should:

- Identify long-term goals for the Parks and Recreation Department and the community;
- Describe current and future needs, interests, and community preferences for improving new parks and community facilities, and expanding or initiating new programs and services;
- Present a long-range plan for physical park and community facility improvements;
- Define performance standards (such as park function, size, and service area radii) and further develop park design guidelines and criteria;
- Prioritize projects;
- Identify the proportion of Civic Improvement Fees that will be contributed to the development and maintenance of parks and recreational facilities; and
- Outline funding mechanisms and strategies for managing the City’s commitments, so that new requests and initiative are considered in light of existing commitments.

Subsequent actions in this Element may be included in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
Create opportunities to develop additional parks at the following locations:

- The City-owned land along the Napa River and Pratt Avenue for passive recreational uses;
- Land adjacent to York and Sulphur Creeks, as well as the Napa River levee;
- The water treatment plant site;
- The City-owned Lower Reservoir area for a water-oriented community park and recreational facility; and
- Ensure that new parks are developed to include bicycle and pedestrian trails.

Identify a variety of funding sources for new parks and park improvements, including park land dedication, in-lieu fees, and regional, state, and federal grant programs, public/private partnerships, public/public partnerships with the St. Helena Unified School District, Conservation easements for public use, as well as other City funding sources.

Strive to acquire additional park land to meet or exceed the minimum standard of 5 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents and, ultimately, to achieve the target of 10.5 acres per thousand residents.

Develop a comprehensive network of bicycle and pedestrian trails that links the City’s parks and enhances bicycle and pedestrian connectivity throughout the city and the region.

Mandate City park land dedication requirements for new infill projects. Include specific park acreage and use requirements according to the type, scale, and population and increase of new development. Consider in-lieu fees for small infill projects.
Focus on creating a parks and recreation system that is accessible to all residents.

Policies

PR2.1 Distribute parks and recreational facilities throughout the city to ensure that all residents have convenient access to parks and recreational programs and facilities.

PR2.2 Construct new parks and recreation facilities to accommodate community needs.

PR2.3 Ensure that parks and recreation programs have safe and convenient access.
Implementing Actions

**PR2.A** Prioritize the construction of new parks and recreation facilities to ensure that they are distributed equitably to all areas of the city. Park and recreation facility development studies should include the potential impacts of development on surrounding natural resources and agricultural areas.

**PR2.B** Encourage the inclusion of pocket parks that include amenities, such as picnic tables, restrooms, shade and recreation spaces near retail, commercial, and industrial areas.

**PR2.C** Locate parks and recreation facilities in areas that are easily accessible by public transportation, as well as cars, bicycles, and pedestrians.

**PR2.D** Where possible, ensure that recreation programs and access to facilities are provided at costs affordable to all St. Helena residents.

**PR2.E** Develop and implement a list of planned parks and recreation facilities.

**PR2.F** Identify community locations that are not within a 10-minute walk of a park or recreation facility. Develop parks in the identified areas to ensure an equitable distribution of parks citywide.

**PR2.G** Encourage the development of parklets throughout the city.

**PR2.H** Encourage the development of linear parks throughout the city.
Policies

**PR3.1** Ensure that the design and development of parks and recreation facilities preserves viewsheds and creates a buffer between urban and agricultural uses, where necessary.

**PR3.2** Protect sensitive habitat, agricultural land, and open space when planning and maintaining City park lands.

**PR3.3** Support local wildlife conservation efforts by incorporating habitat elements in urban/agricultural interface areas and ensuring the protection of migration corridors.
Implementing Actions

PR3.A  Develop design guidelines for recreational facilities that preserve view-sheds and maintain a transition buffer between urban and agricultural uses. Include specific design criteria regarding recreational trails and picnic areas adjacent to agricultural uses.

PR3.B  Identify locations where new recreational programs and facilities may be constructed.

PR3.C  Design and locate new parks to minimize noise and activity impacts on nearby agricultural and residential uses. This includes requiring context-sensitive site designs that minimize negative impacts on surrounding uses, such as pathway and picnic area locations, ball field usage and park lighting.

PR3.D  Provide habitat elements in urban/agricultural interface areas. Habitat elements may include roosting trees and nesting boxes for birds, bats, and other wildlife, as appropriate.
Policies

PR4.1 Develop systematic and comprehensive plans to guide the development and operation of City parks and recreational programs.

PR4.2 Balance preservation, education, recreation, and public health and safety in park and open space planning.

PR4.3 Provide park areas for residents of all ages to meet a variety of recreational and social needs, including: seniors; formal, active uses; passive uses that allow for interaction with natural landscapes; and interpretive programs that highlight geomorphology, ecology, cultural resources, agricultural heritage, and historic preservation.

PR4.4 Ensure that all parks and recreational facilities are attractive, safe, and well-maintained with adequate lighting.

PR4.5 Prioritize park acquisitions and improvements that expand and enhance St. Helena’s active recreation facilities and programs to accommodate diverse community needs and interests.
Implementing Actions

**PR4.A** If feasible, revise and update the City’s recreation program in order to enhance existing programs and/or develop new programs.

**PR4.B** Promote design guidelines for the development of parks and recreation facilities. Design parks and recreation facilities that are attractive, safe, and easy to maintain. This action may be included in a Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

**PR4.C** Identify locations to accommodate active recreational uses to meet citywide needs. Potential locations include:

- Bicycle and pedestrian trails, interpretive areas, trail heads, and comfort stations along York and Sulphur creeks and the Napa River; and
- A community park at the City-owned Lower Reservoir area

**PR4.D** Support opportunities to involve children and youth in a participatory planning process for the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of City parks.

**PR4.E** Design children’s play areas to include shade and wind protection.

**PR4.F** Provide multi-purpose event spaces for events in the park system, where possible.

**PR4.G** Install art designed by local artists in parks, where possible.

**PR4.H** Encourage the development of soccer fields, multi-sport facilities and open access to a community pool.

**PR4.I** Identify key improvements to existing parks, such as parking, picnic facilities, restrooms, tot lots with play structures, and multi-modal access points. This action may be included in a Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
5 COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PARTNERSHIPS

Policies

PR5.1 Encourage partnerships with local organizations and the private sector to provide, develop and maintain parks, recreation facilities, and programs.

PR5.2 Ensure that a broad cross-section of St. Helena stakeholders participates in the planning, design, and maintenance of parks and recreational amenities.

PR5.3 Encourage volunteerism, mutual responsibility and community spirit to set the tone that St. Helena’s public parks and open spaces belong to everyone.

Community volunteerism and stewardship are essential to creating safe, clean and accessible parks and recreational facilities for St. Helena residents.
**Implementing Actions**

**PR5.A** Emphasize joint planning and cooperation with all public agencies as the preferred approach to meeting St. Helena’s parks, facilities and program needs.

**PR5.B** Mandate City parkland dedication requirements for new infill projects. Include specific park acreage and use requirements according to the type, scale and population and increase of new development. Consider in-lieu fees for small infill projects.

**PR5.C** Provide local organizations, the St. Helena Unified School District and the private sector with opportunities and support for creating and implementing solutions to meet the City’s parks and recreation facilities’ needs.

**PR5.D** Cooperate with local groups in designing and constructing recreation facilities. Where possible, coordinate recreation and child care programs and facilities with school district programs.

**PR5.E** Negotiate joint-use agreements for recreation facilities with the St. Helena Unified School District.

**PR5.F** Involve the private sector in providing and maintaining parks and recreation facilities through formal agreements with the City and in sponsoring increased volunteerism.
Investigate the feasibility of creating a non-profit foundation to seek and receive funds for the support of parks and recreation programs. Look to St. Helena’s successful library foundation as a local model.

Foster neighborhood park planning committees, including neighborhood residents, business owners, and representatives from local groups to help plan, design, and maintain parks and recreational facilities.

Work with community members and representatives of local sports organizations to define facilities needs as community needs change with time.

Develop a public outreach program to involve community members in park maintenance and upkeep, and in mitigating vandalism. Create park signage to encourage responsible use of parks, and partner with the police force to support enforcement efforts.
Policies

**PR6.1** Promote walking and bicycling as safe and convenient modes of transportation.

**PR6.2** Develop a comprehensive network of bicycle and pedestrian trails to enhance bicycle and pedestrian connectivity throughout the city and the region. *(Also see the Community Design Element, Topic Area 4)*

**PR6.3** Promote the inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian trails, and bicycle lanes throughout the city, as well as connections to regional trail systems, such as the Napa Valley Vine Trail.

**PR6.4** Carefully evaluate whether new bicycle and pedestrian trails can be developed and enjoyed without significant environmental risk to nearby sensitive habitat, including fish habitat.

**PR6.5** Ensure that new bicycle and pedestrian trails near actively farmed agricultural areas are developed in a manner that minimizes risk of injury to pedestrians and cyclists from active farming operations.
Implementing Actions

PR6.A  Develop and adopt a citywide bicycle and pedestrian master plan to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety, and to encourage community members to walk and bike more often. Build on St. Helena’s existing partnership with the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) to ensure that the City’s master plan is consistent with countywide transportation planning efforts. *(Also see the following elements: Circulation, Topic Area 2; Open Space and Conservation, Topic Area 2)*

PR6.B  Develop guidelines for the design, construction, and maintenance of bicycle and pedestrian trails in St. Helena. Include guidelines for installing context-sensitive and solar lighting, and mitigating noise impacts from the trails. Include guidelines for wayfinding and interpretive exhibits that use signs, art, and other visual clues to enhance users’ experiences. Highlight the rich history of the City and provide education and information for users. Coordinate the guidelines with Napa County or regional trail connections.

PR6.C  Develop and adopt an ordinance that requires new development and redevelopment projects to provide bicycle and pedestrian improvements and amenities.

PR6.D  Endeavor to secure easements or title to land along Sulphur Creek, York Creek, and the Napa River.

PR6.E  Coordinate with countywide efforts to establish regional trail systems through the city limits.

PR6.F  Develop a maintenance and operations plan for the City’s trail network. Provide a high level of service to users by preventing deterioration, encroachment of vegetation, vandalism and crime. Consider including an Adopt-a-Trail program and invite local businesses to participate in trail maintenance. Include a funding program to support the plan.
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chapter thirteen

arts, culture and entertainment
Visual arts and music represent the two largest segments of the arts and culture sector in Napa County.

13.1 Purpose of the Element

The Arts, Culture, and Entertainment Element is not a State-required General Plan Element; however, it presents St. Helena’s approach to reinforcing the City’s unique identity and character through integrating arts, culture, and entertainment into the community’s everyday life. The intent of this Element is to preserve and protect the community’s heritage and cultural resources, celebrate its vibrant social fabric, and expand opportunities for arts enrichment. By supporting City leadership, active community participation, and strategic partnerships, this Element creates the foundation for ensuring that the arts flourish, while reinforcing St. Helena’s unique character and identity.

The Arts, Culture and Entertainment Element includes the following sections.

- **13.2 Arts, Culture, and Entertainment in Napa County St. Helena.**
  Describes key community arts, culture, and entertainment issues (p. 13-3).

- **13.3 Key Findings.** Identifies key findings based on an existing conditions analysis (p. 13-8).

- **13.4 Goals.** Defines overarching goals to guide policies and recommendations (p. 13-11).
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- **13.5 Policies and Implementing Actions.** Identifies policies and implementing actions to stimulate arts, culture and entertainment programming and contribute to the City’s livability (p. 13-12).

### 13.2 Arts, Culture, and Entertainment in Napa County and St. Helena

Napa County has a rich arts, culture, and entertainment sector that includes independent artists, theater companies, and arts organizations. Regional arts and cultural resources are rooted in a long-standing and celebrated history of craftspeople and artisans throughout the Napa Valley. St. Helena shares an important part of this history and is closely connected with regional organizations, including the Arts Council Napa Valley and the Napa County Arts Commission.

The City of St. Helena has the greatest number of arts resources per capita in the Napa Valley and is home to the county’s largest community of arts practitioners, particularly in the fine, visual, performing, literary and culinary arts. In addition, the city is a culturally diverse community that has drawn residents from around the world since its earliest days. St. Helena strives to continue to be a place where artistic expression and cultural diversity can flourish, and where an array of multi-faceted and ever-evolving art forms are created, performed, taught and exhibited.

Artistic and cultural festivals, performances and exhibitions are significant both socially and economically, for visitors and residents alike. They provide opportunities to celebrate the community’s rich history and creative talent. In turn, residents stand to benefit from the exposure to new ideas that may result from participation in such events and activities. Ensuring that City arts, culture and entertainment policies support a wide array of media can broaden the overall impact of the arts on St. Helena’s cultural growth and local economic development efforts.
COMMUNITY EDUCATION AND ENGAGEMENT THROUGH ARTS, CULTURE, AND ENTERTAINMENT

The arts can play a central role in strengthening community pride, engaging community members in social and cultural activities and events and increasing community awareness about the histories and legacies of the Valley’s natural landscape and its people. The arts also bring people together to celebrate, learn about, and preserve contributions to the City’s contemporary culture, economy, and urban form. Opportunities abound in St. Helena to facilitate this type of interaction and exchange. Similarly, by partnering with the St. Helena Historical Society and local Native American groups, St. Helena can encourage the development of a series of historical tours, interpretive walks, and special events to celebrate the City’s earliest history and people.

The arts also provide opportunities for intergenerational partnerships and collaboration and can serve as important outlets of expression and creativity for youth. Arts community representatives have expressed the need to reconnect young people to the arts. According to an Arts Council Napa Valley survey conducted in 2007, of the vast majority of Valley artists are over the age of 35. In effect, the future of the arts community depends on engendering arts appreciation and participation in local youth. Local artists seek to strengthen art programming in local schools and build partnerships between schools and local arts organizations. The City can provide additional support for school and after school-based arts programming through expanded Recreation Department arts offerings and increase funding to local arts organizations who offer arts programs to youth.¹

In 2008, more than 450 people attended the first St. Helena Dia de los Muertos celebration, a jointly-hosted event sponsored by local arts and family organizations. The event not only featured arts and crafts but also highlighted the heritage of the City’s vibrant Latino community.

In 2017, Napa Valley’s arts and culture community came together at St. Helena’s Lyman Park for “Arts in the Streets,” with participation from the Robert Louis Stevenson Museum, Napa Valley Cooking School, the Napa Valley Writer’s Conference, and Nimbus Arts, a community-owned nonprofit organization that aims increase the Napa Valley Community’s access to arts.

Since 1981, St. Helena’s Napa Valley College – Upper Valley Campus has hosted the annual Napa Valley Writers’ Conference, an internationally-recognized literary gathering and educational event that attracts world-class poets and authors. Strengthening public awareness about the conference and supporting linkages between local schools and arts organizations can maximize the educational, cultural and economic development impacts of this important event to the City.
TOURISM AND LOCAL IDENTITY

The Napa Valley is known as a world-class food, wine, and lifestyle destination, and tourism is a strong economic driver of the local economy. The Valley’s arts sector also has a role to play in local economic development, as national data indicate that cultural tourists tend to spend more and stay longer than other tourists. By encouraging public arts funding, installations and events, including the earmarking of public funds, the City can capitalize on the potential of the arts to generate cultural tourism and contribute to economic development efforts that support local artists and businesses.

Public art can also play a significant role in enhancing community design, creating visual interest in a neighborhood, and also showcasing regional or local talent. Creating an Art in Public Places Program can help advance the goal of the City to establish the arts as an integral part of St. Helena’s identity. This program can also expand St. Helena’s national attention to more sectors outside of the well-established food and wine industry, demonstrate the City’s commitment to leadership in public arts, pay homage to St. Helena’s rich history, and provide a mechanism for meaningfully involving citizens in the design of the City’s public spaces.

---

The Benefits of Art in Public Places

“Art in public places” refers to publicly accessible artwork that is integrated into the design of public or private property. Public art may draw from a city’s unique identity and character through integrating arts, culture and entertainment into the community’s everyday life. Also, public art can commemorate and interpret historical contributions, reflect unique identity and help to define a city’s gateways, focal points and public or private uses.

Art installations and programs can support economic development and redevelopment goals by enhancing community livability and identity and by contributing to a stimulating cultural environment for both residents and visitors. St. Helena is dedicated to strengthening the arts and cultural sector, beautifying the environment, and ensuring that the arts flourish and reinforce St. Helena’s unique character and identity through ongoing financial support for local visual, performing, cultural, literary and culinary arts.

Top: Public art can add color to an urban setting and create a visual point of interest for passersby.
Bottom: The Cultural Citizen Tree in San Jose’s St. James Park is a 14-foot high mixed-media sculpture that symbolizes growth and change.
13.3 Key Findings

There are several challenges and opportunities facing St. Helena related to arts, culture and entertainment. The following key findings are based on a comprehensive existing conditions analysis, stakeholder interviews and community input.

• The nonprofit arts and culture industry contributes significantly to the national economy by generating approximately $166.2 billion in total economic activity nationwide each year. In addition, the arts support 5.7 million jobs, generate $29.6 billion in tax revenue and contribute $104.2 billion to household incomes. By strengthening its arts sector, St. Helena can establish the arts as a key driver in the local economy.

• Visual arts and music represent the two largest segments of the arts and culture sector in Napa County, complemented by theater, dance, film, media, literary and cultural programming, creating a varied and diverse arts landscape across the county. In addition, St. Helena hosts world-renowned culinary arts resources that contribute greatly to the local economy and cultural community.

• St. Helena has the greatest number of artists and arts organizations per capita in the Napa Valley. Although the City’s population is only five percent of the Valley’s total population, St. Helena’s artists and arts organizations account for 18 percent of the Valley’s total.
In a 2007 Arts Council Napa Valley survey, 78 percent of Napa County’s artists reported that they worked in their homes and supplemented their art income with alternate employment. Twelve percent of Napa County artists described themselves as full-time, professional artists. Many reported that they chose to live in Napa County for its charm and beauty, but find the high costs of living, studio, and rehearsal spaces challenging and limiting to their artistic pursuits. The City can help strengthen its arts community with actions to ensure there are places available for artists to live and create, including identifying locations for affordable studio, rehearsal and performance spaces, and reducing regulatory barriers that limit artists’ abilities to live, create, exhibit, and sell their works from their homes.

• St. Helena’s arts and culture sector is strongly affected by the strength and vitality of the arts sector in the Napa region. Artists and arts organizations across the Napa Valley compete for attendance, local support and resources.

• In the 2007 Arts Council Napa Valley survey, arts community representatives highlighted a need for increased marketing and communications focused on the area’s arts offerings. Representatives also cited the need for artists to have places to gather and communicate about upcoming events and partnership opportunities. By encouraging arts gatherings, events and public art installations, the City can assist local artists in marketing their work and collaborating to share information about upcoming events, facilitating interaction between artists and the local business community.

• Members of the arts community expressed a need for additional community arts, performance and exhibition spaces to meet the needs of emerging artists. They cited a need for venues in which to screen films, videos, and multimedia exhibits, and performing arts venues that can accommodate smaller dance or theatre companies. As part of a countywide effort to strengthen the local arts and cultural communities, St. Helena can undertake an arts facility inventory to identify possible locations for a cultural arts center designed to meet current unmet needs.

---

• Actions available to the City to increase its support for the arts, culture and entertainment sector include creating a public funding mechanism to support public art and art events, reducing regulatory barriers such as licenses and permits that can be prohibitively expensive for emerging artists and refining zoning regulations that pertain to live/work studio spaces and artists’ ability to sell work from their homes and studios.

• Currently, the City lacks a designated body to organize, oversee, and guide arts and cultural programming in St. Helena. Establishing a St. Helena Arts Committee to direct funding, work with the City to streamline permitting and approvals, and liaise with the Napa County Commission for Arts and Culture and other regional efforts can strengthen collaboration and coordination within the local arts and culture sector. Such a committee would also ensure that arts and culture become a critical part of St. Helena’s high quality of life and unique sense of place. In addition, including representatives of a wide range of arts media and cultural groups, as well as age, on the Arts Committee can ensure that St. Helena pursues an equitable and balanced arts program.
13.4 Goals

The goals of the Arts, Culture and Entertainment Element are:

**Celebrate Arts, Culture, and Entertainment.**
St. Helena is committed to celebrating the contribution of its visual, performing, culinary and entertainment artists who help define the City’s unique identity and the high quality of life.

**Strengthen and Expand Arts, Culture, and Entertainment.**
St. Helena is dedicated to strengthening its arts, culture, and entertainment sector, improving the economic health of the City, beautifying the environment, and incorporating the arts into daily life through ongoing financial support for local visual, performing, cultural, literary, and culinary arts.

**Honor Culture and Heritage.**
St. Helena seeks to venerate the past and present contributions of its residents and their diverse cultural and historic contributions to the City.
Local concerts in the park provide opportunities for the community to gather, dance, and enjoy live music.

13.5 Policies and Implementing Actions
A range of policies and implementing actions are outlined below and organized into the following topic areas:

1. Community Enhancement and Economic Development;
2. Inclusive Programs;
3. Awareness, Education and Outreach; and
4. Civic Leadership

The following policies and actions mandate, encourage, or allow certain initiatives to be pursued throughout the duration of the General Plan. Together, they serve as strategic directions for City staff and partners, highlighting where time and resources should be focused.
Policies

**AC1.1** Ensure widespread opportunities for arts exposure by integrating them physically and socially into community life in order to establish the arts as an integral part of St. Helena’s identity.

**AC1.2** Promote arts and culture as key components of St. Helena’s historic, current and future identity.

**AC1.3** Support collaboration between local business organizations to incorporate public art into the City’s economic development strategies.

**AC1.4** Increase the contribution of arts, culture, and entertainment to cultural tourism and local economic development efforts through partnerships with regional arts organizations.

Parades and festivals help to integrate celebration of art, history and culture into community life.
Implementing Actions

**AC1.A** Develop a program to expand major festivals and events that celebrate arts, culture, and history while contributing revenue to the City. Potential events include:

- Endeavors that feature the youth in arts, such as communitywide student recognition concerts and a youth arts festival;
- Theater-in-the-park performances;
- Dance;
- Outdoor film screenings;
- Art shows and art walks;
- Poetry readings;
- Holiday and cultural parades;
- Culinary and harvest celebrations;
- Open studios;
- Music festivals; and
- Art-based competitions.

**AC1.B** Develop and implement a marketing/public relations campaign that highlights artists and events in St. Helena. Possible City actions can include posting arts announcements on the City’s website, installing a kiosk downtown that distributes local arts information to the community, and hosting events where artists and arts organizations can gather to share information with local business owners. Pursue opportunities to increase revenue due to cultural tourism. Partner with regional arts organizations to maximize the effectiveness of marketing and cultural tourism efforts.

**AC1.C** Adopt an Art in Public Places program and ordinance to ensure that public art projects occur efficiently and in a way that meets citywide objectives.
AC1.D Identify existing locations that can be used as studio, rehearsal, exhibition, and performance spaces. Support efforts to make these spaces affordable to local artists.

AC1.E Provide public performance spaces for musicians, dancers and other performing artists.

AC1.F Identify locations, where public or private, sponsored arts, cultural, and entertainment events can be held and activities can occur. Locations may include the St. Helena library, new development on Adams Street, and the Cameo Theatre.

AC1.G Provide zoning and developer incentives to encourage and reward the creation and retention of affordable spaces for artists to create and present work.

AC1.H Encourage development of public-private partnerships with culinary institutions to support local emerging chefs, bakers, specialty food makers, and other local emerging culinary artists.

AC1.I In cooperation with the St. Helena Historical Society, develop a public education and awareness program to promote the City’s historical and cultural resources. Program components can involve self-guided walking tours, plaque identification programs, home and garden tours, speakers’ series and other public events.

(For additional policies and implementing actions regarding historic and cultural education and outreach, see the Historic Resources Element.)
Policies

**AC2.1** Encourage emerging art forms, artists, and diverse cultural traditions.

**AC2.2** Promote affordable, relevant, and accessible cultural offerings for all St. Helena residents.

Implementing Actions

**AC2.A** Identify artists and outlets for diverse cultural offerings.

**AC2.B** Identify and direct funding to support artists who represent the diversity of the St. Helena community.

**AC2.C** Encourage the participation of all arts organizations in citywide marketing and promotional opportunities.

**AC2.D** Encourage performing arts organizations to make empty seats available at low or no-cost to St. Helena residents who cannot otherwise afford to attend performances.
2 INCLUSIVE PROGRAMS

AC2.E  Conduct outreach about local arts opportunities and events to non-English speaking groups, particularly the Spanish-speaking community.

AC2.F  Consider a series of City-sponsored events that enable artists and cultural organizations to gather and exhibit works.

AC2.G  Develop an incentives program to grow the capacity of local artists and arts organizations. Encourage affordable, strategically located studio workspaces, performance, and exhibit spaces in underutilized buildings; encourage professional exhibitions or demonstrations and sales; and provide tools and resources to secure support for their work.

AC2.H  Make City property available for the public display of art.

Visual arts are an important part of St. Helena’s identity.
Policies

AC3.1 Support community-based arts organizations that make significant contributions to the St. Helena community.

AC3.2 Nurture creativity and artistic talent through a rich offering of well balanced and equitably-distributed arts and cultural education programs and services.

AC3.3 Increase local awareness of the arts sector.

AC3.4 Support expanded arts programming in schools and strengthen youth arts opportunities provided by arts organizations.

Implementing Actions

Encourage the creation of a St. Helena Arts Committee to embrace these concepts:

AC3.A Consider attracting world-class artists and research what is being done with successful art-based communities in other destinations in order to enhance the community and revenue.

AC3.B Engage local and regional media in generating interest and excitement about the importance of arts to the St. Helena community.
AC3.C  Conduct and publish results of an arts in education survey of programming available through local schools and organizations. Using this research as a foundation, create an Arts Education Master Plan for St. Helena.

AC3.D  Actively pursue joint-use agreements with the Napa County Office of Education, St. Helena Unified School District, faith organizations, public agencies, private entities, or nonprofit organizations that own or operate facilities within the city to maximize the use of facilities in the community for arts and entertainment purposes.

AC3.E  Develop an effective outreach program for all City programs and services in order to ensure the successful delivery of information about arts program availability to the general public. Ensure that all materials are made available in Spanish and other languages spoken in the community.

AC3.F  Encourage “artists-in-residence” programs in schools and arts organizations in order to expand opportunities for youth to experience the arts.

AC3.G  Partner with the Arts Council Napa Valley and other arts organizations to support a centralized information bank and resources for schools, artists, and arts organizations for arts programming.

AC3.H  Provide funding and support for artists and teachers to develop best practices.
**Topic Area**

**4 CIVIC LEADERSHIP**

**Policies**

**AC4.1** Support improved coordination and communication between arts organizations.

**AC4.2** Support the arts in partnership with the City and business communities.

**AC4.3** Build a coalition of informed arts advocates who can generate support for key arts issues within the city.

**AC4.4** Create public funding sources for the arts.

**AC4.5** Partner with Napa County and regional arts endeavors to ensure that the City’s support for arts, culture, and entertainment integrate others in the area.

**AC4.6** Create locations for public displays of art and facilitate their use on public property.

**AC4.7** Create a permitting process that facilitates art installation on public property.
topic area

4 CIVIC LEADERSHIP

Implementing Actions

**AC4.A** Encourage the creation of a St. Helena Arts Committee to oversee art installations, proposals, funding strategies, education, and public relations efforts. The Arts Committee can facilitate connections between artists and the business community and oversee City-sponsored efforts.

**AC4.B** Consider how to create a sustainable public funding system, such as a percentage allocation of development fees and/or transient occupancy taxes (TOT), to support the City’s efforts to promote arts, culture, and entertainment. Conduct a survey to identify additional models of public support for artists and arts organizations and consider a plan to implement appropriate policies.

*(Also see the Economic Sustainability Element, Topic Area 1)*

**AC4.C** Consider a local arts funding strategy to increase private funding and resources that support artists and local art institutions and organizations in the city. Identify key donors to participate in public-private partnerships to support arts and culture citywide.

**AC4.D** Encourage incentives and rewards for partnerships and cooperation through funding policies and resource development.

**AC4.E** Provide opportunities for arts leaders to build partnerships and develop joint projects, including revenue-generating events.

**AC4.F** Explore opportunities to build partnerships with other municipalities and the County to support regional arts and culture promotion efforts.

**AC4.G** Create a simple, user-friendly permitting process for artists to display their works.
appendix A

historic resources list
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address/Locatio</th>
<th>National Register</th>
<th>California Register</th>
<th>Locally Designated</th>
<th>Contributor to a Historic District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burn &amp; Wise Cellars, Greystone Cellars</td>
<td>2555 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Krug Winery</td>
<td>2800 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Internal Revenue Bonded Warehouse</td>
<td>1216 Church St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Tell Saloon and Hotel</td>
<td>1228 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Catholic Church</td>
<td>1255 Oak St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Duckworth and Company Foundry Building</td>
<td>1345 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Helena Public Library</td>
<td>1360 Oak St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane-Ramos Sherry Factory</td>
<td>1468 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer Brothers/Los Hermanos Winery</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhine House/Frederick Beringer</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greystone Cellars/Christian Brothers</td>
<td>2555 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Helena High School/Vintage Hall</td>
<td>437 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Historic Commercial District</td>
<td>Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope Street Bridge/21C-109</td>
<td>Pope St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Helena Southern Pacific Railroad</td>
<td>Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Helena Ranger Unit Headquarters</td>
<td>1572 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Scaronis Winery &amp; Distillery</td>
<td>1050 Charter Oak Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Money's Planing Mill</td>
<td>1057 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Building, Galleron Building</td>
<td>1200 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Goodman Building</td>
<td>1201 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Court</td>
<td>1207 Alexander Ct</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank L. Alexander House</td>
<td>1207 Alexander Ct</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Building, Little Dress Factory</td>
<td>1231 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D. Mooney House</td>
<td>1269 Allyn Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt Building</td>
<td>1302 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor Hotel, Hotel St Helena</td>
<td>1305 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Methodist Church of St Helena</td>
<td>1310 Adams St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Helena Native Sons of the Golden...</td>
<td>1313 Spring St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowdell House</td>
<td>1317 Allyn Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Helena Elementary School</td>
<td>1325 Adams St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richie Block</td>
<td>1327 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Star Building</td>
<td>1328 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Iooft Hall/Odd Fellows</td>
<td>1352 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KettleWell Building, Vasconis Phar.</td>
<td>1381 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Schonewald Estate</td>
<td>1401 Hudson Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Metzner House</td>
<td>1407 Kearney St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Presbyterian Church of St Helena</td>
<td>1420 Spring St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klubescheidt House</td>
<td>1445 Oak St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.N. Bell House, Stralla House</td>
<td>1459 Kearney St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Davis House</td>
<td>1467 Oak St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield House</td>
<td>1508 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shy House</td>
<td>1542 Spring St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Bell House, Clark House</td>
<td>1605 Spring Mountain Rd</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George W. Schmidt House</td>
<td>1611 Adams St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gus Jursch House</td>
<td>1637 Spring Mountain Rd</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackinder - Baldwin House</td>
<td>1651 Spring Mountain Rd</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuller House</td>
<td>1817 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuller Place Tankhouse</td>
<td>1817 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraft House and Wine Cellar</td>
<td>1902 Madrona Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vann-Anderson House, St. Gothard's</td>
<td>1915 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm Alley</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson House</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. George Belden Crane House</td>
<td>397 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Dowdell House</td>
<td>415 Dowdell Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixon House</td>
<td>835 Mccorckle Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921 Hunt Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Meily Winery, Signorelli Pla.</td>
<td>924 Pope St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O. Hunt house</td>
<td>957 Hunt Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address/Location</td>
<td>National Register</td>
<td>California Register</td>
<td>Locally Designated</td>
<td>Contributor to a Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967 Charter Oak Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1095 Lodi Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105 Lodi Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445 SR 29</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1498 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1796 Silverado Trail</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2093 Sulphur Springs Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233 Sulphur Springs Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 St Helena Hwy</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2727 Sulphur Springs Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2867 SR 29</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493 Zinfandel Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707 White Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738 Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835 Charter Oak Ave</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodi Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main St</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinfandel Lane</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1572 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902 Madrona Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2585 Sulphur Springs Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000 Alexander Court</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 Hunt Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025 Allison Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1102 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1104 Adams St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105 Adams St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 Church Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1132 Church Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 Edwards St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1161, 1153 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Goodman Building</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1210 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1212 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy Loeber House</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1217 Edwards St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1218 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1219 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1222 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1223 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1227 Edwards Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1228 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of David Fulton's Saddlery</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address/Location</td>
<td>National Register</td>
<td>California Register</td>
<td>Locally Designated</td>
<td>Contributor to a Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1235 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1243 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1244 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1248 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1252 Allyn Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1257 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1277 St. Helena Hwy. S.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Legion Post</td>
<td>1291 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1305 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Church, Grace Episcopal Church</td>
<td>1314 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1321 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1322 Hudson Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1325 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1326 Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roma Hotel/Miramonte Hotel</td>
<td>1327 Railroad Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1329 Pine Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1329-1321 Allyn Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1330 Allyn Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1330 Pine Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1331 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1337 Allyn Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1340 Pine Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Catholic Church</td>
<td>1340 Tainter Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1341 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1343 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiles House, First Baptist Church</td>
<td>1343 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owen Wade Building/Smiths Pharmacy</td>
<td>1347 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1354 Pine Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1361 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1362 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1367 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1370 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1375 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1380 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1410 Adams Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1413 Tainter Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall House</td>
<td>1414 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1417 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1420 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1421 Tainter Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1426 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1427 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1439 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1451 Library Lane</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1454, 1455 Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1457 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena Post Office</td>
<td>1461 Main St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1467 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnsons Depot Saloon, Babes Place</td>
<td>1478 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1489 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1505 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1508 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1509 Tainter Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1512 Hudson Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1513 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1515 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1518 Adams Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1523 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address/Location</td>
<td>National Register</td>
<td>California Register</td>
<td>Locally Designated</td>
<td>Contributor to a Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilley House</td>
<td>1523 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1523 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy Scout Hall</td>
<td>1530 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1530 Railroad Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1531 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1537 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1543 Kearney Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1545, 1549, 1553 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1547 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1548 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1549 St. Helena Hwy., S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550 &amp; 1558 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550 Railroad Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Forni House</td>
<td>1551 Oak St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1551 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1552 Adams Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1556 Allyn Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1556 Tainter Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1570 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1603 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1615 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1623 Spring Mountain Road</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1629 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1635 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1635 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1657 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1702 Madrona Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1706 Adams Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinelli House</td>
<td>1709 Spring Mountain Rd</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Kelly House, John Mitchell</td>
<td>1735 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1758, 1764 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1761 Stockton Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schweinitzer House and Winery</td>
<td>1817 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vance-Anderson House/St. Gothard's Inn</td>
<td>1830 &amp; 1846 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cottage/Charlotte Chase Starr House</td>
<td>1832 Sulphur Springs Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1855 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraft House</td>
<td>1902 Madrona Ave</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1933 Spring Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodist church Parsonage</td>
<td>1944 Spring St</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer Winery</td>
<td>2000 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer Winery - Sisters' House</td>
<td>2000 Main Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean York House</td>
<td>2070 Dean York Lane</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 Silverado Trail</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cottage/Captain Chase House</td>
<td>2252 Sulphur Springs Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2610 Sulphur Springs Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>330 Pratt Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>376 Pratt Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>458 Mills Lane</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>514 Pratt Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>609 McCorkle Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>681 McCorkle Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>741 Chart Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>825 Allison Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>825 Fulton Lane</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>831 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>834 Pope Street</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>849 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address/Location</td>
<td>National Register</td>
<td>California Register</td>
<td>Locally Designated</td>
<td>Contributor to a Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>850 Hunt Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>855 Pratt Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>905 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>910 Allison Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>916 Hunt Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>917 Allison Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>917 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>931 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>933 Main Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>940 Hunt Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>943 Charter Oak Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>943 Pope Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>945 Brown St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>951 Pope Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>957 Charter Oak Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>957A Brown St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>957B Brown St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista</td>
<td>Alley-Adams Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fountain Winery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dowell Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alley view of backs of stone and brick commercial buildings on Main Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Money Way Vista</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stone warehouse/Bell Bros. Warehouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Money Way Vista</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.E. Corner Oak Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Mountain Road over York Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near Spring Mountain Road and Dean York Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oak @ Corner Tainter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine between Stockton &amp; Kearney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pope St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pope St at Silverado Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pope Street Bend &amp; Stone Bridge-View East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pratt Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bridge 21C-113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Mountain Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewelling Winery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Helena Public Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bridge #21-16, Sulphur Creek Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR 29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Church of St. Helena Rectory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tainter near Oak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South toward St. Helena Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vista Tainter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alberts Rock Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HMS Vineyards Historic Stone Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewelling &quot;Deermount&quot; Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>LDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meadowood Historic Road/Path</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terraced Old Olive Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1225 Adams St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1225 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1226 Spring St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1269 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1310 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1310 Oak Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1312 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1313 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1316 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1320 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1321 Railroad Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1332 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1339 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1346 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1350 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1355 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1371 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address/Location</td>
<td>National Register</td>
<td>California Register</td>
<td>Locally Designated</td>
<td>Contributor to a Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1379 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer Winery Circulation Routes</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beringer Winery Stone Wall</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distillery Building</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export Building</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North House</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Winery</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherry Shack Complex</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour Center</td>
<td>2000 Main St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: NWIC, 2018; City of St. Helena 1978 & 2006; Dyett & Bhatia, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E = Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L = Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW = Beringer Winery Historic District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC = St. Helena Historic Commercial District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDR = Lewelling &quot;Deermount&quot; Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red = Unable to locate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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